

Association of COVID-19 with acute and post-acute risk of multiple diferent complications and mortality in patients infected with omicron variant stratifed by initial disease severity: a cohort study in Hong Kong

Eric Yuk Fai Wan^{1,2,3}, Ran Zhang³, Sukriti Mathur³, Vincent Ka Chun Yan¹, Francisco Tsz Tsun Lai^{1,2,3}, Celine Sze Ling Chui^{2,4,5}, Xue Li^{1,2,6}, Carlos King Ho Wong^{1,2,3}, Esther Wai Yin Chan^{1,2,8,9}, Chak Sing Lau⁶ and Ian Chi Kei Wong^{1,2,7,10*}

Abstract

Background Few studies have attempted to use clinical and laboratory parameters to stratify COVID-19 patients with severe versus non-severe initial disease and evaluate age-specifc diferences in developing multiple diferent COVID-19-associated disease outcomes.

Methods A retrospective cohort included patients from the electronic health database of Hong Kong Hospital Authority between 1 January 2022 and 15 August 2022 until 15 November 2022. The cohort was divided into three cohorts by age (≤40, 41–64, and≥65 years old). Each age cohort was stratifed into four groups: (1) COVID-19 critically exposed group (ICU admission, mechanical ventilation support, CRP > 80 mg/L, or D-dimer > 2 g/mL), (2) severely exposed group (CRP 30–80 mg/L, D-dimer 0.5–2 g/mL, or CT value<20), (3) mildly–moderately exposed group (COVID-19 positive-tested but not fulflling the criteria for the aforementioned critically and severely exposed groups), and (4) unexposed group (without COVID-19). The characteristics between groups were adjusted with propensity score-based marginal mean weighting through stratifcation. Cox regression was conducted to determine the association of COVID-19 disease severity with disease outcomes and mortality in the acute and post-acute phase (<30 and≥30 days from COVID-19 infection) in each age group.

Results A total of 286,114, 320,304 and 194,227 patients with mild–moderate COVID-19 infection; 18,419, 23,678 and 31,505 patients with severe COVID-19 infection; 1,168, 2,261 and 10,178 patients with critical COVID-19 infection, and 1,143,510, 1,369,365 and 1,012,177 uninfected people were identifed in aged≤40, 40–64, and≥65 groups, respectively. Compared to the unexposed group, a general trend tending towards an increase in risks of multiple diferent disease outcomes as COVID-19 disease severity increases, with advancing age, was identifed in both the acute and post-acute phases. Notably, the mildly–moderately exposed group were associated with either insignifcant risks (aged≤40) or the lowest risks (aged>40) for the disease outcomes in the acute phase of infection (e.g.,

*Correspondence: Ian Chi Kei Wong wongick@hku.hk Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

mortality risk HR (aged≤40): 1.0 (95%CI: 0.5,2.0), HR (aged 41–64): 2.1 (95%CI: 1.8, 2.6), HR (aged>65): 4.8 (95%CI: 4.6, 5.1)); while in the post-acute phase, these risks were largely insignificant in those aged <65, remaining significant only in the elderly (age≥65) (e.g., mortality risk HR (aged≤40): 0.8 (95%CI: (0.5, 1.0)), HR (aged 41–64): 1.1 (95%CI: 1.0,1.2), HR (aged>65): 1.5 (95%CI: 1.5,1.6)). Fully vaccinated patients were associated with lower risks of disease outcomes than those receiving less than two doses of vaccination.

Conclusions The risk of multiple diferent disease outcomes in both acute and post-acute phases increased signifcantly with the increasing severity of acute COVID-19 illness, specifcally among the elderly. Moreover, future studies could improve by risk-stratifying patients based on universally accepted thresholds for clinical parameters, particularly biomarkers, using biological evidence from immunological studies.

Keywords COVID-19, Severity, Multiple organ complications, Age-specifc association, PASC

Background

SARS-CoV-2 infection leading to COVID-19 and the subsequent persistence of symptoms in an estimated 10% of infected patients beyond initial illness is recognized as "Post-acute sequelae SARS-CoV-2" infection (PASC) or "long COVID" [\[1](#page-15-0), [2\]](#page-15-1). Previously, we have described the association of COVID-19 with increased risk of multiple different disease outcomes $[3]$ $[3]$. The exact pathophysiology of COVID-19 and its consequent long-term sequelae is not yet understood but is believed to be multifactorial, and diferent lines of probable mechanisms have been summarized by systematic reviews and meta-analyses consolidating extensive research from several studies [[4](#page-16-0), [5](#page-16-1)].

With the preliminary observations of severe COVID-19 symptoms being more prevalent in certain patient populations $[6–10]$ $[6–10]$ $[6–10]$, public health experts have emphasized the importance of developing diagnostic tools such as clinical parameters and biomarkers to enable stratifcation of the infected patient population into groups in a graded fashion from lowest to highest risk of severe disease progression [[11\]](#page-16-4). Indeed, prior small-scale clinical studies in hospitalized infected patients have assessed the accuracy of using elevated levels of biomarkers such as the infammatory coagulation biomarkers—D-dimer and C-reactive protein (CRP)—in predicting COVID-19 prognosis and mortality risk [[12,](#page-16-5) [13](#page-16-6)]. Further, requirement of intensive care unit admission and/or requiring supplemental oxygen or mechanical ventilation support have been associated with poor disease prognosis/disease severity and mortality in infected patients [\[14](#page-16-7)], especially in vulnerable patient populations such as the elderly and the immunocompromised [[15](#page-16-8), [16\]](#page-16-9). Evidence on the dynamic increase in viral load (corresponding to lower cycle threshold (CT) values of real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test) in patients after initial infection has also been associated with higher risk of disease severity and/or mortality by few studies [\[17](#page-16-10), [18](#page-16-11)]. Moreover, some studies have observed post-COVID sequelae or "long COVID" to be more prevalent in patients with higher severity of initial acute-phase disease[\[19](#page-16-12), [20](#page-16-13)]. Hence, using clinical parameters including biomarkers as diagnostic tools to stratify COVID-19 patient population into subgroups by progressive increase in relative risks of developing multiple diferent disease outcomes may enable tailoring of treatment strategies to the needs of patients in each of these subgroups per the associated patient risk for developing the diferent outcomes. Thereby, vulnerable groups particularly at higher relative risks of developing persistent, long-term severe disease outcomes from COVID-19 may beneft from early identifcation through screening by diagnostic clinical parameters to receive additional monitoring and/or specialized multispecialty follow-up care post initial infection to minimize future possibility of morbidity and mortality [[21–](#page-16-14)[23\]](#page-16-15).

While some studies have assessed the accuracy of potential biomarkers in discriminating between severe versus non-severe COVID-19, the scope of such evidence is limited to predict outcomes of mortality, disease prognosis/hospitalization, and/or prevalence of clinical characteristics and symptoms (rather than outcomes) in hospitalized patients diagnosed with severe acute COVID-19 [\[13,](#page-16-6) [24\]](#page-16-16), further impeded by small sample sizes and short follow-up periods [\[25](#page-16-17)]. Moreover, even fewer studies have attempted to evaluate age-specifc diferences in developing COVID-19-associated disease outcomes in patients with severe versus non-severe initial disease [[11](#page-16-4), [26](#page-16-18)], usually choosing to solely use composite end points such as intensive care admission/oxygen support diagnostic hospital codes/records to identify patients with severe COVID-19 rather than using biomarkers as clinical parameters to identify progressively susceptible immune profles to severe disease-outcomes in patients $[27, 28]$ $[27, 28]$ $[27, 28]$ $[27, 28]$, including our previous efforts.

Hence, this retrospective study aims to add to the grossly limited body of evidence presently supporting the identifcation of patients more likely to be impacted by short- and long-term efects of COVID-19 by the addition of three biomarkers (D-dimer and CRP biomarkers, and viral load (CT value)) to the usual clinical parameter

of hospitalization/oxygen support records. By subgrouping patients by age and stratifying them into groups of progressive initial disease severity, this study evaluates the independent association of each of the stratifed patient groups with the short- and long-term incident risks of developing various COVID-19-associated disease outcomes, in the acute and post-acute phase (follow-up period of up to 28 months). The main objective of this study is to test the hypothesis of a positive association of initial COVID-19 severity with the development of multiple diferent organ complications in the short- and long-term.

Methods

Study design and population

Medical records of all participants were extracted from the electronic health database of Hong Kong Hospital Authority (HA), including the medicine records of all inpatient and the majority of outpatient services. The COVID-19 vaccination records provided by the Department of Health (DH) were linked with the data extracted from the HA using the participants' unique Hong Kong Identity Card Numbers or other personal identifcation numbers. The events and causes of mortality were identifed using the death records obtained from the Hong Kong Deaths Registry.

In order to obtain the COVID-19 severity of Omicron variants, the inclusion period of this study was defned from 1 January 2022 to 15 August 2022, corresponding to the largest outbreak infections in Hong Kong during the omicron-dominant ffth wave of infections. Participants testing positive for COVID-19 by PCR test or rapid antigen test (RAT) during the inclusion period were identifed as infected COVID-19 patients (exposed group). Subsequently, these COVID-19-positive patients were stratifed into patient cohorts by initial disease-severity based on clinical parameters according to the following classification based on cutoff values of thresholds determined from prior studies $[29-33]$ $[29-33]$ $[29-33]$: (1) COVID-19 patients with records of ICU admission, or mechanical ventilation support, or CRP (mg/L) > 80 or D-dimer: > 2 g/mL within 7 days after COVID-19 infection were recruited in the critically exposed group cohort; (2) patients with any record of D-dimer greater than 0.5 g/mL and less than 2 g/mL, or CRP (mg/L) range between 30 to 80 within 7 days after infection, or COVID-19 infection with CT value<20 within 3 days before or after infection were identifed as severely exposed group; and (3) COVID-19 patients with records of CRP (mg/L) range between 5 and 30 within 7 days after infection, or COVID-19 infection with CT value≥20 within 3 days before or after infection, and those patients with no records eligible for recruitment to the aforementioned critical and severe case cohorts, were included in the mild–moderate case cohort. The type of treatments included under the definition of mechanical ventilation support identifed by International Classifcation of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modifcation (ICD-9-CM) codes is summarized in supplementary Table 2. Further, participants in each of the three patient cohorts classifed by initial disease severity were sub-grouped by age into three age groups: \leq 40, 41–64, and \geq 65 to assess the combinatorial efect of age and disease severity of COVID-19 infection on the outcomes.

To evaluate the short- and long-term efects of COVID-19 infection, the observation period of the study was divided into two phases: acute phase and post-acute phase. The index date was defned as the date of the frst positive date of testing for COVID-19 infection for the acute phase, while the index date for the post-acute phase was defned as 30 days after the frst positive testing date for COVID-19 infection (based on previous studies) [[28](#page-16-20), [34](#page-16-23), [35\]](#page-16-24).

Participants without any COVID-19 positive-testing records until 15 August 2022 were treated as the unexposed group. To ensure similar baseline characteristics of age and sex between the exposed and unexposed groups and to assign the index date for individuals without COVID-19 infection, participants in the unexposed groups were randomly selected and matched to participants in the exposed groups based on the distribution of age and sex. In addition, each of the matched unexposed participants was further assigned to a corresponding exposed patient with identical index dates to ensure similar follow-up periods. All participants in the study were followed up until the frst onset of any of the outcomes of interest, mortality, or 15 November 2022, whichever occurred frst.

Defnition of COVID‑19 infection

In this study, COVID-19 infection was identifed by either a RAT or a PCR test positive.

Outcome measurements

The outcomes of this study included (1) major cardiovascular disease (major CVD): the composite outcome of heart failure, stroke, and coronary heart disease (CHD); (2) heart failure; (3) carditis; (4) stroke; (5) atrial fbrillation (6) futter; (7) CHD; (8) acute coronary syndrome (ACS); (9) deep vein thrombosis (DVT); (10) chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases (COPD) and allied conditions; (11) severe liver disease; (12) pancreatitis; (13) end-stage renal disease (ESRD); (14) acute kidney disease (AKD); and (15) all-cause mortality.

The ICD-9-CM diagnostic codes used to identify all outcomes and the disease defnition are listed in supplementary Table 2.

Baseline characteristics

The baseline characteristics included age, sex, Charlson's comorbidity index (CCI) [[36\]](#page-16-25), the latest vaccination status, and drug prescription before the index date. The defnition of Charlson Comorbidities and other baseline characteristics were listed in the supplementary Table 2.

Statistical analysis

Fine and stratifcation weights were applied to adjust the selection bias among control groups and diferent severity groups. As an extension method based on the propensity score stratifcation, this method can create the fne stratum using the propensity score on the basis of the fxed quantiles so that the extreme weights can be avoided when there is any skewed distribution of propensity score or low exposure prevalence. The fine stratifcation weights were conducted with 50th quantile categories of propensity score based on age, sex, CCI score, and the latest dose status. For acute and post-acute phases, the incidence rates and their corresponding 95% confdence intervals (CIs) were assessed on the basis of their Poisson distribution. The standardized mean difference (SMD) among the four groups (unexposed, mildly– moderately exposed group, severely exposed group, and critically exposed group) of < 0.1 was deemed as sufficient balance. The participants with a history of each outcome were excluded from the corresponding analysis. Cox proportional hazard regression was conducted to evaluate the association between severity and outcomes compared to the unexposed group.

Subgroup analyses stratifying patients based on sex (female and male) and the latest vaccination status (<2 doses,≥2 doses) were employed. Two sensitivity analyses were performed: (1) defned the index date as 7 days after COVID-19 infection and possible ICU admission for the analysis in the acute phase and (2) the Bonferroni correction was conducted to counteract the multiple comparisons problem. All analyses were performed by R version 4.1.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Two-tailed tests were employed for all analyses in this study, and results with a p -value <0.05 were considered as statistically signifcant.

Results

Figure [1](#page-4-0) and Table [1](#page-5-0) summarizes the selection of participants stratifed into three groups by initial disease severity based on the selected clinical parameters. After subsequent sub-grouping by age into those aged $(1) \leq 40$, (2) between 40 and 65, and (3) aged \geq 65, the final cohort of patients for conducting the analysis included a total of (*n*=286,114), (*n*=320,304), and (*n*=194,227) patients with mild–moderate COVID-19 infection; (*n*=18,419), (*n*=23,678), and (*n*=31,505) patients with severe COVID-19 infection; (*n*=1168), (*n*=2261), and (*n*=10,178) patients with critical COVID-19 infection as well as (*n*=1,143,510), (*n*=1,369,365), and (*n*=1,012,177) uninfected people, respectively. Table [2](#page-8-0) summarizes the baseline characteristics with SMD after weighting, while before weighting is listed in supplementary Table 3. The SMD for most of the characteristics among the four groups was < 0.1 , indicating a good balance in all characteristics between subgroups.

Acute phase

Figure [1](#page-4-0) depicts the incidence rates and hazard ratios (HRs) of each of the disease outcomes for each patient group by initial disease severity sub-grouped by age. In general, in the acute phase of infection, patients classifed as mild–moderate severity were associated with the lowest risk of developing disease outcomes and mortality, progressively increasing in those classifed as the severely exposed group and critically exposed group, with the highest risks associated with the latter. Moreover, an overall additional trend of graded increase in risk associated with disease outcomes and mortality with increasing age was observed upon comparing the risks in the subgroupings by age.

Specifically, patients aged ≤ 40 classified as mildlymoderately exposed group showed largely insignifcant risks for most of the outcomes and mortality, barring the cardiovascular outcome of CHD (HR: 9.4(1.9, 47.2)) and respiratory disease outcomes of COPD and allied conditions (HR: 5.3(2.3, 12.2)) than the unexposed group. Meanwhile, the severely exposed group in this age group showed a substantial increase in risk associated with the aforementioned outcomes (HR(COPD): 7.1(0.9, 55.4)) and an additional risk associated with more cardiovascular outcomes (HR (carditis): 11.2(1.4,90.7); HR (stroke): 9.4(1.9, 47.2)) and all-cause mortality (HR: 25.7(15.1, 43.7)). However, those classifed as the critically exposed group were associated with the highest risk of mortality (HR: 296.7(161.0, 546.9)) and at substantially higher risks of several cardiovascular outcomes, including major CVD (HR: 333.5(111.0, 1002.6)) and carditis (HR: 28.5(3.5, 231.5)); and renal outcomes (HR (acute kidney disease): 575.6(52.5, 6308.4); HR(ESRD): 1492.8(112.3, 19,843.6)).

Similarly, in those aged between 40 and 65, although the mildly–moderately exposed group were associated with increased risks of certain cardiovascular and thrombotic outcomes (HR (major CVD): 2.0(95%CI: 1.6, 2.4); HR(DVT): 5.1(95%CI: 1.4, 18.9); HR(ACS): 1.6(95%CI:

Note: \longrightarrow :age ≤ 40 ; \longrightarrow :40<age <65; \longrightarrow :age ≥ 65 ; Log10 scale is used in the axis.

Major CVD: composite outcomes of heart failure- stroke and coronary heart disease; AF: Atrial fibrillation; CHD: coronary heart disease; ACS: acute coronary syndrome; DVT: Deep vein thrombosis; COPD: Chronic pulmonary disease; SLD: Severe liver disease; ESRD: End stage renal disease; AKD: Acute kidney disease; NA: Not available due to insufficient number.

Fig. 1 Hazard ratio of acute COVID-19 composite outcomes compared to the control groups in diferent COVID-19 severity populations

1.1, 2.3)) than the unexposed group, these were far lower than the severely and critically exposed groups. The severely exposed group showed an increase in risk of these aforementioned outcomes (HR (major CVD): 6.3(95%CI: 4.3, 9.2); HR(DVT): 13.0(95%CI: 1.5, 111.4); HR (ACS): 5.0(95%CI: 2.6, 9.6)), and an additional risk of AF (HR: 6.0(95%CI: 1.9, 18.6)) than the unexposed group. The critically exposed group was again associated with the highest risks for these outcomes in this age group, notably cardiovascular outcomes like major CVD (HR: 88.9(95%CI: 58.0, 136.0)) and ACS (HR: 72.7(95%CI: 36.0, 146.8)).

However, in the elderly cohort comprising patients aged>65, signifcantly higher risks were observed in most outcomes of interest regardless of the severity of COVID-19 infection, although the risks increased in a graded fashion by severity. Notably, increased risks were associated with cardiovascular outcomes like major CVD (HR(mild–moderate): 3.2 (95%CI: 2.8, 3.7); HR(severe): 7.9(95%CI: 6.5, 9.7); HR(critical): 26.3(95%CI: 19.3,

Table 2 Baseline characteristics of patients after weighting (acute phase)

Table 2 (continued)

36.0)) and DVT (HR (mild–moderate): 5.5(95%CI: 2.7,11.1); HR (severe): 12.5(95%CI: 5.3, 29.6); HR (critical): 38.3(95%CI: 13.1, 112.3)); respiratory outcomes like COPD (HR (mild–moderate): 4.4(95%CI: 2.8, 6.9); HR (severe): 5.9(95%CI: 3.0, 11.7); HR (critical): 12.6(95%CI: 4.2, 37.6)); renal and hepatic outcomes like severe liver disease (HR(mild–moderate): 6.0 (95%CI: 2.4, 15.3); HR(severe): 8.2 (95%CI: 2.1, 31.2); HR(critical): 17.5 (2.9, 104.2)), acute kidney disease (HR(mild–moderate): 3.7 (95%CI: 2.5, 5.5); HR(severe): 8.8 (95%CI: 5.4, 14.4); HR(critical): 41.0(95%CI: 19.7,85.0)) and ESRD (HR(mild–moderate): 2.9(95%CI: 1.1, 7.7); HR(severe): 10.2(95%CI: 3.9,26.6); HR(critical): 21.7(95%CI: 8.9, 53.2)); and all-cause mortality (HR(mild–moderate): 4.8 (95%CI: 4.6, 5.1); HR (severe): 15.8 (95%CI: 15.0, 16.7); HR (critical): 52.2 (95%CI: 48.4, 56.4)). The results for the sensitivity analysis (by defning the index date as seven days after COVID-19 infection and possible ICU admission) in the acute phase were mainly consistent with the main analysis.

Post‑acute phase

The incidence rate and HR of the outcomes in this phase among patients with and without COVID-19 infec-tion is depicted in Table [3](#page-10-0) and Fig. [2](#page-12-0). The increased risk associated with most of the outcomes observed in the mildly–moderately and severely exposed groups aged under 65 years were insignifcant; although participants in the severely exposed group aged under 65 were associated with signifcantly higher risks of all-cause mortality (HR (aged \leq 40): 2.0(95%CI: 1.1,3.9); HR (40 < aged < 65): 3.1(95%CI: 2.6,3.6)) after COVID-19 infection in the long term.

In those aged under 65, the critically exposed group was afected by COVID-19 infection severely and was more likely to develop the cardiovascular outcome of carditis (HR (aged≤40): 17.0 (95%CI: 2.4,122.8); HR $(40 <$ aged $<$ 65): 29.9 (95%CI: 7.5,119.5)), and all-cause mortality (HR (aged≤40): 15.1 (95%CI: 5.7,39.9); HR $(40 <$ aged $<$ 65): 9.9 (95%CI: 7.0,14.0)).

In the elderly cohort (age \geq 65), irrespective of the degree of severity, patients with COVID-19 infection were associated with signifcantly higher risks of most of the outcomes compared to the unexposed group. Particularly, increasing risks were observed with higher initial disease severity, including heart failure (HR (mild– moderate): 1.5 (95%CI: 1.4,1.7), HR (severe): 2.4 (95%CI: 2.0,2.9), HR (critical): 2.4 (95%CI: 1.7,3.4)), DVT (HR (mild): 1.7 (95%CI: 1.2,2.3), HR (severe): 2.3 (95%CI: 1.4,3.9), HR (critical): 7.5 (95%CI: 2.6,21.7)), and all-cause mortality (HR (mild): 1.5 (95%CI: 1.5,1.6), HR (severe): 3.1 (95%CI: 2.9,3.3), HR (critical): 5.8 (95%CI: 5.3,6.5)).

The subgroup analyses showed a higher increase in risks associated with COVID-19 sequelae among female patients. Further, fully vaccinated patients were observed to have a lower risk of sequelae compared to those patients with less than two doses of vaccination (Supplementary 5–12).

After conducting Bonferroni correction, using Bonferroni-corrected *α* as 0.001 and based on the *p*-value from Supplementary tables 14 and 15, the family-wise error rate is reduced from 10% to 4.4%. Almost all the false hypotheses continue to be rejected signifcantly based on the Bonferroni-corrected *α* and the increased risk of multiple diferent disease outcomes maintained in both the acute and post-acute phases, with increasing severity of initial COVID-19 illness, especially among the elderly.

Discussion

Previously, in addition to respiratory complications, clinical and longitudinal studies have identifed complications in COVID-19 patients post infection (acute phase), including cardiovascular, neurological, gastrointestinal,

Note: \longrightarrow :age ≤ 40 ; \longrightarrow :40<age ≤ 65 ; \longrightarrow :age ≥ 65 ; Log10 scale is used in the axis.

Major CVD: composite outcomes of heart failure- stroke and coronary heart disease; AF: Atrial fibrillation; CHD: coronary heart disease; ACS: acute coronary syndrome; DVT: Deep vein thrombosis; COPD: Chronic pulmonary disease; SLD: Severe liver disease; ESRD: End stage renal disease; AKD: Acute kidney disease; NA: Not available due to insufficient number.

Fig. 2 Hazard ratio of post-acute COVID-19 composite outcomes compared to the control groups in different COVID-19 severity populations

renal, and hepatic disease conditions [[37,](#page-16-26) [38](#page-16-27)]. Over time, these symptoms may persist and develop into a variety of multi-organ sequelae in the long-term (post-acute phase). Hence, a comprehensive list of such probable disease outcomes afecting diferent organs was identifed and analyzed spanning both phases of infection in the same cohort of patients, stratifed by initial disease severity using clinical parameters like viral load and hospitalization/oxygen support records, as well as the biomarkers—CRP and D-dimer—in this study. Overall, the fndings from this study reveal a signifcant increase in incident risks associated with several disease complications in infected patients (exposed group), particularly those identifed with severe and critical initial severity of infection and aged>40, compared to those without COVID-19 (potentially contributing to the substantially higher risks of all-cause mortality—found to be associated with infected patients in both phases of infection). A general trend tending towards a progressive increase in these risks in an exposure–response relationship manner with increasing initial disease severity (from mild– moderate to severe and critical) and advancing age (from age≤40 to between 40–65 and>65) was also identifed. However, the fndings in that older and severer patients were associated with higher risks of several diseases' complications might also apply to other respiratory infections other than COVID-19. Notably, patients identifed with "mild–moderate" disease severity based on the selection criteria, were associated with either insignifcant risks (observed in patients aged \leq 40) or the lowest risks (in those aged>40) for the disease outcomes in the acute phase of infection; while in the post-acute phase, these risks were largely insignificant in those aged < 65 , remaining significant only in the elderly (age \geq 65). In accordance to a previous study on the persistence in the risk of PASC between patients of diferent vaccination statuses [[39](#page-16-28)], fully vaccinated patients were observed to have a lower risk of sequelae compared to those patients with less than two doses of vaccination overall.

The findings from this study are strengthened by being consistent with prior fndings. Initially, several independent clinical studies observed elevated levels of D-dimer and CRP (independently as well as in combination with other biomarkers) in hospitalized and/or critically ill COVID-19 patients linked with hyperinfammation [\[40](#page-16-29)]; later found to be associated with increased hospitalization risk (linked to the severity of infection) and/or mortality risk in such patients. While evidence of a direct relationship of viral load with severe COVID-19 infection is inconsistent, previous studies reported higher viral loads to be associated with older age and increased mortality risk [\[41](#page-16-30)]. Given that advancing age is also well recognized as a risk factor for severe COVID-19 infection, poor prognosis, and is associated with the development of multi-organ sequelae post infection, a narrative review hypothesized the possibility of a mechanistic relationship linking increased COVID-19 severity and mortality risk with higher viral loads based on clinical studies [\[41](#page-16-30)]. Another review highlighted higher viral load as an independent predictor of disease severity and its association with higher levels of infammatory immune biomarkers including CRP and D-dimer based on immunological studies [[42\]](#page-16-31). Further, some studies found that patient groups with higher prevalence of severe COVID-19 infection demonstrated elevated D-dimer and CRP levels. Indeed, a meta-analysis evaluating a pool of 15,000 patients identifed from 29 independent clinical studies demonstrated that diabetic COVID-19 patients showed higher levels of CRP (SMD 0.41 mg/L, 95% CI (0.21–0.60) mg/L) and concentration of D-Dimer (SMD 0.32 mg/L, 95% CI (0.17–0.47) mg/L) than the non-diabetic patients [[43\]](#page-16-32). In addition, higher serum CRP and D-Dimer levels were correlated positively with increasing age of COVID-19 patients by the same study. Since diabetes is also associated with increasing risk of COVID-19-associated disease outcomes and mortality [\[44](#page-16-33)], altogether, these fndings substantiate the overall conclusion drawn from this study that COVID-19 patient groups prone to more severe infection are more likely to develop disease outcomes/mortality and can be identifed by elevated levels of CRP and D-dimer and higher viral loads to be classifed into a high-risk patient group. In fact, a recent study reported CRP to be the best at discriminating between severe and non-severe COVID-19 compared to various other hyperinflammatory biomarkers $[45]$ $[45]$. The same fndings held true even in an outpatient setting and in the post-acute phase of infection, illustrated by an example of a cross-sectional study of \sim 400 COVID-19-diagnosed patients treated as outpatients reporting elevated levels of D-dimer in 15% of participants (tending to be older in age) even after a median of 255 days post initial infection [\[46](#page-16-35)]. Based on a multivariable logistic regression analysis, this cross-sectional study also identifed a signifcant positive association between the CRP and D-dimer levels (13% of patients with elevated D-dimer presented concomitantly with abnormal CRP levels), supporting the usage of these two clinical parameters to stratify COVID-19 infected patients in the present study. Indeed, a few prior studies have attempted to use clinical parameters to predict the risk of disease progression and evaluated the risks associated with the development of certain outcomes. A multi-center cohort study enrolling 239 COVID-19 patients observed disease progression $in \sim 40\%$ of the patients in the hospital, identifying CRP levels>median value of (OR, 2.25; 95% C.I., 1.02–4.99) as an independent risk factor for progression $[47]$ $[47]$. These patients were found to be associated with higher risks of developing acute kidney injury, cardiac injury, respiratory failure, ARDS, and death, in addition to facing longer hospital stay and reduction in viral load (conversion to CT value<30), compared to those without disease progression [[47\]](#page-16-36). Another single-center, retrospective, cohort review study of 100 hospitalized patients identified elevated D-dimer at different cutoff values to be associated with predicting in-hospital mortality and risk of developing acute kidney injury in infected patients [[48\]](#page-16-37).

While the exact pathophysiology and manifestation of COVID-19 in both phases of infection is not completely understood, several lines of probable mechanism have been proposed and summarized by previous narrative reviews [[4,](#page-16-0) [5](#page-16-1)]. Briefy, viral-induced organ damage mediated via initial viral entry through the interaction of SARS-CoV-2 with the angiotensin-converting enzyme

2 (ACE2) receptor found to be highly expressed in the lungs, heart, gastrointestinal tract, central/nervous system, and pancreas [\[49–](#page-16-38)[51\]](#page-17-0) is believed to be a major mechanism for leading to dysfunction of multiple diferent organs during the acute phase of infection. Whereas, for the post-acute phase, viral persistence due to the formation of "reservoirs" of viral remnants and the virus itself in ACE-2-expressing organs (including those already infected during the initial acute phase of the disease), leading to prolonged infammation inciting an abnormal immunological response of excessive cytokines and pro-infammatory molecules secretion is believed to be one of the leading mechanisms attributed to the development of COVID-19-associated disease outcomes. Further, the development of microthrombi in the systemic vasculature enhancing abnormal pro-coagulation in the acute phase [\[52](#page-17-1), [53](#page-17-2)] may contribute to thrombotic complications such as stroke and DVT and also lead to cerebral infarctions afecting the blood–brain barrier and oxygen supply, possibly resulting in seizures, as observed in this study [\[54](#page-17-3)]. Altogether, this may explain why clinical biomarkers such as CRP and D-dimer are good predictors of COVID-19-associated disease progression and complications, since these proteins are rapidly secreted in response to infections within hours, as part of establishing a systemic infammatory state to trigger host immune response [[55,](#page-17-4) [56\]](#page-17-5). Although the exact underlying pathophysiological mechanisms linking these biomarkers specifcally to manifestation of severe COVID-19 and associated mortality remain poorly understood, it is known that elevated levels of CRP (synthesized by the liver and mediated by the cytokine interleukin 6 (IL-6)) are commonly triggered by severe infections, tissue/ organ injury, autoimmune conditions, and cardiovascular events (especially pericarditis) [[57\]](#page-17-6); while elevated levels of D-dimer (present in the blood as a small protein fragment of fbrin degraded during dissolving of a thrombus) reflect active coagulation, vascular endothelial damage, and/or fbrinolytic processes [[58,](#page-17-7) [59\]](#page-17-8).

There are several strengths underlying strengths in this study. Firstly, a short- and long-term follow-up in the same patient cohort allowed monitoring of the development of an extensive list of multiple pre-specifed diferent disease outcomes over 28 months, and the dynamic changes in the associated risks, giving an insight into the manifestation of COVID-19 infection over time. Secondly, incorporating the use of established clinical parameters including biomarkers and viral load to defne the levels of severity of infection (rather than relying on hospitalization/oxygen support records as a proxy subject to biases in hospital bed/treatment availability) increases the accuracy of the fndings and provides a biological context for interpreting the results. Nevertheless, some limitations still remain. Firstly, being an observational study, only the association between COVID-19 infection and risks for the specifc disease outcomes can be established, rather than causality. Secondly, owing to the limited sample size of the severely and critically COVID-19 exposed group, especially younger patients, further analysis in future studies is warranted. Thirdly, since the exposed group was distinguished from the unexposed group based on the latter not having a positive COVID-19 PCR test result and/or not being hospitalized with a COVID-19-related diagnosis admission code, the possibility of asymptomatic, undiagnosed COVID-19-infected individuals being included in the control group and/or excluded from recruitment into the COVID-19 patient cohort still remains; however, this should only bias the results towards the null. Besides, although the severity criteria were carefully deliberated based on a literature review, using the single indicator might introduce bias caused by misclassifcation. Hence, further study is needed for precisely identifying the severity criteria. Moreover, indication or detection bias might arise, leading to the possible under-reporting of existing conditions before receiving a diagnosis of COVID-19. Lastly, risks of certain complications may not have reached statistical signifcance stemming from the inherent rarity of the outcome and consequent low prevalence in COVID-19 patients, resulting in low event rates and high confdence intervals.

Conclusions

The risk of disease outcomes increased significantly in an exposure–response relationship manner with increasing severity of acute COVID-19 illness in both acute and post-acute phases. Future studies are warranted to validate these fndings by considering more clinical parameters to stratify COVID-19 patients by initial disease severity and analyzing risks associated with COVID-19-related disease outcomes in other populations with larger cohorts. In addition, future studies may beneft from the risk stratifcation of patients based on clearly defined and universally accepted cutoff threshold levels for clinical parameters especially biomarkers based on biological evidence from immunological studies.

Abbreviations

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-024-03630-6) [org/10.1186/s12916-024-03630-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-024-03630-6).

Supplementary Material 1.

Acknowledgements

The computations were performed using research computing facilities ofered by Information Technology Services, the University of Hong Kong.

Authors' contributions

Concept and design by EYFW and ICKW. Acquisition by EYFW. Analysis or interpretation of data by EYFW, RZ, SM, VKCY, FTTL, CSLC, XL, CKHW, CLC, EWYC, IFNH, CSL, and ICKW. Drafting of the manuscript by EYFW, SM, and RZ. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content by all authors. Statistical analysis by EYFW, RZ, and VKCY. Administrative, technical, or material support by EYFW and ICKW. Supervision by EYFW and ICKW. EYFW and ICKW are the guarantors of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and took responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Authors' Twitter handles

Twitter handles: @EricWan_HKU (Eric Yuk Fai Wan), @Ranzhang_hku (Ran Zhang), @Sukrit_Mathur99 (Sukriti Mathur), @VincentKCYan (Vincent Ka Chun Yan), @FranciscoTTLai1 (Francisco Tsz Tsun Lai), @ChuiCeline (Celine Sze Ling Chui), @snowly12191 (Xue Li), @CarlosWongHKU (Carlos King Ho Wong), @ Esther_CSMPRHKU (Esther Wai Yin Chan), @Ian_HKU (Ian Chi Kei Wong).

Funding

This work was supported by the Health Bureau, The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Collaborative Research Fund, The Government of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (Ref. No. C7154-20GF) and AIR@InnoHK, administered by the Innovation and Technology Commission.

Availability of data and materials

Data underlying in this study cannot be shared publicly due to the privacy of individuals.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval for this study was granted by the Institutional Review Board of the University of HK/HA HK West Cluster (UW20-556 and UW21-149) and Department of Health, HK (L/M21/2021 and L/M175/2022) with an exemption for informed consent from participants as patients' confdentiality was maintained in this retrospective cohort study.

Consent for publication

There is no consent for publication for this paper.

Competing interests

CKHW reports receipt of research funding from the EuroQoL Group Research Foundation, the Hong Kong Research Grants Council, and the Hong Kong Health and Medical Research Fund; CSLC has received grants from the Health Bureau of the Hong Kong Government, Hong Kong Research Grant Council,

Hong Kong Innovation and Technology Commission, Pfizer, IQVIA, MSD, and Amgen, personal fee from Primevigilance Ltd., outside the submitted work; FTTL has been supported by the RGC Postdoctoral Fellowship under the Hong Kong Research Grants Council; XL has received research grants from the Health Bureau of the Government of the Hong Kong SAR, research and educational grants from Janssen and Pfzer, internal funding from University of Hong Kong, consultancy fee from Merck Sharp & Dohme, speaker fee from Pfzer, unrelated to this work; EWYC has received grants from Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong SAR, Research Fund Secretariat of the Health Bureau of the Hong Kong SAR, National Natural Science Fund of China, Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Pfzer, Janssen, Novartis, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Takeda, the RGA Reinsurance Company, Narcotics Division of the Security Bureau of the Hong Kong SAR, and the National Health and Medical Research Council Australia; consulting fees from AstraZeneca, Pfizer and Novartis; and honorarium from the Hospital Authority of the Hong Kong SAR and serve as the president of the International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR), Hong Kong Regional Chapter, outside the submitted work. ICKW reports research funding from Amgen, Bristol Myers Squibb, Pfzer, Janssen, Bayer, GSK, Novartis, the Hong Kong Research Grants Council of the Government of the Hong Kong SAR, the Hong Kong Health and Medical Research Fund, the National Institute for Health Research in England, the European Commission, and the National Health and Medical Research Council in Australia, consulting fees from IQVIA and World Health Organisation, payment for expert testimony for Appeal Court of Hong Kong and is a non-executive director of Jacobson Medical in Hong Kong, Advance Data Analytics for Medical Science (ADAMS) Limited (HK), Asia Medicine Regulatory Afairs (AMERA) Services Limited and OCUS Innovation Limited (HK, Ireland and UK) a former director of Therakind in England, outside of the submitted work. ICKW reports role as member of Pharmacy and Poisons Board in Hong Kong, the Expert Committee on Clinical Events Assessment Following COVID-19 Immunisation, and the Advisory Panel on COVID-19 Vaccines of the Hong Kong Government. EYFW has received research grants from the Health Bureau of the Government of the Hong Kong SAR, the Hong Kong Research Grants Council of the Government of the Hong Kong SAR, Narcotics Division, Security Bureau of the Government of the Hong Kong SAR, Social Welfare Department, Labour and Welfare Bureau of the Government of the Hong Kong SAR and National Natural Science Foundation of China, outside the submitted work. All other authors report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.

Author details

¹ Centre for Safe Medication Practice and Research, Department of Pharmacology and Pharmacy, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, L02-57 2/F, Laboratory Block, 21 Sassoon Road, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, SAR, China. ² Laboratory of Data Discovery for Health (D24H), Hong Kong Science and Technology Park, Hong Kong, China. ³ Department of Family Medicine and Primary Care, School of Clinical Medicine, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. ⁴School of Nursing, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. ⁵ School of Public Health, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. ⁶ Department of Medicine, School of Clinical Medicine, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong`, Hong Kong, China. ⁷ Research Department of Practice and Policy, School of Pharmacy, University College London, London, UK. ⁸ Department of Pharmacy, The University of Hong Kong-Shenzhen Hospital, Shenzhen, China. ⁹The University of Hong Kong Shenzhen Institute of Research and Innovation, Shenzhen, China. ¹⁰ Aston Pharmacy School, Aston University, Birmingham B4 7ET, UK.

Received: 17 August 2023 Accepted: 11 September 2024 Published online: 14 October 2024

References

- 1. Venkatesan P. NICE guideline on long COVID. Lancet Respir Med. 2021;9(2):129.
- 2. Soriano JB, Murthy S, Marshall JC, Relan P, Diaz JV. A clinical case defnition of post-COVID-19 condition by a Delphi consensus. Lancet Infect Dis. 2022;22(4):e102–7.
- 3. Lam ICH, Wong CKH, Zhang R, Chui CSL, Lai FTT, Li X, et al. Long-term postacute sequelae of COVID-19 infection: a retrospective, multi-database cohort study in Hong Kong and the UK. EClinicalMedicine. 2023;60: 102000.
- 4. Davis HE, McCorkell L, Vogel JM, Topol EJ. Long COVID: major fndings, mechanisms and recommendations. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2023;21(3):133–46.
- 5. Parasher A. COVID-19: Current understanding of its pathophysiology, clinical presentation and treatment. Postgrad Med J. 2021;97(1147):312.
- 6. Iacobucci G. Long covid: Damage to multiple organs presents in young, low risk patients. BMJ. 2020;371: m4470.
- 7. Mansell V, Hall Dykgraaf S, Kidd M, Goodyear-Smith F. Long COVID and older people. Lancet Healthy Longevity. 2022;3(12):e849–54.
- Singhal S, Kumar P, Singh S, Saha S, Dey AB. Clinical features and outcomes of COVID-19 in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Geriatr. 2021;21(1):321.
- 9. Molani S, Hernandez PV, Roper RT, Duvvuri VR, Baumgartner AM, Goldman JD, et al. Risk factors for severe COVID-19 differ by age for hospitalized adults. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):6568.
- 10. Wan EYF, Zhang R, Mathur S, Yan VKC, Lai FTT, Chui CSL, et al. Post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 in older persons: multi-organ complications and mortality. J Travel Med. 2023;30(5).
- 11. Alle S, Kanakan A, Siddiqui S, Garg A, Karthikeyan A, Mehta P, et al. COVID-19 risk stratifcation and mortality prediction in hospitalized Indian patients: harnessing clinical data for public health benefts. PLoS ONE. 2022;17(3): e0264785.
- 12. Poudel A, Poudel Y, Adhikari A, Aryal BB, Dangol D, Bajracharya T, et al. D-dimer as a biomarker for assessment of COVID-19 prognosis: D-dimer levels on admission and its role in predicting disease outcome in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(8): e0256744.
- 13. Varikasuvu SR, Varshney S, Dutt N, Munikumar M, Asfahan S, Kulkarni PP, et al. D-dimer, disease severity, and deaths (3D-study) in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 100 studies. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):21888.
- 14. Chang R, Elhusseiny KM, Yeh YC, Sun WZ. COVID-19 ICU and mechanical ventilation patient characteristics and outcomes-a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(2): e0246318.
- 15. Huang C, Huang L, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Gu X, et al. 6-month consequences of COVID-19 in patients discharged from hospital: a cohort study. Lancet. 2021;397(10270):220–32.
- 16. Arnold DT, Hamilton FW, Milne A, Morley AJ, Viner J, Attwood M, et al. Patient outcomes after hospitalisation with COVID-19 and implications for follow-up: results from a prospective UK cohort. Thorax. 2021;76(4):399.
- 17. Waudby-West R, Parcell BJ, Palmer CNA, Bell S, Chalmers JD, Siddiqui MK. The association between SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR cycle threshold and mortality in a community cohort. Eur Respir J. 2021;58(1).
- 18. El Zein S, Chehab O, Kanj A, Akrawe S, Alkassis S, Mishra T, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection: Initial viral load (iVL) predicts severity of illness/outcome, and declining trend of iVL in hospitalized patients corresponds with slowing of the pandemic. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(9): e0255981.
- 19. Sudre CH, Murray B, Varsavsky T, Graham MS, Penfold RS, Bowyer RC, et al. Attributes and predictors of long COVID. Nat Med. 2021;27(4):626–31.
- 20. Lui DTW, Lee CH, Chow WS, Lee ACH, Tam AR, Pang P, et al. Long COVID in patients with mild to moderate disease: do thyroid function and autoimmunity play a role? Endocr Pract. 2021;27(9):894–902.
- 21. Nalbandian A, Sehgal K, Gupta A, Madhavan MV, McGroder C, Stevens JS, et al. Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Nat Med. 2021;27(4):601–15.
- 22. Brigham E, O'Toole J, Kim SY, Friedman M, Daly L, Kaplin A, et al. The Johns Hopkins post-acute COVID-19 team (PACT): a multidisciplinary, collaborative, ambulatory framework supporting COVID-19 survivors. Am J Med. 2021;134(4):462-7.e1.
- 23. England N. NHS to offer 'long covid'sufferers help at specialist centres. NHS England London; 2020.
- 24. Malik P, Patel U, Mehta D, Patel N, Kelkar R, Akrmah M, et al. Biomarkers and outcomes of COVID-19 hospitalisations: systematic review and metaanalysis. BMJ Evid-Based Med. 2021;26(3):107.
- 25. Han Q, Zheng B, Daines L, Sheikh A. Long-term sequelae of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of one-year follow-up studies on post-covid symptoms. Pathogens. 2022;11(2):269.
- 26. Smilowitz NR, Nguy V, Aphinyanaphongs Y, Newman JD, Xia Y, Reynolds HR, et al. Multiple biomarker approach to risk stratifcation in COVID-19. Circulation. 2021;143(13):1338–40.
- 27. Jassat W, Abdool Karim SS, Mudara C, Welch R, Ozougwu L, Groome MJ, et al. Clinical severity of COVID-19 in patients admitted to hospital during the omicron wave in South Africa: a retrospective observational study. Lancet Glob Health. 2022;10(7):e961–9.
- 28. Xie Y, Xu E, Bowe B, Al-Aly Z. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes of COVID-19. Nat Med. 2022;28(3):583–90.
- 29. Yitbarek GY, Walle Ayehu G, Asnakew S, Ayele FY, Bariso Gare M, Mulu AT, et al. The role of C-reactive protein in predicting the severity of COVID-19 disease: a systematic review. SAGE Open Med. 2021;9:20503121211050756.
- 30. Kazemi E, Soldoozi Nejat R, Ashkan F, Sheibani H. The laboratory fndings and diferent COVID-19 severities: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2021;20(1):17.
- 31. Yu HH, Qin C, Chen M, Wang W, Tian DS. D-dimer level is associated with the severity of COVID-19. Thromb Res. 2020;195:219–25.
- 32. Quiroz-Ruiz HR, Chimoy-Effio PJ, Vértiz-Osores JJ, Bazán-Mayra JE. Correlation between real-time PCR cycle threshold and clinical classifcation of COVID-19. Rev Chilena Infectol. 2022;39(1):35–44.
- 33. Esmaeel HM, Ahmed HA, Elbadry MI, Khalaf AR, Mohammed NA, Mahmoud HA, et al. Coagulation parameters abnormalities and their relation to clinical outcomes in hospitalized and severe COVID-19 patients: prospective study. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):13155.
- 34. Wang W, Wang C-Y, Wang S-I, Wei JC-C. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes in COVID-19 survivors among non-vaccinated population: a retrospective cohort study from the TriNetX US collaborative networks. EClinicalMedicine. 2022;53:53.
- 35. Lee M-T, Baek MS, Kim TW, Jung S-Y, Kim W-Y. Cardiovascular outcomes between COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 pneumonia: a nationwide cohort study. BMC Med. 2023;21(1):394.
- 36. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis. 1987;40(5):373–83.
- 37. Ramos-Casals M, Brito-Zerón P, Mariette X. Systemic and organ-specifc immune-related manifestations of COVID-19. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2021;17(6):315–32.
- 38. Lopes-Pacheco M, Silva PL, Cruz FF, Battaglini D, Robba C, Pelosi P, et al. Pathogenesis of multiple organ injury in COVID-19 and potential therapeutic strategies. Front Physiol. 2021;12: 593223.
- 39. Lam ICH, Zhang R, Man KKC, Wong CKH, Chui CSL, Lai FTT, et al. Persistence in risk and effect of COVID-19 vaccination on long-term health consequences after SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Commun. 2024;15(1):1716.
- 40. Ali AM, Rostam HM, Fatah MH, Noori CM, Ali KM, Tawfeeq HM. Serum troponin, D-dimer, and CRP level in severe coronavirus (COVID-19) patients. Immun Infamm Dis. 2022;10(3): e582.
- 41. Dadras O, Afsahi AM, Pashaei Z, Mojdeganlou H, Karimi A, Habibi P, et al. The relationship between COVID-19 viral load and disease severity: a systematic review. Immun Infamm Dis. 2022;10(3): e580.
- 42. Shenoy S. SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19), viral load and clinical outcomes; lessons learned one year into the pandemic: A systematic review. World J Crit Care Med. 2021;10(4):132–50.
- 43. Debi H, Itu ZT, Amin MT, Hussain F, Hossain MS. Association of serum C-reactive protein (CRP) and D-dimer concentration on the severity of COVID-19 cases with or without diabetes: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Expert Rev Endocrinol Metab. 2022;17(1):83–93.
- 44. Landstra CP, de Koning EJP. COVID-19 and diabetes: understanding the interrelationship and risks for a severe course. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2021;12: 649525.
- 45. Paranga TG, Pavel-Tanasa M, Constantinescu D, Plesca CE, Petrovici C, Miftode IL, et al. Comparison of C-reactive protein with distinct hyperinfammatory biomarkers in association with COVID-19 severity, mortality and SARS-CoV-2 variants. Front Immunol. 2023;14:1213246.
- 46. Meisinger C, Kirchberger I, Warm TD, Hyhlik-Dürr A, Goßlau Y, Linseisen J. Elevated plasma D-Dimer concentrations in adults after an outpatienttreated COVID-19 infection. Viruses. 2022;14(11):2441.
- 47. Lin SM, Huang ACC, Chiu TH, Chang KW, Huang TH, Yang TH, et al. Clinical and laboratory predictors for disease progression in patients with COVID-19: a multi-center cohort study. Biomed J. 2022;46(1):100–9
- 48. Wagner J, Garcia-Rodriguez V, Yu A, Dutra B, DuPont A, Cash B, et al. Elevated D-Dimer is associated with multiple clinical outcomes in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: a retrospective cohort study. SN Compr Clin Med. 2020;2(12):2561–7.
- 49. Papadopoulou M, Bakola E, Papapostolou A, Stefanou M-I, Gaga M, Zouvelou V, et al. Autonomic dysfunction in long-COVID syndrome: a neurophysiological and neurosonology study. J Neurol. 2022;269(9):4611–2.
- 50. Marx V. Scientists set out to connect the dots on long COVID. Nat Meth ods. 2021;18(5):449–53.
- 51. Buonsenso D, Piazza M, Boner AL, Bellanti JA. Long COVID: A proposed hypothesis-driven model of viral persistence for the pathophysiology of the syndrome. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2022;43(3):187–93.
- 52. DiNicolantonio JJ, McCarty M. Thrombotic complications of COVID-19 may refect an upregulation of endothelial tissue factor expression that is contingent on activation of endosomal NADPH oxidase. Open Heart. 2020;7(1): e001337.
- 53. Manly DA, Boles J, Mackman N. Role of tissue factor in venous thrombo sis. Annu Rev Physiol. 2011;73:515–25.
- 54. Miners S, Kehoe PG, Love S. Cognitive impact of COVID-19: looking beyond the short term. Alzheimers Res Ther. 2020;12(1):170.
- 55. Park YJ, Acosta D, Vassell R, Tang J, Khurana S, Weiss CD, et al. D-dimer and CoV-2 spike-immune complexes contribute to the production of PGE2 and proinfammatory cytokines in monocytes. PLoS Pathog. 2022;18(4): e1010468.
- 56. Du Clos TW. Function of C-reactive protein. Ann Med. 2000;32(4):274–8.
- 57. Sproston NR, Ashworth JJ. Role of C-reactive protein at sites of inflammation and infection. Front Immunol. 2018;9:754.
- 58. Pulivarthi S, Gurram MK. Efectiveness of d-dimer as a screening test for venous thromboembolism: an update. N Am J Med Sci. 2014;6(10):491–9.
- 59. Rodríguez C, Luque N, Blanco I, Sebastian L, Barberà JA, Peinado VI, et al. Pulmonary endothelial dysfunction and thrombotic complications in patients with COVID-19. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2021;64(4):407–15.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in pub lished maps and institutional affiliations.