Birmingham, 12 December 2022

Dear PLOS ONE editorial office:

Please, find below a point-to-point reply to Reviewer#1's comments.

Sincerely yours,

Valia Rodriguez-Rodriguez
Aston University

Reply to Reviewer #1:

Comment 1. Some clarification is required regarding the naming of the groups used in the manuscript. Lines 158-159: "However, changes in beta band power were similar in the two groups, with 7% and 6% of participants in NorGS and B, respectively, showing energy increment in this band." NorGS was defined before and is clear, however, "group B" seems a bit unclear. If it refers to the figure 1, panel B, then the samples presented in panel B should be defined somewhere in the text as group B.

We used A and B to name the groups in the first draft. For clarity, we renamed A as NorGS (normal gait speed) and B as LowGS (low gait speed). However, we did not replace the 'B' in Lines 158-159. Thanks to the reviewer for spotting that error that is now corrected.

Comment 2: in lines 172-173: "The difference was obtained by subtracting the spectral power of NorGS from LowGS (i.e., B-A). "Meaning that "B" refers to LowGS. Is it the same "B" as before?

Yes, 'B' refers to 'LowGS'. As before, we did not replace it. It is corrected and lines 173-174 now read:

'The difference was obtained by subtracting the spectral power of NorGS from LowGS (i.e., LowGS - NorGS).'

<u>Comment 3:</u> "Conclusions" section is missing. Some conclusions seem to be drawn in the Discussion section. I recommend separating the two into Discussion and Conclusions sections

We did not include Conclusions because the section is optional according to PLOS submission guidelines. Nevertheless, we are now closing the manuscript with a Conclusion paragraph.