

1 **Diagnosis and Management in Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome: First International**
2 **Consensus Statement**

3

4 Didier Lacombe¹, Agnes Bloch-Zupan^{2#}, Cecilie Bredrup^{3#}, Edward B. Cooper^{4#}, Sofia
5 Douzgou^{5#}, Sixto Garcia Minaur^{6#}, Hulya Kayserili^{7#}, Lidia Larizza^{8#}, Vanesa López-
6 González^{9#}, Leonie A. Menke^{10#}, Donatella Milani^{11#}, Francesco Saettini^{12#}, Cathy A.
7 Stevens^{13#}, Lloyd Tooke^{14#}, Jill A Van der Zee^{15#}, Maria M. Van Genderen^{16#}, Julien Van Gils^{1#},
8 Jane Waite^{17#}, Jean-Louis Adrien¹⁸, Oliver Bartsch¹⁹, Pierre Bitoun²⁰, Antonia H.M. Bouts²¹,
9 Anna M. Cueto-González²², Elena Dominguez-Garrido²³, Floor Duijkers²⁴, Patricia Fergelot¹,
10 Elizabeth Halstead²⁵, Sylvia A. Huisman^{10,26}, Camilla Meossi¹¹, Jo Mullins²⁷, Sarah M. Nikkel²⁸,
11 Chris Oliver²⁹, Elisabetta Prada¹¹, Alessandra Rei³⁰, Ilka Riddle³¹, Cristina Rodriguez-
12 Fonseca³², Rebecca Rodriguez Pena³³, Janet Russell³⁰, Alicia Saba³⁴, Fernando Santos-
13 Simarro³⁵, Brittany N. Simpson³⁶, David F Smith³⁷, Markus F. Stevens³⁸, Katalin Szakszon³⁹,
14 Emmanuelle Taupiac¹, Nadia Totaro³⁰, Irene Valenzuela Palafoll²², Daniëlle C.M. Van Der
15 Kaay⁴⁰, Michiel P. Van Wijk⁴¹, Klea Vyshka⁴², Susan Wiley³¹, and Raoul C. Hennekam¹⁰

16

17 Author addresses:

18 ¹ Department of Medical Genetics, University Hospital of Bordeaux, and INSERM U1211,
19 University of Bordeaux, 33076 Bordeaux, France.

20 ² Faculté de Chirurgie Dentaire, Université de Strasbourg, and Centre de référence des
21 maladies rares orales et dentaires, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg Strasbourg, and
22 Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire, INSERM U1258, Illkirch,
23 France.

24 ³ Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Bergen, 5020 Bergen, Norway.

25 ⁴ Department of Anesthesiology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital, University of Cincinnati
26 College of Medicine, Cincinnati, USA.

27 ⁵ Department of Medical Genetics, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway and
28 Division of Evolution, Infection and Genomics, School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of
29 Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK.

30 ⁶ Institute of Medical and Molecular Genetics, La Paz University Hospital, Madrid, Spain.

31 ⁷ Department of Medical Genetics, Koc University School of Medicine (KUSOM), 34010
32 Istanbul, Turkey.

33 ⁸ Experimental Research Laboratory of Medical Cytogenetics and Molecular Genetics,
34 IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Milan, Italy.

35 ⁹ Medical Genetics Section, Department of Pediatrics, Virgen de la Arrixaca University
36 Hospital, IMIB, CIBERER, Murcia, Spain.

37 ¹⁰ Department of Pediatrics, Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of
38 Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

39 ¹¹ Fondazione IRCCS Ca'Granda Ospedale Maggiore, 20122 Milan, Italy.

40 ¹² Fondazione Matilde Tettamanti Menotti De Marchi Onlus, Fondazione Monza e Brianza
41 per il Bambino e la sua Mamma, Monza, Italy.

42 ¹³ Department of Pediatrics, University of Tennessee College of Medicine, Chattanooga,
43 Tennessee, USA..

44 ¹⁴ Groote Schuur Hospital, Department of Pediatrics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town,
45 South Africa.

46 ¹⁵ Department of Pediatric Urology, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam,
47 The Netherlands.

48 ¹⁶ Bartiméus Diagnostic Center for complex visual disorders, Zeist and Department of
49 Ophthalmology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

50 ¹⁷ School of Psychology, College of Health and Life Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham,
51 B4 7ET UK.

52 ¹⁸ Université de Paris, Laboratoire de Psychopathologie et Processus de Santé, Boulogne
53 Billancourt, France.

54 ¹⁹ MVZ - Humangenetik, University Medical Center, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz,
55 55131 Mainz, Germany.

56 ²⁰ Département de Genetique, SIDVA 91, Juvisy-sur-Orge, France.

57 ²¹ Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC,
58 University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

59 ²² Department of Clinical and Molecular Genetics, University Vall d'Hebron, Hospital
60 Campus, Barcelona, Spain.

61 ²³ Department of Clinical and Molecular Genetics, Fundación Rioja Salud, La Rioja, Spain.

62 ²⁴ Department of Human Genetics, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

63 ²⁵ Sleep Education and Research Laboratory, UCL Institute of Education, London, UK..

64 ²⁶ Zodiak, Prinsenstichting, Purmerend, The Netherlands.

65 ²⁷ Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome Support Group, Registered Office, Rickmansworth, WD3 3ED
66 UK.

67 ²⁸ Department of Medical Genetics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British
68 Columbia, Canada.

69 ²⁹ School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, B15 2TT, UK.

70 ³⁰ Associazione Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome-Una Vita Speciale, Organizzazione di
71 Volontariato (ODV), Gornate Olona, Varese, Italy.

72 ³¹ Division of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital
73 Medical Center, and Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, University of Cincinnati,
74 Cincinnati, OH, USA.

75 ³² Asociación Española para el Síndrome de Rubinstein-Taybi (AESRT), Madrid, Spain.

76 ³³ Department of Clinical Immunology, La Paz University Hospital, and Lymphocyte
77 Pathophysiology in Immunodeficiencies Group, La Paz Institute of Biomedical Research,
78 Madrid, Spain.

79 ³⁴ French RTS Support Group, Paris, France.

80 ³⁵ Unit of Molecular Diagnostics and Clinical Genetics, Hospital Universitari Son Espases,
81 Health Research Institute of the Balearic Islands (IdISBa), 07120 Palma, Spain.

82 ³⁶ Division of Human Genetics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, and
83 Department of Pediatrics, Cincinnati School of Medicine, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA.

84 ³⁷ Department of Pediatric Otolaryngology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center,
85 and Department of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery, University of Cincinnati
86 College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH, USA.

87 ³⁸ Department of Anesthesiology, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam,
88 The Netherlands.

89 ³⁹ Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen,
90 Hungary.

91 ⁴⁰ Division of Pediatric Endocrinology, Department of Pediatrics, Erasmus University Medical
92 Centre, Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

93 ⁴¹ Department of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Emma Children's Hospital location Free
94 University Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

95 ⁴² European Reference Network on Rare Congenital Malformations and Rare Intellectual
96 Disability (ERN-ITHACA), Robert Debré University Hospital, Paris, France.

97

98 Correspondence to Didier Lacombe, Department of Medical Genetics, CHU Bordeaux,
99 INSERM U1211, University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France. +33 556 795951

100 didier.lacombe@chu-bordeaux.fr

101

102 # These authors should be considered as being second author

103

104

105

106 **Abstract**

107

108 **Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome (RTS) is an archetypical genetic syndrome that is**
109 **characterized by intellectual disability, well-defined facial features, distal limb**
110 **anomalies and atypical growth, among numerous other signs and symptoms. It is**
111 **caused by variants in either of two genes (*CREBBP*, *EP300*) which encode for the**
112 **proteins CBP and p300, which both have a function in transcription regulation and**
113 **histone acetylation. As a group of international experts and national support groups**
114 **dedicated to the syndrome, we realized that marked heterogeneity currently exists in**
115 **clinical and molecular diagnostic approaches and care practices in various parts in the**
116 **world. Here, we outline a series of recommendations that document the consensus of a**
117 **group of international experts on clinical diagnostic criteria for types of RTS (RTS1:**
118 ***CREBBP*; RTS2: *EP300*), molecular investigations, long-term management of various**
119 **particular physical and behavioural issues, and care planning. The recommendations**
120 **as presented here will need to be evaluated for improvements to allow for continued**
121 **optimization of diagnostics and care.**

122

123

124

125 **1.Introduction**

126 Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome (RTS) [MIM #180849; #613684; #610543] is a multisystem
127 disorder with physical, cognitive and behavioural characteristics, which can be caused by
128 variants in two genes that regulate transcription via chromatin remodelling. The condition is
129 named after the US paediatrician Jack Rubinstein and Iranian radiologist Hooshang Taybi who
130 described seven affected infants in 1963,[1]. There are >800 publications on RTS and related
131 topics. Within the framework of the European Reference Network Ithaca a group of
132 international experts recognised the importance of equal practices regarding diagnostic
133 procedures and care for individuals with RTS. To address this issue, an international
134 consensus group was established, which performed a literature review, evaluated data
135 critically, formulated conclusions, and held a face-to-face meeting in the presence of patient
136 group representatives. This has led to the present series of guidelines for diagnostics and care
137 for individuals with RTS. For Methods see Supplementary Materials.

138

139

140 **2. Clinical Diagnostic Criteria**

141 *2.1. Definition*

142 The goal of defining an entity is that affected individuals and their caregivers who face similar
143 signs, symptoms, and health problems, can meet one another, share knowledge, emotions
144 and experiences about the disorder, support one another, and, this way, facilitate care and
145 research. So the essence of a definition is to allow grouping together individuals with the same
146 diagnosis.

147 Currently, variants in the genes *CREBBP* and *EP300* are known to cause RTS,[2,3].
148 One may argue that the diagnosis of RTS should be based on these molecular findings and
149 clinical diagnostic criteria are no longer needed. Several issues argue against this: there are
150 individuals with a phenotype classically fitting RTS, but without detectable cytogenetic or
151 molecular anomaly; there are individuals with a genuine variant in *CREBBP* or *EP300* but with
152 a phenotype different from the RTS phenotype,[4] which can have major consequences in
153 counselling patients and families; there are individuals with either a *CREBBP* or an *EP300*
154 variant of uncertain pathogenicity, and whose phenotype resembles RTS only to a limited
155 extent, leaving it uncertain whether or not the variant is causative for the phenotype; there are
156 many countries worldwide in which the availability of molecular studies is limited, and in which
157 caregivers have to rely on a clinical diagnosis for counselling. For these reasons we concluded
158 a clinical definition of the RTS phenotype is still needed and will remain needed.

159 There is no widely accepted set of clinical diagnostic criteria for RTS. We used the
160 largest published set of data on individuals with RTS and either a *CREBBP* (n=308) or *EP300*
161 variant (n=52),[5] to determine the sensitivity of signs and symptoms (Table 1).

162

163 We used the scored features as available, to avoid a bias. Signs present in at least
164 75% in either of the two groups were accepted as being sufficiently characteristic of the
165 condition. In addition, we added three features with a lower frequency but which are highly
166 specific for RTS: radially deviated thumbs; keloid formation; and maternal pre-eclampsia. We
167 considered to add talon cusps to these criteria but refrained from doing so as this sign is not
168 yet present in the age group during which typically a diagnostic question arises. When
169 developing the scoring system, it was observed that the presence or absence of the sign 'long
170 eyelashes' did not contribute to sensitivity, and given the low intra-observer reliability of this
171 feature it was excluded from the scoring criteria. Furthermore, the features known to be highly
172 specific for RTS (radially deviated thumbs typical smile; columella below alae nasi, maternal
173 pre-eclampsia keloids) were given a higher weighted value in the scoring system to reflect their
174 diagnostic importance. Features were then subdivided into Cardinal Features, which we
175 considered to be essential for RTS, and Suggestive Features, which are present less
176 frequently but should raise suspicion for RTS (Table 2; Figure 1). Subsequent discussion of
177 these criteria allowed consensus for the clinical diagnostic criteria, based on the presence of
178 both Cardinal and Suggestive Features (**R1**). If an individual scores 12 or higher, including
179 meeting a score for the Cardinal Features, the diagnosis of RTS can be clinically confirmed
180 irrespective of results of molecular testing. A score of 8-11 including a positive score for the
181 Cardinal Features indicates a likely diagnosis of RTS which requires further confirmation by
182 molecular testing. A score of 5-7, with or without a Cardinal Feature, indicates that the
183 diagnosis of RTS is still possible and molecular studies are indicated. A score of 0-4 indicates
184 that the diagnosis is unlikely, and other explanations of the phenotype should be explored.

185 We realize that the presence of unusual signs and symptoms is not incorporated in the
186 score as negative feature. Still, these should always also be taken into account. Especially the
187 presence of an unusual sign or symptom in someone with a score indicating a likely or definitive
188 diagnosis of RTS should lead to consider the presence of a co-existing second (possibly
189 Mendelian) disorder. In addition, in scoring signs, especially low hanging columella, the ethnic
190 background should be taken into account as in some ethnicities a low hanging columella is a
191 common variant. If uncertainty remains it is often useful to evaluate both parents and other
192 relatives as well (**R2**). Lastly, in the first months of life a delayed development and disturbed
193 postnatal growth may not yet present and a definitive score may be only possible at an age
194 when this can be reliably ascertained.

195 Subsequently, we evaluated whether the set of diagnostic features allowed establishing
196 the diagnosis reliably in a group of 100 individuals with molecularly confirmed RTS, that had
197 not been part of the group of patients on which the criteria were built (Suppl Table S1). All
198 individuals scored 5 or higher, indicating none would have been missed as having RTS based

199 on clinical criteria (complete sensitivity). Only 7 patients scored in the group Possibly RTS,
200 others scored in the group Likely RTS (n= 38) or Definitively RTS (n=55). Furthermore, we
201 evaluated whether 45 individuals with a specific group of pathological *CREBBP* or *EP300*
202 variants, who have been considered to have a separate entity (Menke-Hennekam syndrome
203 [MKHK]; MIM #618332 / #618333),[4] would be correctly distinguished from RTS (Suppl Table
204 S1). Results showed that none scored as definitive or likely RTS, 9 as possibly RTS, and 36
205 as unlikely RTS, so the entities could correctly be discerned. To determine the specificity, we
206 reasoned that three entities that may resemble RTS and are not uncommon, i.e. Floating-
207 Harbor syndrome (FHS; MIM #136140) (n=45), Wiedemann-Steiner syndrome (WDSTS; MIM
208 #605130) (n=46), and Cornelia de Lange syndrome (CDLS; MIM #122470) (n=100), should be
209 reliably discerned from RTS based on the set of weighted clinical features (Suppl Table S2).
210 Results showed that none of the individuals with FHS and CDLS fulfilled the criteria for a
211 definitive diagnosis of RTS, but one of the WDSTS patients had such a score. In addition, one
212 of the WDSTS patients had a score within the Likely RTS group but was found by the present
213 authors to have a classical RTS facial Gestalt. This has to be expected as RTS is a
214 chromatinopathy, and variants in other genes acting in the same pathway are likely having
215 consequences for the phenotype as well and rarely may even alter the phenotype significantly.
216 Further studies to explain this unusual phenotype are planned. Furthermore, 8 of the 46
217 WDSTS individuals, and 1 of the 100 CDLS individuals fulfilled the criteria for Likely RTS,
218 indicating that specificity was very high, but not complete. Due to the overlap in function of the
219 genes involved in the four entities this is to be expected,[6]. The results are in agreement with
220 our joint clinical experience that infrequently the discrimination between RTS and WDSTS
221 based on clinical criteria can be extremely difficult. This happens less frequent in CDLS
222 patients and in FHS, but the phenotypic overlap is still marked. Obviously, this has
223 consequences for the molecular analyses in someone with such scores (see *Molecular*
224 *Diagnostic Criteria*). We realize that prospective studies will be needed to determine more
225 reliably specificity and sensitivity. In addition, such studies should include individuals with a
226 non-European descent, to evaluate whether the scoring system will be equally valid as in
227 individuals from a European descent.

228

229 2.2. Severity Score

230 A major issue for families, especially at the time of diagnosis, is an indication of the severity of
231 RTS. No severity score for RTS has been published to date. In our opinion a comparison and
232 weighing of the severity and influences that various signs and symptoms have on the quality
233 of life of an affected individual can only be made by the affected individuals and their families,
234 and not just by physicians. We suggest that a group of family members should be facilitated to
235 indicate which set of physical, cognitive and behavioural issues influence the life of individuals

236 with RTS most. Ideally, such criteria should be stratified according to the nature of the
237 molecular genetic cause (**R3**).

238

239

240 **3. Molecular diagnostic criteria**

241 RTS has been subdivided into type 1 (RTS1; OMIM #180849) and type 2 (RTS2; OMIM
242 #613684) associated with heterozygous pathogenic variants or re-arrangements in the genes
243 *CREBBP* and *EP300*, respectively, typically leading to haploinsufficiency. Both genes encode
244 paralogous transcriptional coactivators with Lysine Acetyl Transferase Activity,[7,8]. The
245 proteins CBP and p300 play a crucial role in transcription initiation by acting as a bridge, linking
246 transcription factors to the transcription machinery, and through acetylation of histones,[9,10]
247 (Figure 2).

248

249 *3.1. Mutation spectrum*

250 Variants in *CREBBP* and *EP300* have been identified in 55-75%,[2,3,11,12] and 8-
251 11%,[3,5,13,14] of individuals with RTS, respectively, of whom 2-3% have deletions of the
252 complete gene. In 15-20% no molecular anomaly can be detected (**R4**). To date, over 500
253 *CREBBP* and over 100 *EP300* pathogenic variants are known, distributed along all 31 exons
254 (Figure 3). Several recurrent *CREBBP* variants have been reported, ~50% of missense
255 variants are localized in the KAT domain,[15] and recurrent rearrangements occur between
256 introns 1 and 2 of *CREBBP* due to the high frequency of repeated or palindromic sequences
257 in this region,[16,17].

258

259 *3.2. Genotype-phenotype correlation*

260 Individuals with RTS1 and RTS2 both may show the classical phenotype but this may also
261 vary. Individuals with RTS2 demonstrate in general less marked typical facial characteristics,
262 no radial deviation of the thumbs, have infrequently keloids, and a higher average cognitive
263 level,[5,13,14]. However, maternal pre-eclampsia, intra-uterine growth retardation and
264 microcephaly are more common in RTS2 compared to RTS1,[5].

265 The type and site of variants in *CREBBP* and *EP300* do not associate with a specific
266 phenotype with respect to external morphology, malformations, cognition or
267 behavior,[5,11,13,18,19] (**R5**). The exception is formed by missense variants between the end
268 of exon 30 and the beginning of exon 31 of both *CREBBP* and *EP300*, which both lead to a
269 phenotype that differs from RTS (Table 1) and has been designated as Menke-Hennekam
270 syndrome (MKHK, OMIM #618332, #618333),[4,20]. These missense variants hypothesized
271 to affect specifically the binding properties of the ZNF2 (zinc finger, ZZ type) and ZNF3 (zinc
272 finger, TAZ type) domains to different CBP partners by affecting their own folding,[21,22].

273 RTS shows broad phenotypic overlap with other Mendelian disorders affecting the
274 structure of chromatin genome-wide called “chromatinopathies”, such as Floating Harbor
275 syndrome (OMIM #136140), Cornelia de Lange syndrome (OMIM #122470, #300590,
276 #610759, #614701, #300882, #608749), Wiedemann-Steiner syndrome (OMIM #605130),
277 Kabuki syndrome (OMIM #147920, #300867), Genitopatellar syndrome (OMIM #606170),
278 Biesecker-Young-Simpson syndrome (OMIM #603736) and Gabriele-De Vries syndrome
279 (OMIM #617557).

280

281 3.3. *Diagnostic approach*

282 There are two main entry points for molecular genetic testing in RTS: clinical suspicion of RTS
283 or no clinical suspicion (Figure 4). If clinical presentation suggests RTS, the first-line tests are
284 either targeted analysis of *CREBBP* and *EP300* by Sanger sequencing and Multiplex Ligation-
285 dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA) or by high throughput analysis (array Comparative
286 Genomic Hybridization [aCGH]; Whole Exome Sequencing [WES] if accessible). If RTS is not
287 suspected in an individual with intellectual disability and/or malformations, the first tier is high
288 throughput analyses (aCGH; WES or Whole Genome Sequencing [WGS]). Evaluation of
289 variant should be performed using the ACMG classification,[23]. Additional RNA studies are
290 needed in case of unknown splicing variants. Suspicion of somatic mosaicism should be
291 confirmed in more than a single tissue (buccal swab; bladder epithelium cells; skin biopsy).
292 The phenotype should be re-evaluated after identification of a (possibly) pathogenic variant to
293 confirm that the molecular finding fits the clinical phenotype. If targeted analyses yield negative
294 results and high throughput analyses are not available, the diagnosis remains dependent of
295 the clinical phenotype and a definitive diagnosis may not be possible.

296 If the clinical diagnosis cannot be confirmed molecularly, molecular analyses yield a
297 variant of unknown significance (VUS), or the phenotype does not fit the molecular finding,
298 analysis of a genome-wide methylation pattern (epigenetic signature) can be performed as
299 individuals with RTS have a specific pattern,[24].

300 If all studies are negative, one should consider other diagnoses. Still, currently not all
301 molecular mechanisms leading to RTS are known, and if the clinical diagnostic criteria for RTS
302 are met (see *Clinical Diagnostic Criteria*), the diagnosis RTS remains the standard in guiding
303 management and follow-up of the patient.

304

305 3.4. *Recurrence risk*

306 RTS is inherited as an autosomal dominant trait and occurs *de novo* in over 99% of patients.
307 However, familial occurrence does occur, either if a parent is relatively mildly affected or due
308 to somatic or germ-line mosaicism,[25,26]. To date, eight instances of somatic or germ-line
309 mosaicism and seven instances of parent-to-child transmission have been described in over

310 2000 reported affected individuals, indicating the empirical recurrence risk is 0.5-1%,[27]. The
311 recurrence risk for offspring of an affected individual is 50%, although it may be lower due to a
312 spontaneous miscarriage (**R6**).

313

314 *3.5. Prenatal diagnosis*

315 Without a positive family history, the prenatal diagnosis of RTS is only infrequently made as
316 there are few reliable antenatal signs. Truly detailed three-dimensional ultrasonography may
317 allow suggestive facial characteristics, but the morphology of the extremities, and specifically
318 the radially deviated thumbs, are the main diagnostic handles,[28,29]. Additional findings that
319 may be helpful are intra-uterine growth retardation, polyhydramnios, underdevelopment of the
320 cerebellum, and gallbladder anomalies,[26].

321 The main reason to perform prenatal diagnostics for RTS is the birth of a previous child
322 with RTS in the family. If a causative variant in *CREBBP* or *EP300* has been detected, reliable
323 molecular prenatal diagnostics can be performed in samples obtained by chorionic villus
324 sampling or amniocentesis, or in embryonic cells obtained by *in vitro* fertilization (**R7**).

325 Prenatal testing in families without a previous child with RTS and known pathogenic
326 variant, by non-invasive cell-free fetal DNA screening, is not advocated, as interpretation of
327 pathogenicity of variants detected this way may be extremely difficult. This limits validity and
328 informative value of the prenatal testing and may cause ethical issues for the families in
329 deciding whether or not a pregnancy should be continued. Any prenatal testing needs be
330 discussed carefully with the couple before the procedure and should take into account the
331 differences in perspective of couples and national legislation.

332

333

334 **4. Neonatal care**

335 *4.1. Recognition*

336 86% of children present within the first month of life and 70% of these on the first day of life;
337 prolonged hospital admission after birth was reported in 61%,[30]. Early recognition of RTS
338 may help identify complications and assist families to cope,[31]. The typical facial features of
339 RTS evolve with time,[32]. The characteristic appearance in the neonatal period differs
340 somewhat as it is mainly characterized by a prominent forehead with haemangiomas ('stork-
341 bite naevus') in the glabella region, (apparent) hypertelorism, epicanthi, and at that age up-
342 slanting palpebral fissures. The nasal bridge tends to be straight, the tip short and upturned,
343 and the nasal septum is not or only slightly extending beyond the alae,[32]. A small mouth,
344 highly arched palate, and small mandible are also present. Additional features can be unusual
345 thick, black hair, a large anterior fontanelle, and long eyelashes. Newborns with a variant in

346 *EP300* tend to have a less obvious phenotype,[5]. The distal limb anomalies are the most
347 characteristic for RTS in the neonatal period and are similar to those at an older age (see
348 *Clinical Diagnostic Criteria*). Cryptorchidism is common.

349

350 4.2. Feeding.

351 Neonatal feeding difficulties are common (71-80%), due to swallowing incoordination, poor
352 nipple grasp, hypotonia and gastro-oesophageal reflux,[33]. Nutritional supplementation
353 including gastric tube feeding is required in 40% of cases, as are occasionally percutaneous
354 tubes, but most feeding challenges will have resolved within the first year of life,[30]. Should
355 feeding difficulties persist, additional professionals should be consulted (see
356 *Gastroenterology*). Still, half of the mothers report a sufficient suck and were pleased with their
357 breastfeeding experience,[33]. Adequate breastfeeding instructions, proper positioning, and
358 ongoing encouragement are indicated (**R8**).

359

360 4.3. Birth parameters

361 At birth most infants fall within the normal range for weight, length and head circumference,[34]
362 although a higher incidence of microcephaly and growth restriction has been reported in infants
363 with *EP300* variants, possibly related to the frequently occurring pre-eclampsia,[5]. There is no
364 increased risk of preterm birth,[35]. The use of RTS specific growth charts is encouraged to
365 monitor growth adequately (**R9**).

366

367 4.4. Systemic manifestations

368 The various systemic manifestations of RTS are described elsewhere in the guidelines. The
369 work-up of every newborn with suspected or confirmed RTS should include ophthalmological
370 exams (glaucoma; coloboma); cardiac assessment (malformations); and renal ultrasound
371 (malformations)(**R10**). Obviously, further care such as the baseline new-born hearing
372 screening and vaccinations should be performed as per the general population.

373

374

375 5. Endocrinology

376 5.1. Hypoglycemia

377 Transient hypoglycemia occurs with a low frequency in newborns with RTS and responds well
378 to usual management schemes (**R11**). Hypoglycemic hyperinsulinism (HH) is very rare, may
379 occur after birth or in the first years of life, sometimes associated with concurrent illness, and
380 can be transient or permanent,[36,37]. It has mainly been described in children with *EP300*
381 variants,[5]. Early diagnosis and treatment of HH is crucial to avoid permanent brain

382 damage,[38]. Treatment is as in the general population: frequent enteral feeding, continuous
383 glucose infusion, diazoxide). Usually specialist consultation is needed,[39].

384

385 *5.2. Growth*

386 Postnatal growth retardation is a hallmark of RTS,[34]. Usually within months after birth, the
387 length, weight, and head circumference drop from normal values to ~ -2SDS. Neither boys nor
388 girls show a pubertal growth spurt, which contributes to a subsequent average adult height of
389 -3SDS for both males and females,[34]. The use of growth charts specific for RTS, based on
390 molecularly confirmed patients, facilitates adequate monitoring of growth (**R9**). Growth
391 hormone (GH) deficiency is infrequent but has been reported in few individuals, in whom GH
392 therapy resulted in an increase in height SDS,[40]. Every child in whom growth differs markedly
393 from the growth pattern of the dedicated growth charts, needs to be evaluated for GH
394 deficiency (**R12**). If present, treatment is as in the general population. Pre-pubertal boys and
395 girls may develop an unusual body shape due to increased fat tissue around abdomen and
396 hips, which disappears in puberty in boys, but often persists throughout life in girls,[41].

397

398 *5.3. Puberty*

399 The timing of puberty and development of secondary sex characteristics usually falls within
400 normal limits. Mean age of onset of puberty was 12.2 years,[35] with mean age of menarche
401 at 13.6 years,[41]. There is no indication fertility is decreased, although formal studies are
402 lacking. About 25% of adult males and females with RTS are sexually active,[42]. Sexual
403 education should be proposed according to the level of emotional and cognitive
404 functioning,[43] and contraceptive options are recommended as in the general population
405 taking the level of developmental functioning into account (**R13**).

406

407

408 **6. Gastroenterology**

409 Malformations of the gastro-intestinal tract such as a duodenal web and malrotation occur at a
410 low frequency in newborns with RTS, although the frequency of the malrotation may be higher
411 than in the general population,[44,45]. Symptomatology is similar as in newborns without RTS
412 and should be managed as in the general population,[41,45].

413 Feeding problems are very frequently present at birth and may remain present for a
414 prolonged period of time,[41,45,46]. Oral feeding is preferred if it is safe and feasible, while
415 tube feeding may be needed and a gastrostomy for long-term use. Involvement of dieticians is
416 often helpful (**R14**). Although feeding problems are in part explained by the recurrent
417 respiratory infections and hypotonia, also gastro-oesophageal reflux (GOR) may play a
418 role,[46]. Limited GOR occurs in all healthy infants and children; if causing excessive

419 symptoms it is referred to as GOR disease (GORD),[47]. The symptomatology of GORD may
420 vary widely, from feeding problems, dental enamel erosions, and recurrent pneumonias to
421 restlessness and poor sleep. The pathogenesis remains uncertain,[46]. GOR(D) should be
422 differentiated from excessive regurgitation after feeds in otherwise asymptomatic infants,
423 which is usually indicated as infant rumination syndrome,[48]. Extremely rarely, eosinophilic
424 esophagitis may develop,[49]. Given the lack of evidence for management of GORD
425 specifically in RTS, management of GORD should be as in the general population,[47]:
426 thickening of food and reassurance of parents as a first step. If symptoms persist, an initial trial
427 with PPI treatment can be considered. If problems continue, further evaluation should be
428 considered. If a PPI trial improves symptomatology, this does not conclusively prove acid-
429 related GORD. Long term use of PPI may cause side-effects,[50] thus in successful PPI trials
430 individuals should undergo weaning trials regularly (e.g. after 6 months and yearly thereafter)
431 to evaluate the utility of continuing PPI treatment, while mitigating rebound effects by dose
432 tapering. If symptoms persist or recur, additional testing, such as pH-impedance testing and/or
433 endoscopy can be considered (**R15**). Fundoplication and other surgical interventions are not
434 recommended in an early phase of management, as these have a relatively high failure rate,
435 commonly cause complications, and can induce dysphagia and subsequent feeding problems;
436 it should be reserved for patients with proven GORD unresponsive to optimal nutritional and
437 medical therapy,[51]. Fortunately, complications of long-term GORD such as Barrett
438 oesophagus are rare in RTS,[52] and oesophageal cancer has not been reported.

439 Constipation is extremely prevalent in RTS across all age groups throughout the
440 lifespan,[41,45]. The cause remains unknown, Hirschsprung disease or other identifiable
441 etiologies do not occur more frequently than in the general population. Additional investigations
442 are only indicated if symptomatology suggests an underlying disease. Long-term treatment
443 with increased dietary fibers and fluid intake, and oral osmotic laxatives remain the cornerstone
444 of treatment,[53] (**R16**). In severe cases, stimulant laxatives may be added, and further
445 management schemes are as in the general population.

446

447

448 **7. Cardiology and Pulmonology**

449 *7.1. Cardiovascular system*

450 Congenital heart defects (CHDs) occur in 30% of cases, without a genotype-phenotype
451 correlation,[18,54–56]. The reported differences in incidence according to ethnicity can be
452 explained by ascertainment bias and differences in methodology,[57]. The typical CHDs are
453 patent ductus arteriosus, persistent foramen ovale, and atrial and ventricular septal
454 defect,[5,13,19,55,58–60]. Individuals with a CHD do not have a higher rate of other
455 malformations or are associated with impaired cognitive function.

456 The cardiovascular system should be evaluated at diagnosis, including cardiac
457 sonography (**R17**). Treatment is as in the general population, including endocarditis
458 prophylaxis as indicated. Surgery is needed in 15-22% of patients,[42,61]. CHDs do not cause
459 unexpected complications in adults,[42].

460 Cardiovascular problems typical for the general adult population occur in adults with
461 RTS in a lower frequency. Hypertension is reported in 10% of adults,[42] and surveillance and
462 treatment are as in the general population (**R18**).

463

464 7.2. Pulmonary system

465 Mild respiratory distress in the first hours of life is common in RTS neonates. Treatment is only
466 needed if other risk factors such as prematurity are present. Upper respiratory infections are
467 common (see *Immunology*). Infections of the lower respiratory system are uncommon,[42] and
468 are explained by feeding problems, micro-aspirations, and gastro-oesophageal reflux.
469 Exceptionally, an immunodeficiency may play a role; the reported higher frequency of lower
470 respiratory infections was caused by a study bias,[62]. In case of recurrent pneumonia with
471 wheezing, hoarseness, or stridor, the patient should first be evaluated for micro-aspirations
472 and gastro-oesophageal reflux,[49] (**R19**). If negative, a search for immunodeficiency is
473 indicated. Bronchiectasis has been described only in individuals with severe immunological
474 malfunctioning,[63].

475 Interstitial lung disease that becomes evident either in childhood,[64] or adulthood,[65]
476 is uncommon but potentially severe. The diagnosis is made through the radiological
477 characteristics on computed tomography and can be confirmed by biopsy,[64]. Management
478 is as in the general population and is problematic.

479 Pulmonary functioning can also be compromised secondary to restrictive pulmonary
480 diseases related to scoliosis,[66] and pulmonary hypertension caused by chronic sleep apnoea
481 (OSA),[67] (see *Ear Nose and Throat*).

482

483

484 8. Ophthalmology

485 Ocular abnormalities and/or reduced vision are reported in 20-80% of individuals with
486 RTS,[55,57,61,68–72]. An overview of ocular anomalies is presented in Table S3 (Suppl
487 Materials). Every child with RTS needs to be referred for ophthalmological evaluation once the
488 diagnosis is suspected (**R20**).

489 Eye abnormalities were reported to be more common in individuals from Asia and Latin
490 America than those from Africa and the Middle East, but this may be biased,[57]. Both
491 individuals with *CREBBP* and *EP300* variants present ocular anomalies, but due to small

492 numbers of data on individuals with *EP300* variants differences in occurrence remain
493 uncertain.

494

495 *8.1. Anatomical anomalies*

496 Congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction by a persistent membranous obstruction at the
497 entrance of the duct into the nose causes a watery eye from birth. It is mostly unilateral, with
498 the incidence between 11% – 47%,^[55,57,59,71–74]. Treatment follows international
499 guidelines ([Nasolacrimal Duct Obstruction in Children - American Academy of Ophthalmology](#)
500 [\(aao.org\)](#)) but the surgeon should be aware of the thicker bones and brittle lacrimal sacs in
501 children with RTS,^[75].

502 The reported frequency of congenital glaucoma varies from 4%-11%,^[55,57,61,72,75].
503 The glaucoma can be unilateral or bilateral and be associated with anterior segment anomalies
504 such as iris coloboma or lens luxation. Symptoms include tearing, blepharospasm, and
505 photophobia, and enlargement of the eye, manifesting as megalocornea and rapidly increasing
506 myopia. Treatment should be as soon as possible after birth as it can lead to marked loss of
507 vision ([www.eugs.org. Congenital Glaucoma - Europe - American Academy of Ophthalmology](#)
508 [\(aao.org\)](#)).

509 Cataract has been reported in 6-25% of individuals with RTS,^[19,57,61,72,75], and is
510 usually congenital,^[72]. Reliable incidence figures are lacking. Early diagnosis and treatment
511 in the first two months of life are mandatory to avoid visual deprivation, treatment is as in the
512 general population ([Pediatric Cataracts: Overview - American Academy of Ophthalmology](#)
513 [\(aao.org\)](#)). Frequent follow-up is needed for appropriate refractive correction and monitoring of
514 secondary complications. Cataract may also develop later in life,^[71] (**R21**).

515 Coloboma is reported in 10% of individuals,^[19,57,59,71–73]. The coloboma can affect
516 the iris, choroid, retina, and/or optic nerve. Symptoms depend on location and size and may
517 include visual field loss, reduced vision and photophobia. There is no curative therapy, but
518 sometimes glare can be reduced by wearing sunglasses.

519 Retinal abnormalities occur frequently,^[72] but are often subtle, so may go unnoticed,
520 without severe loss of vision, except for macular degeneration secondary to high myopia (**R21**).
521 Evidence may be present in abnormal distribution of pigment in the macula and a subnormal
522 electroretinogram. In some patients, the abnormal aspect of the macula is caused by foveal
523 hypoplasia (Van Genderen, unpublished).

524

525 *8.2. Functional anomalies*

526 Visual impairment (best corrected binocular visual acuity < 6/18) occurs in 20% of
527 individuals,^[72] and typically is caused by anatomical abnormalities. Bilateral severe anomalies
528 may lead to infantile nystagmus because of decreased sensory input from birth. Refractive

529 errors and strabismus are very common, both occurring in 50-75% of individuals, and may
530 change rapidly with age indicating the need of frequent controls, especially under 5 years of
531 age[13,55,57,61,71,72] (**R21**). In young children, high refractive errors need correction to
532 prevent amblyopia. Children may however refuse to wear glasses if improvement of vision is
533 not immediately evident. Gradual introduction in situations in which the child benefits most from
534 glasses may allow the child to get accustomed to wearing spectacles (**R22**).

535 Treatment of strabismus to prevent amblyopia is as in the general population, provided
536 the affected eye has no congenital anomaly that inhibits amelioration of vision.

537 Photophobia is common due to cataract, glaucoma, or trichiasis,[72] treatment is by
538 treating the cause. Photophobia secondary to coloboma or retinal dysfunction can be
539 ameliorated by shielding the eyes from direct (sun) light or wearing sunglasses.

540

541

542 **9. Otolaryngology and Anesthesiology**

543 *9.1. Hearing*

544 The typical facial characteristics in individuals with RTS include a small chin and small oral
545 cavity which can result in airway difficulties and, together with gastro-oesophageal reflux, can
546 result in complications as recurrent middle ear infections,[76]. Conductive, sensorineural and
547 mixed hearing loss may result,[77–79]. Regular auditory evaluation is therefore recommended
548 (**R23**).

549

550 *9.2. Sleep*

551 Abnormal facial anatomy and increased collapsibility of the laryngeal walls predispose
552 individuals with RTS to higher rates of sleep disordered breathing and obstructive sleep
553 apnea,[80,81]. Sleep disorders are frequent in children, and occur in 62% of adults,[42,61].
554 Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is typically characterized by snoring and excessive daytime
555 sleepiness, and affects 25% of adults with RTS,[42,61]. If present in children the facial anatomy
556 is often markedly abnormal and accompanied by obesity, hypotonia and adeno-tonsillar
557 hypertrophy,[81]. As with the general population, management should take into account the
558 various causal factors as well as potential difficulties in treating both children and adults with
559 RTS,[67] (**R24**). Assessment of the sleep patterns using a validated questionnaire, such as the
560 Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children,[82] may offer information on both sleep patterns and
561 response to therapy (**R25**). Prior to a major surgical intervention, polysomnography should be
562 considered,[83]. Management of sleep disorders is aimed at implementing healthy sleep
563 practices, particularly position during sleep, behavioral strategies, and the use of and

564 education on pharmacologic interventions. Melatonin should be used appropriately in
565 individuals with specific types of insomnias and sleep rhythm disturbances.

566

567 *9.3. Anesthesiology*

568 Approximately 48% of adults with RTS require surgery at least once, with half of those requiring
569 two or more surgeries during their lifetime,[42]. Children with RTS are no exception as they
570 receive a higher fraction of anesthetics relative to their age-matched cohorts,[35]. As a result
571 of the multi-systemic manifestations of RTS, anesthesiologists should be prepared to provide
572 a tailored anesthetic for this population (**R26**).

573 Premedication and behavioral therapy support may prove beneficial in the preoperative
574 setting. A single case series described complications such as cardiac arrhythmias associated
575 with intraoperative administration of atropine and succinylcholine, but other studies have
576 shown the safe and efficacious use in RTS,[84,85] and this is also our joint personal
577 experience. The altered facial anatomy may make mask-ventilation, laryngoscopy, and
578 intubation challenging, and coupled with positioning limitations that may be present due to
579 scoliosis, kyphosis, hypermobility, and obesity, may warrant use of video-laryngoscopy or
580 fiberoptic intubation,[35,86]. Rarely, transnasal placement of a nasopharyngeal airway or
581 nasogastric tube is inhibited due to narrow or atretic choanae.

582 Intraoperative management of ventilation and post-extubation care can be complicated
583 by the presence of laryngotrachomalacia and augmented airway reactivity. In the immediate
584 postoperative period, opioid use, while not contraindicated, should be used judiciously to
585 prevent exacerbation of obstructive symptoms and hasten potential apneas. The peri-operative
586 use of analgesic and anxiolytic adjuncts such as NSAIDs, acetaminophen, and
587 dexmedetomidine are encouraged, if not contraindicated secondary to other co-morbidities or
588 surgical considerations. Initiation of transient, non-invasive positive airway pressure may be
589 helpful. Secondary to the elevated risk of complications with anesthesia and airway
590 manipulation, particular efforts should be made to bundle non-emergent procedures into a
591 single anesthetic to mitigate potential morbidity (**R27**).

592

593

594 **10. Dermatology**

595 The main skin problem in RTS is the propensity to develop keloid. Keloids are non-malignant
596 fibrous growths resulting from an abnormal response to skin injuries or inflammation that
597 extend beyond the borders of the original wound. The pathogenesis of keloids is thought to
598 involve multiple patient-specific factors (genetics, age, hormones, ethnicity), and
599 environmental factors (trauma, surgery, inflammation) which collectively stimulate wound
600 healing and persistent inflammation,[87]. Spontaneous keloids occur only in genetic

601 syndromes,[88] raising the question whether they are truly spontaneous, or whether
602 unrecognized triggering environmental factors occur.

603 RTS is the syndrome considered to have the highest risk of keloid development,[89].
604 The frequency of Dutch and UK RTS individuals developing keloids was 24%[89]. While
605 keloids are most frequently occurring in association with *CREBBP* variants, around 10% of
606 individuals with *EP300*-related disease develop such changes,[5,13,56]. Compared to the
607 general population keloids develop earlier in life in individuals with RTS,[57,89] and increase
608 with age: up to 60% was reported in a cohort of adults,[42]. Up to 100 keloids have been
609 recorded in the same individual,[90]. In RTS keloids are most frequently seen on shoulders
610 and chest,[89]. Development of keloids is not associated with other traits of the phenotype
611 within RTS,[89].

612 Apart from aesthetic issues, keloids cause pain, itching and reduced mobility of the
613 involved region, thus seriously affecting the quality of patients' lives,[89] (**R28**). Prevention is
614 difficult and keloids may be unavoidable as minimal trauma such as rubbing of clothes may be
615 sufficient to induce keloid formation. There are no standardized treatment protocols of keloids
616 in individuals with RTS. Therapy options include repeated intra-lesion steroid injections, laser
617 therapy, compression, local radiation, cryotherapy, and surgery, either individually or in
618 combination, but no treatment is fully satisfactory, and the recurrence rate remains high,[91].
619 There is no detectable association between keloids and cancer risk, suggesting different
620 etiologies or pathogeneses,[92].

621 Another skin problem in RTS occurring in 17% of a series of molecularly proven Dutch
622 cases,[93] are multiple pilomatricomas: benign skin tumors derived from hair matrix, often
623 harboring activating mutations of beta-catenin,[94]. These skin-colored, red, or white lesions
624 typically occur on the head and neck in children and adolescents, but do occur elsewhere and
625 may arise at older ages as well. Pilomatricomas typically calcify, causing them to feel like hard
626 lumps. They may coexist with keloids,[19,95]. Similar to keloids there are often multiple
627 pilomatricomas, and puberty may act as triggering factor. Complete surgical excision has been
628 recommended,[96], but others suggested surgical removal only in case of discomfort,[89]
629 (**R29**).

630 Ingrowing nails occur regularly in both fingers and toes, especially in the partially
631 duplicated thumbs and halluces,[35] and may cause pain and skin infections. Adequate
632 instructions regarding nail care and avoiding narrow shoes may prevent ingrowing nails (**R30**).
633 Treatment is as in the general population. Further skin findings in RTS are congenital
634 generalized hypertrichosis, both in individuals with *CREBBP* and *EP300* variants,[97]
635 apparently more frequent in individuals from Latin America and Middle East and less frequently
636 in those from Africa,[57]. Usually, it becomes less marked with age. Other changes are

637 angiomas, melanocytic naevi, white papulae on trunk and limbs, supernumerary nipples, and
638 sometimes lentigines and café-au-lait spots.

639

640

641 **11. Urogenital system**

642 *11.1. Urinary Tract*

643 Urinary tract anomalies occur in 23% of individuals with RTS,[5,13,19,35,55,57] and include
644 horseshoe kidney, renal duplication, renal agenesis, renal dysplasia, hydronephrosis,
645 nephrolithiasis, and vesicoureteral reflux. Symptomatology and treatment follow the general
646 population management. Individuals with *CREBBP* and *EP300* variants are equally affected
647 and there is no known genotype-phenotype correlation.

648 The high prevalence of renal anomalies warrants at least one renal ultrasound and
649 blood pressure measurement when the diagnosis of RTS has been made (**R31**). If renal
650 anomalies or an elevated blood pressure are detected, consultation with a specialist ([pediatric]
651 nephrologist and urologist) is recommended (**R32**). Hypertension in children with RTS is rare
652 but can occur, and is then caused by renal artery stenosis (RCH, unpublished observations).

653

654 *11.2. Genitalia*

655 The most common genital anomaly is unilateral or bilateral cryptorchidism, which occurs in
656 59% of males,[13,17,19,35,55,57]. All males should be checked by careful physical exam after
657 diagnosis (**R33**). Treatment is as in the general population following international
658 guidelines,[98]. Other external anomalies occurring in less than 10% of individuals are
659 hypospadias in both males and females, and fusion of labia minora,[19,35] which can be
660 treated as in the general population. Shawl scrotum formation is common in RTS and needs
661 no treatment.

662 Uterine malformations have been reported rarely,[99]. Females may have
663 hypermenorrhagia or metrorrhagia. A questionnaire survey among 76 females (Suppl
664 Materials Menses Survey) yielded that 10 of them did not yet or did no longer menstruate, 21
665 of the remaining 66 (32%) used medication (typically contraceptives) because of menses
666 problems, 19 of the 45 (42%) without this medication has metrorrhagia and 10 of 45 (22%)
667 menorrhagia. Contraceptives were invariably successfully treating the menses problems
668 (**R34**).

669

670

671 **12. Musculoskeletal System**

672 Musculoskeletal anomalies in RTS vary widely. They are somewhat more frequent in
673 individuals with *CREBBP* variants than in those with *EP300* variants,[5]. Using the data from

674 several large series of patients,[5,11,13,17–19,100–103] major limb anomalies (*CREBBP*
675 variants vs *EP300* variants) are broad thumbs (343/360; 95% vs 51/81; 63%), radially deviated
676 thumbs (183/343; 53% vs 5/71; 7%) and broad halluces (278/290; 96% vs 55/81; 68%). The
677 broadness of the thumbs hardly ever causes problems, but the broadness of the halluces may
678 cause problems in walking or wearing shoes, especially if the halluces are medially deviated.
679 In a minority of patients, surgical correction is needed. Several methods for surgical correction
680 have been reported,[104–107]. However, often the deviated thumbs have good function and
681 recurrence of the deviation after surgery is common. In our experience a decision regarding
682 surgery is best postponed until the function of the hands in the patient can be accurately
683 evaluated, which typically can be done around 3 to 4 years of age. If surgery is indicated, it
684 should be performed by a surgeon familiar with the procedure in RTS (**R35**).

685 Other findings include limitation of mobility between the proximal and distal phalanx of
686 the thumbs, broadness of distal phalanges of fingers, limited syndactylies, and rarely
687 camptodactyly, but these do not require treatment.

688 Hypermobility in the hip, elbow, fingers and thumbs, knee and patella is
689 common,[35,80,108,109]. In combination with other not well-known factors (muscular, bony,
690 neurologic), this may cause stiffness and the typical waddling gait in some adolescents and
691 adults. A detailed evaluation of motor skills is indicated,[110] (**R36**). Further studies describing
692 gait problems in RTS are lacking.

693 Regular evaluation of the gait is indicated since patella dislocation and Perthes-like hip
694 problems may need therapy (**R36**). In particular, patella problems can cause major mobility
695 challenges and, if untreated, can cause problems like genua valga and knee contractures.
696 These issues may ultimately necessitate wheelchair use. Recurrent patella dislocation may
697 require physical therapy, orthotics or surgical correction,[111,112] although procedures are not
698 always successful.

699 An emerging gait disturbance in older children and adolescents may be caused by an
700 aseptic hip joint inflammation resembling Perthes disease, which occurs in 3% of patients, is
701 often marked, and may take 2 or 3 years to resolve spontaneously,[80]. It may be difficult to
702 distinguish this from slipped capital femoral epiphyses,[113]. Management is symptomatic.

703 Other uncommon limb problems such as congenital hip dislocation, tight heel cords
704 and increased risk for fractures, should be treated as in the general population.

705 Scoliosis is reported in 34/184 (18%) of individuals with *CREBBP* variants and 15/78
706 (19%) of those with *EP300* variants,[5] and develops in late childhood and puberty. Treatment
707 is as in the general population (**R37**). Significant thoracic kyphosis and lumbar lordosis can
708 occur and typically do not need treatment,[41,45]. Radiologically the spine may show changes
709 resembling an early ankylosing spondylitis (M. Bechterew) but progression into a true
710 ankylosing spondylitis has not been reported,[35]. Other infrequent spine anomalies include

711 instability of C1-C2, underdevelopment of the dens, and cervical vertebral fusions, which
712 should be managed as in the general population,[114]. Occult spina bifida is detected regularly
713 but does not cause clinical manifestations and may be left untreated.

714 Children and adults have an increased fracture risk, and 8% of adults have
715 osteoporosis indicating a potentially disturbed ossification in RTS,[42] [Simpson *et al.*
716 unpublished observations] (**R38**). Clues for this abnormal ossification in radiographies of the
717 upper spine have been reported,[35].

718

719

720 **13. Intra-oral characteristics**

721 The main non-dental oral characteristic of RTS is the narrow, highly arched palate, that may
722 rarely show clefting of either the complete palate (sometimes submucous), the soft palate or
723 only the uvula, which may or may not be accompanied by a cleft lip,[5]. A careful evaluation of
724 the palate is indicated in every newborn or child with RTS (**R39**). The treatment of clefting is
725 as in the general population. Other, less frequent characteristics are a relatively large tongue,
726 bifid tip of the tongue, a short frenulum, and wide alveolar ridges,[35].

727 Dental characteristics are almost universally present and may exist as abnormalities in
728 tooth number (15-30%; hyperdontia, hypodontia, mesiodens), structure (23-29%; enamel
729 hypoplasia, discoloration), eruption (5%; neonatal teeth, persistence of primary teeth, delayed
730 eruption), position (62-64%; malocclusion, malalignment, crowded teeth, cross bite), and
731 abnormal tooth shape including talon cusps, a diagnostic hallmark for RTS,[61,115,116]. Talon
732 cusps are accessory cusps on the lingual side of incisors. *CREBBP* and *EP300* are strongly
733 expressed in both incisors and molars[117] and influence the formation of the secondary and
734 (to a lesser extent) primary enamel knots, allowing, if mutated, for talon cusp formation in 27%
735 of primary incisors and 70-92% of permanent (upper) incisors,[115,116]. Sealing the fissures
736 around the talon cusps may prevent caries. Treatment is only needed if interfering with mouth
737 closure and occlusion or leading to marked caries (**R40**).

738 Dental anomalies may also be secondary, i.e. difficulties in maintaining adequate oral
739 health leading to caries and periodontal disease, and also to enamel demineralization due to
740 gastroesophageal reflux,[115,116]. Children and adults with RTS often demonstrate also
741 anxieties when facing dental assessments and treatments, stressing the need of early
742 intervention,[118]. Informing parents and other caregivers of the importance of early adequate
743 oral hygiene, and subsequent advice, is paramount. Regular dental evaluation and treatment,
744 preferably by a dentist with experience in caring for individuals with special needs, can prevent
745 further problems, and treatment may be aided with sedation or general anesthesia,[119] (**R41**).
746 Orthodontic assessments and treatments are as in the general population. However, some

747 procedures may not be well tolerated and should be considered in close collaboration with the
748 individual and family.

749

750

751 **14. Immunology**

752 *14.1. Infections*

753 Recurrent infections of organs or organ systems do not typically occur in RTS, except for
754 respiratory infections (70% of children, <20% of adults), including otitis media,[35,42,61].

755 Explanations include microaspiration and gastroesophageal reflux, but dysfunction of the
756 immune response may also contribute. B cell defects have been reported,[62]. If a child with

757 RTS has recurrent unexplained infections, a baseline immune workup including complete
758 blood count (CBC) with differential, immunoglobulin (Ig) levels (IgG, IgA and IgM), vaccine

759 titers and lymphocyte subsets with B cell phenotyping should be performed (**R42**). In lower
760 airway infections microaspiration or gastroesophageal reflux should be considered (**R19**). If

761 the immune workup yields abnormal results, consultation with an immunologist is indicated
762 (**R42**). Although a reduction of T cell or specific T cell subtypes has been found in some cases,

763 combined immune defects such as viral or opportunistic infections, have not been reported
764 and specific antiviral or antifungal prophylaxis is not indicated,[62]. Vaccination can be

765 performed as in the general population, causing the typical level of protection (**R43**).

766

767 *14.2. Oncology*

768 CREBBP and EP300 are involved in a number of basic cellular activities, such as DNA repair,
769 growth, differentiation, apoptosis, and tumor suppression. Early surveys suggested an

770 increased frequency of malignancies in case reports on individuals with RTS,[120]. However,
771 a more a recent population-based study found no evidence for an increased risk for

772 malignancies in individuals below 40 years of age,[93]. Data for older individuals are too limited
773 to allow conclusions. Benign tumors, however, were more common: meningiomas and

774 pilomatricomas were present in 8% and 17% of molecularly proven patients, respectively,[93].

775 Surveillance for malignancies below 40 years of age is not recommended; the value of
776 additional surveillance at an older age remains uncertain, and these individuals should follow

777 surveillance schemes according to national standards (**R44**).

778

779

780

781 **15. Neurology**

782 *15.1. Central Nervous System anomalies*

783 The most common intracranial malformations (74%) in individuals with RTS are corpus
784 callosum–(CC) related malformations. Periventricular posterior white matter abnormalities
785 (63%), cerebellar vermis malformations (58%) and small or absent olfactory bulb (32%) are
786 also regularly observed,[28,54,121–124]. Infrequent findings are Arnold Chiari malformation,
787 underdeveloped pituitary gland, and Dandy-Walker
788 malformation,[28,35,40,41,54,55,125,126]. None of these findings has direct consequences
789 for regular medical care and routine cerebral brain MRI is not recommended and indications
790 for brain MRI studies should follow the standard of care for the general population (**R45**), with
791 the exception of microcephaly without other neurological manifestations. Spinal cord
792 malformations such as tethered cord, syringomyelia, lipomas and spina bifida have also been
793 observed,[13,35,121,124,127]. Spinal MRI is indicated if neurological signs or symptoms are
794 present. Studies for genotype – brain phenotype association haven suggested an association
795 of microcephaly and low-positioning of the conus with an altered KAT function,[121] and no
796 other association.

797

798 *15.2. Epilepsy*

799 Nonspecific electroencephalogram (EEG) abnormalities are observed around 58-76% of
800 individuals with RTS2 but clinical epileptic manifestations are infrequent, ranging from 9-
801 33%,[5,13,57,121,128–130]. In individuals with RTS type 2, epilepsy is reported in 0-
802 10%,[5,13]. Specific EEG findings also in individuals without a history of seizures have been
803 suggested,[121,122], but have no consequences for medical care. Routine EEGs are therefore
804 not recommended, and EEGs should remain limited to individuals with RTS with epileptic
805 seizures. Treatment and surveillance should follow national standards of care. (**R46**).

806

807

808 **16. Neurodevelopment**

809 The early symptoms of the delayed development are the delay in achieving basic motor skills
810 (Table 3),[35,131]. First words are typically spoken at 2 years of age, sentences of two- or
811 three-words at 4 years of age or later on, with a wide variability across individuals. Intelligence
812 Quotient (IQ) ranges from 25 to 79, nonverbal performance IQ generally being higher than
813 verbal IQ,[41,121,132,133]. Individuals with a *CREBBP* variant typically have a moderate to
814 severe intellectual disability (ID), while individuals with *EP300* variants have mainly a mild ID
815 and only rarely severe ID,[5]. There is no correlation between the type and site of variants and
816 cognitive abilities,[5,11].

817 Intellectual disability involves related impairments of cognitive function, learning
818 attainment, expressive language, symbolic play and adaptive behavior. The role of reduced
819 neuronal histone acetylation in the etiology of ID has been pointed out by mouse models of

820 RTS showing deficits in long term memory (LTM), but not in short term memory (STM) upon a
821 variety of learning and memory tasks,[134,135]. Weaker memory impairments were found in
822 *Ep300* mutant mice[136] in keeping with the milder ID of *EP300*- compared to *CREBBP*-
823 mutated individuals. Consolidation of learned information into long term memories through
824 stimuli-driven transcription is mainly imputed to CBP given its interaction with CREB, a key
825 transcription factor involved in memory formation which diminished levels impair spatial
826 memory,[137] as observed in RTS children. Mice with *Cbp* mutation(s) disrupting CBP-CREB
827 interaction, besides memory deficits exhibit impaired motor skill learning,[138] similar to the
828 difficulties in planning and executing motor acts experienced by *CREBBP*-mutated patients.

829 Early assessment of cognitive abilities will benefit each child to access care earlier and
830 for optimal stimulation of development (**R47**). Non-verbal children may benefit from non-
831 symbolic communication, such as non-speech vocalization and gestures, which helps them in
832 their social interactions, and augmentative communication should be prioritized from early on,
833 also in the preverbal stage (**R48**). Early physiotherapy may enhance rehabilitation as well,
834 focusing on their most weakened skills, which have been identified as those requiring a high
835 level of visuo-motor coordination,[110]. Early implementation and maintenance of
836 communication strategies to catalyze preverbal and verbal language development and
837 socialization skills. Follow-up should include also repeated neuropsychological testing to
838 ensure continuous optimal stimulation, especially at sensitive life phases (school entry,
839 puberty, traumatic events, adulthood and aging),[42] (**R49**).

840

841

842 **17. Behaviour**

843 *17.1. Recommendations for clinical practice*

844 Interventions for behaviours, cognition and emotion specifically for individuals with RTS are
845 lacking. Applying strategies and intervention approaches designed for individuals with
846 intellectual disability in general, as well as interventions for individuals with a diagnosis of
847 autism, may be helpful (Table S4 summarises key recommendations).

848

849 *17.2. Self-injurious and aggressive behaviour*

850 The prevalences of self-injurious and aggressive behaviour vary markedly in children and
851 adults with RTS (between 7-48% and 10-16%, respectively),[18,139]. These figures are similar
852 to the prevalences in individuals with intellectual disability and autism in general,[140].
853 Aggressive behaviours may increase in older individuals,[132,141]. Our joint experience
854 indicates that the self-injurious behavior and aggression do not show specific characteristics.

855 However, formal studies assessing individuals over time and describing specific topographies
856 of behaviour using standardised measures, are lacking.

857

858 *17.3. Emotions*

859 Emotional outbursts, often severe and weekly, were noted in 7/31 children,[139]. However, a
860 questionnaire study measuring ‘temper tantrums or hot temper’ found no differences between
861 children with RTS and typically developing children,[142]. Emotional outbursts were reported
862 in 5/13 adults with RTS,[139] seemingly indicating an increase with age, as reported by
863 others,[132].

864 On the Child Behaviour Checklist, 64.5% of individuals above 13 years of age and 27.5% of
865 younger individuals were reported to be very anxious,[141]. The anxiety is not correlated with
866 genotypes,[59]. For some anxiety subtypes, scores did not differ from children diagnosed with
867 an anxiety disorder,[143]. Screening for anxieties using a questionnaire validated for
868 individuals with intellectual disability will benefit many individuals with RTS (**R50**). Subsequent
869 interventions should follow best practice guidance for individuals with intellectual disability.

870

871 *17.4 Repetitive behaviours*

872 Repetitive behaviours in individuals with RTS include body, hand and object stereotypy,
873 adherence to routines, repetitive phrases and repetitive questioning,[66,142,144]. Repetitive
874 behaviour, in particular repetitive questioning has been associated with inhibitory control and
875 working memory difficulties,[145,146] which has led to the hypothesis that individuals may
876 have difficulties suppressing questioning behaviour, and retaining information in their working
877 memory,[145,146]. Co-occurrence of adherence to routines and temper outbursts in older
878 individuals has led to the suggestion that executive function difficulties may contribute to these
879 characteristics,[142,146].

880

881 *17.5. Autism Spectrum Characteristics*

882 Prevalence rates of autism range from 37-44% on standardised screening
883 assessments,[139,142]. The estimates for individuals with a *CREBBP* variant haven been
884 higher (49%) compared to those with an EP300 variant (25%),[5]. Studies utilising direct
885 assessments of children with a *CREBBP* variant and a severe intellectual disability,
886 demonstrate areas of cognitive and socio-emotional differences similar to those in children
887 with a diagnosis of autism matched for degree of disability,[133]. Therefore, families can make
888 use of strategies designed for autism populations, specifically with respect to strategies for
889 language delays, imitation, and symbolic activities,[42] (**R51**).

890 Caregivers need to be aware that most screening questionnaires use both repetitive
891 behaviour and social behaviour in their scoring, and individuals with RTS may reach the cut-
892 off for autism only because of their repetitive behaviour.

893

894 *17.6. Social characteristics*

895 Social behaviour is typically characterised by motivation to interact with others, and enhanced
896 social skills,[142] and 'over-friendliness' have been reported in >70% of individuals,[132,139]
897 while other studies using observational measures, have suggested social motivation is aligned
898 with typical development,[143].

899 Parents have reported that their children are vulnerable to social exploitation,
900 particularly as they age and gain independence,[147]. While social motivation is likely to be
901 heightened or preserved, social understanding (e.g. the ability to think about what another may
902 be thinking) is a relative weakness,[147]. Individuals with RTS may benefit from learning
903 appropriate skills to manage complex social situations, understand others' intentions, and
904 reduce impulsivity (**R52**).

905

906 *17.7. Self-regulation, impulsivity, and overactivity*

907 Distractibility, impulsivity, and overactivity have been noted from early descriptions of
908 RTS,[1,35,41]. A short attention span was found in 76-90%,[35,41], irrespective the cognitive
909 level,[142]. Studies yielded varying results regarding hyperactivity, and sometimes
910 underactivity was noticed,[1,35,61,147].

911

912 *17.8 Increased pain threshold*

913 Our joint experience indicates that many parents report their child has not shown evidence of
914 pain or discomfort following a fall or an accident, even for gallstones, fractures, burns or other
915 significant injuries and illnesses. Consequently, it is important not to underestimate subtle
916 changes in behaviour. Medical professionals should be receptive to parent reports, and
917 investigate pro-actively, even if the presence of a major health problem seems unlikely.

918

919

920 **18. Adult Care**

921 Over 90% of individuals with RTS reportedly survive to adulthood,[71] and progress in
922 diagnostics, knowledge and management abilities allows improved care for older
923 individuals,[61]. Adults with RTS enjoy both social and occupational activities and show a
924 varied experience of everyday life. A recently reported cohort of adults underscored the
925 importance of continued management and follow-up,[42]. Half of all individuals required multi-
926 specialist follow-up and surgery during adulthood, usually more than once. Fortunately,

927 significant morbidity in adulthood is not frequent. The adult natural history of RTS is defined by
928 behavioural/psychiatric problems (83%), gastrointestinal problems (73%), skin and adnexa
929 problems (65%), sleep problems (62%), and further concerns of high pain threshold,
930 decreased mobility, hypersensitivity to noise and crowded places and vision difficulties or loss
931 (approximately 50%).

932 The behavioural pattern remains broad but includes frequently rigid, repetitive and
933 inflexible behaviours and emotional dysregulation (anxiety, aggression, frustration and/or a
934 mood disorder) with reported age-dependent progression,[141,144]. Sleep problems show a
935 consistent pattern of sleep apnoea, difficulty staying asleep and an increased need for
936 sleep,[42].

937 Clinical concerns include gastrointestinal problems with highest frequency of
938 constipation and in much lower frequency, other problems including eosinophilic esophagitis.
939 Retinal dysplasia increases with age,[72] but does not cause severe loss of vision. Skin
940 problems are variable but typically progressive, such as keloid formation, ingrowing finger-
941 and/or toenails (with infections) and poor wound healing,[42]. Hypertension, overweight,
942 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular problems do occur in adults but in a lower frequency
943 compared to the general population,[42]. Treatment is as in the general population (**R53**).

944 Data on fertility are limited but likely fertility is not impacted. Adults with RTS may be
945 sexually active (25%),[42]. Risk to offspring is 50% with each pregnancy and familial
946 recurrence has been reported. Thus, developmentally appropriate sexual education
947 throughout the lifespan and especially at transition to adulthood is indicated,[43,61] (**R54**).
948 Contraceptive options should be discussed with the individual and family.

949 Reliable data on other adult problems such as dementia are not available.

950

951

952 **18. Clinical trials**

953 CBP and p300 have multiple actions and functions, and clinical trials are aimed at decreasing
954 or correcting abnormal functioning. Prenatally, variants in *CREBBP/EP300* can cause
955 malformations unamenable to postnatal change (**R55**). Variants can also cause dysplasias,
956 and these may still be influenced postnatally. CBP/p300 are the 'master co-activators' of
957 transcription in humans,[148] due to their involvement in many important pathways related to
958 development and differentiation, and postnatal functions such as calcium signalling, nutrient
959 metabolism, hypoxia and stress response,[149–151]. The latter may be influenced postnatally,
960 thus obvious candidate dysfunctions are memory problems, behaviour, keloids, and
961 gastrointestinal problems (**R56**).

962

963 **18.1. Cognition**

964 *CREBBP/EP300* mutations cause epigenetic modifications that impact brain development and
965 postnatal brain function of *cbp+/cbp-* mice,[150]. Histone deacetylases inhibitors (HDACi) lead
966 to an increase in the acetylation in mice. The HDACi suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid and
967 trichostatin A have been shown to influence neurological functioning and long-term memory in
968 mice,[135].

969 Inhibitors of phosphodiesterase 4 (PDE4) prevent the hydrolysis of cAMP enhancing
970 PKA-dependent signalling upstream of *CREBBP*. The PDE4 inhibitor rolipram abolishes the
971 long-term memory defects of *cbp+/cbp-* mice,[152]. Rolipram is currently tested in Fragile X
972 syndrome and Alzheimer disease (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03817684) that may be
973 associated with reduced histone acetylation,[153]. If successful it is a candidate to be used in
974 individuals with RTS as well.

975 The HDAC inhibitor sodium valproate can pass the blood brain barrier. A monocentric,
976 double-blind, randomized, phase 2 trial, primary endpoint long-term memory, investigated the
977 efficiency of sodium valproate after one year of treatment (30 mg/kg/d) in 41 children with RTS
978 (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01619644). Results using subtests of a neuropsychological test battery
979 specifically designed for memory evaluation did not demonstrate a significant difference
980 between the verum and placebo group. As side effect a slight amelioration of some motor
981 functions was found, and a trial with sodium valproate using motor skills as primary outcome
982 should be considered.

983

984 *18.2. Keloids*

985 Keloids develop most likely following an inciting stimulus (environmental factor) in genetically
986 predisposed individuals. The unremitting accumulation of thick fibers of collagen I and III in the
987 extracellular matrix of connective tissue places keloids among fibrotic disorders. Keloids are
988 unique to humans, there are no adequate animal models, and a high inter-and intra-lesional
989 heterogeneity impair comparison of *in vitro* models,[87].

990 The principal cell type responsible for keloids is the myofibroblast derived from resident
991 skin fibroblasts through trans-differentiation or pluripotent stem cells,[154] but also
992 keratinocytes play a distinct role based on their stemness signature,[155]. Fibroblasts from
993 keloids overexpress transforming growth factor (TGF)- β 1/2 and their receptors that interact
994 with intracellular SMADs, stimulate transcription of genes intervening in wound healing, and
995 cause persistent inflammation through continuous cell division, growth of extracellular matrix
996 beyond the wound boundary, and abnormal vascularization. Inhibition of the TGF- β 1/2
997 signalling pathway is therefore the main target of keloids therapeutics. Indeed, the TGF- β
998 receptor inhibitor LY2109761 has been shown to suppress secretion of keloid matrix
999 components and to slow down proliferation of derived fibroblasts,[156].

1000 Within keloids several pathways are dysregulated epigenetic modifications including
1001 DNA methylation, histone modification and non-coding RNAs,[157,158]. Reverting these
1002 epigenetic anomalies to those of normal skin may also lead to successful treatment. Mutated
1003 CBP/p300 causes abnormal histone acetylation which may cause the epigenetic signature of
1004 keloids in individuals with RTS to be different from that of keloids from individuals with other
1005 disorders. Much of the work on histone modifications on keloids has been focussed on the use
1006 of the HDAC inhibitor trichostatin A,[159]. Increase in keloids of HDAC2 (and not of other
1007 HDACs),[160] suggests topical application of an HDAC2 inhibitor to be a potential
1008 treatment,[157]. CUDC-907 is an inhibitor of HDAC and also of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway,
1009 and has been proposed as candidate systemic drug,[161].

1010 Another approach is using upregulation of the mitochondrial oxidative stress response
1011 and protein processing in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER),[162]. Treatment with an inhibitor of
1012 ER stress tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA) reduced scar formation in the rabbit ear,[162].
1013 The potential use in man is favored by the clinical approval of TUDCA in cholestasis, and its
1014 effective inhibition of ER stress in fibropulmonary disease in mice,[163]. Single cell RNA
1015 sequencing of keloid tissue has shown significant expansion of fibroblast and vascular
1016 endothelial cell subpopulations, responsible for the aberrant keloid fibrogenesis and
1017 angiogenesis. In fibroblasts *TWIST1* and *SMAD3* are top upregulated genes and *TWIST1*
1018 inhibition has been proposed as therapeutic target [Liu 2021]. Tumour-related pathways are
1019 activated in fibroblast and endothelial cell subpopulations, accounting for the excessive
1020 proliferation and resistance to apoptosis of keloids,[164] and indicating transferability and
1021 efficiency of medical therapies applied in tumors for the clinical treatment of keloids.

1022

1023

1024 **Funding**

1025 This publication has been supported by the European Reference Network on Rare Congenital
1026 Malformations and Rare Intellectual Disability (ERN-ITHACA). ERN-ITHACA is partly co-
1027 funded by the Health Program of the European Union.

1028

1029 **Acknowledgements**

1030 We are indebted and thank the ERN-ITHACA and all the RTS support groups and families.

1031

1032 **Competing interests**

1033 The authors declare no competing interests.

1034

1035 **Ethics approval statement**

1036 The authors affirm that human research participants provided informed consent, for publication
1037 of the images in Figure 1.

1038

1039 **Contributorship statement**

1040 D.L., A.B.Z., C.B., E.C., S.D., S.G.M., H.K., L.L., V.L.G., L.A.M., D.M., F.S., C.A.S., L.T., J.V.Z.,
1041 M.V.G., J.V.G., J.W., and R.C.H. researched data for the article. D.K., A.B.Z., C.B., E.C., S.D.,
1042 S.G.M., H.K., L.L., V.L.G., L.A.M., D.M., F.S., C.A.S., L.T., J.V.Z., M.V.G., J.V.G., J.W., J.L.A.,
1043 O.B., P.B., A.H.M.B., A.M.C.G., E.D.G., F.D., P.F., C.R.F., E.H., S.A.H., C.M., J.M., S.M.N.,
1044 C.O., E.P., A.R., I.R., R.R.P., J.R., A.S., F.S.S., B.N.S., D.F.S., M.F.S., K.S., E.T., N.T., I.V.P.,
1045 D.C.M.V.D.K., M.V.W., K.V., S.W. and R. C. H. contributed to discussion of the content. D.K.,
1046 A.B.Z., C.B., E.C., S.D., S.G.M., H.K., L.L., V.L.G., L.A.M., D.M., F.S., C.A.S., L.T., J.V.Z.,
1047 M.V.G., J.V.G., J.W., and R.C.H. wrote the article. D.K. and R.C.H. reviewed and/or edited the
1048 article.

1049

1050 **Supplementary information is available online.**

1051

1052

1053

1054 **References**

- 1055 1 Rubinstein JH, Taybi H. Broad thumbs and toes and facial abnormalities. A possible
1056 mental retardation syndrome. *Am J Dis Child*. 1963;105:588–608.
- 1057 2 Petrif F, Giles RH, Dauwerse HG, *et al*. Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome caused by
1058 mutations in the transcriptional co-activator CBP. *Nature*. 1995;376:348–51.
- 1059 3 Roelfsema JH, White SJ, Ariyürek Y, *et al*. Genetic Heterogeneity in Rubinstein-Taybi
1060 Syndrome: Mutations in Both the CBP and EP300 Genes Cause Disease. *Am J Hum Genet*.
1061 2005;76:572–80.
- 1062 4 Menke LA, DDD study, Gardeitchik T, *et al*. Further delineation of an entity caused by
1063 CREBBP and EP300 mutations but not resembling Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Am J Med
1064 Genet A*. 2018;176:862–76.
- 1065 5 Fergelot Patricia, Van Belzen Martine, Van Gils Julien, *et al*. Phenotype and genotype
1066 in 52 patients with Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome caused by EP300 mutations. *American
1067 Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*. 2016;170:3069–82.
- 1068 6 Larizza L, Finelli P. Developmental disorders with intellectual disability driven by
1069 chromatin dysregulation: Clinical overlaps and molecular mechanisms. *Clinical Genetics*.
1070 2019;95:231–40.
- 1071 7 Chrivia JC, Kwok RPS, Lamb N, *et al*. Phosphorylated CREB binds specifically to the
1072 nuclear protein CBP. *Nature*. 1993;365:855–9.
- 1073 8 Allis CD, Berger SL, Cote J, *et al*. New Nomenclature for Chromatin-Modifying
1074 Enzymes. *Cell*. 2007;131:633–6.
- 1075 9 Bedford DC, Kasper LH, Fukuyama T, *et al*. Target gene context influences the
1076 transcriptional requirement for the KAT3 family of CBP and p300 histone acetyltransferases.
1077 *Epigenetics*. 2010;5:9–15.
- 1078 10 Wang L, Tang Y, Cole PA, *et al*. Structure and chemistry of the p300/CBP and Rtt109
1079 histone acetyltransferases: Implications for histone acetyltransferase evolution and function.
1080 *Curr Opin Struct Biol*. 2008;18:741–7.
- 1081 11 Pérez-Grijalba V, García-Oguiza A, López M, *et al*. New insights into genetic variant
1082 spectrum and genotype-phenotype correlations of Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome in 39
1083 CREBBP-positive patients. *Mol Genet Genomic Med*. 2019;7:e972.
- 1084 12 Cross E, Duncan-Flavell PJ, Howarth RJ, *et al*. Screening of a large Rubinstein-Taybi
1085 cohort identified many novel variants and emphasizes the importance of the CREBBP
1086 histone acetyltransferase domain. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2020;182:2508–20.
- 1087 13 Cohen JL, Schrier Vergano SA, Mazzola S, *et al*. EP300-related Rubinstein-Taybi
1088 syndrome: Highlighted rare phenotypic findings and a genotype-phenotype meta-analysis of
1089 74 patients. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2020;182:2926–38.
- 1090 14 Negri G, Magini P, Milani D, *et al*. From Whole Gene Deletion to Point Mutations of
1091 EP300-Positive Rubinstein–Taybi Patients: New Insights into the Mutational Spectrum and
1092 Peculiar Clinical Hallmarks. *Human Mutation*. 2016;37:175–83.
- 1093 15 Van Gils J, Magdinier F, Fergelot P, *et al*. Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome: A Model of
1094 Epigenetic Disorder. *Genes*. 2021;12:968. doi: 10.3390/genes12070968

- 1095 16 Coupry I, Monnet L, Moneim Attia AAE, *et al.* Analysis of CBP (CREBBP) gene
1096 deletions in Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome patients using real-time quantitative PCR. *Hum*
1097 *Mutat.* 2004;23:278–84.
- 1098 17 Rusconi D, Negri G, Colapietro P, *et al.* Characterization of 14 novel deletions
1099 underlying Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome: an update of the CREBBP deletion repertoire. *Hum*
1100 *Genet.* 2015;134:613–26.
- 1101 18 Schorry E k., Keddache M, Lanphear N, *et al.* Genotype–phenotype correlations in
1102 Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome. *Am J Med Genet.* 2008;146A:2512–9.
- 1103 19 Spena S, Milani D, Rusconi D, *et al.* Insights into genotype–phenotype correlations
1104 from CREBBP point mutation screening in a cohort of 46 Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome
1105 patients. *Clin Genet.* 2015;88:431–40.
- 1106 20 Banka S, Sayer R, Breen C, *et al.* Genotype-phenotype specificity in Menke-
1107 Hennekam syndrome caused by missense variants in exon 30 or 31 of CREBBP. *Am J Med*
1108 *Genet A.* 2019;179:1058–62.
- 1109 21 De Guzman RN, Liu HY, Martinez-Yamout M, *et al.* Solution structure of the TAZ2
1110 (CH3) domain of the transcriptional adaptor protein CBP1. *Journal of Molecular Biology.*
1111 2000;303:243–53.
- 1112 22 Ponting CP, Blake DJ, Davies KE, *et al.* ZZ and TAZ: new putative zinc fingers in
1113 dystrophin and other proteins. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences.* 1996;21:11–3.
- 1114 23 Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, *et al.* Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of
1115 sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical
1116 Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genetics in Medicine.*
1117 2015;17:405–24.
- 1118 24 Aref-Eshghi E, Kerkhof J, Pedro VP, *et al.* Evaluation of DNA Methylation
1119 Episignatures for Diagnosis and Phenotype Correlations in 42 Mendelian
1120 Neurodevelopmental Disorders. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2020;106:356–70.
- 1121 25 Gervasini C, Castronovo P, Bentivegna A, *et al.* High frequency of mosaic CREBBP
1122 deletions in Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome patients and mapping of somatic and germ-line
1123 breakpoints. *Genomics.* 2007;90:567–73.
- 1124 26 Chiang P-W, Lee N-C, Chien N, *et al.* Somatic and germ-line mosaicism in
1125 Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome. *Am J Med Genet.* 2009;149A:1463–7.
- 1126 27 Bartsch O, Kress W, Kempf O, *et al.* Inheritance and variable expression in
1127 Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome. *Am J Med Genet.* 2010;152A:2254–61.
- 1128 28 Van-Gils J, Naudion S, Toutain J, *et al.* Fetal phenotype of Rubinstein-Taybi
1129 syndrome caused by CREBBP mutations. *Clin Genet.* 2019;95:420–6.
- 1130 29 Cardalliac C, Vincent M, Joubert M, *et al.* Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome in a Fetus:
1131 Contribution of 2- and 3-Dimensional Ultrasonography. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine.*
1132 2018;37:531–4.
- 1133 30 Levetan C, Van Gils J, Saba A, *et al.* Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome: Presentation in the
1134 First Month of Life. *J Pediatr.* 2022;249:106–10.
- 1135 31 Lewis C, Skirton H, Jones R. Living without a diagnosis: the parental experience.
1136 *Genet Test Mol Biomarkers.* 2010;14:807–15.

- 1137 32 Allanson JE, Hennekam RC. Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome: objective evaluation of
1138 craniofacial structure. *Am J Med Genet.* 1997;71:414–9.
- 1139 33 Moe JK, Holland MD, Johnson RK. Breastfeeding Practices of Infants with
1140 Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome. *J Hum Lact.* 1998;14:311–5.
- 1141 34 Beets L, Rodríguez-Fonseca C, Hennekam RC. Growth charts for individuals with
1142 Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome. *Am J Med Genet.* 2014;164:2300–9.
- 1143 35 Hennekam RCM, Van Den Boogaard M-J, Sibbles BJ, *et al.* Rubinstein-Taybi
1144 syndrome in the Netherlands. *Am J Med Genet.* 1990;37:17–29.
- 1145 36 Wild KT, Nomakuchi TT, Sheppard SE, *et al.* Hyperinsulinism in an individual with an
1146 EP300 variant of Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Am J Med Genet A.* Published Online First: 14
1147 January 2021. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.a.62085
- 1148 37 Welters A, El-Khairi R, Dastamani A, *et al.* Persistent hyperinsulinaemic
1149 hypoglycaemia in children with Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome. *European Journal of*
1150 *Endocrinology.* 2019;181:121–8.
- 1151 38 Muukkonen L, Männistö J, Jääskeläinen J, *et al.* The effect of hypoglycaemia on
1152 neurocognitive outcome in children and adolescents with transient or persistent congenital
1153 hyperinsulinism. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2019;61:451–7.
- 1154 39 Thornton PS, Stanley CA, De Leon DD, *et al.* Recommendations from the Pediatric
1155 Endocrine Society for Evaluation and Management of Persistent Hypoglycemia in Neonates,
1156 Infants, and Children. *J Pediatr.* 2015;167:238–45.
- 1157 40 Marzuillo P, Grandone A, Coppola R, *et al.* Novel cAMP binding protein-BP
1158 (CREBBP) mutation in a girl with Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome, GH deficiency, Arnold Chiari
1159 malformation and pituitary hypoplasia. *BMC Med Genet.* 2013;14:28.
- 1160 41 Stevens CA, Carey JC, Blackburn BL. Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome: A natural history
1161 study. *Am J Med Genet.* 1990;37:30–7.
- 1162 42 Douzgou S, Dell’Oro J, Fonseca CR, *et al.* The natural history of adults with
1163 Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome: a families-reported experience. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2022;30:841–
1164 7.
- 1165 43 Quint EH. Menstrual and reproductive issues in adolescents with physical and
1166 developmental disabilities. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2014;124:367–75.
- 1167 44 Stevens CA. Intestinal malrotation in Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome. *American Journal*
1168 *of Medical Genetics Part A.* 2015;167:2399–401.
- 1169 45 Rubinstein JH. Broad thumb-hallux (Rubinstein-Taybi) syndrome 1957–1988. *Am J*
1170 *Med Genet.* 1990;37:3–16.
- 1171 46 Grunow JE. Gastroesophageal reflux in Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome. *J Pediatr*
1172 *Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1982;1:273–4.
- 1173 47 Rosen R, Vandenplas Y, Singendonk M, *et al.* Pediatric Gastroesophageal Reflux
1174 Clinical Practice Guidelines: Joint Recommendations of the North American Society for
1175 Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition and the European Society for Pediatric
1176 Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2018;66:516–54.
- 1177 48 Nikaki K, Rybak A, Nakagawa K, *et al.* Rumination Syndrome in Children Presenting

- 1178 With Refractory Gastroesophageal Reflux Symptoms. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.*
1179 2020;70:330–5.
- 1180 49 Noble A, Drouin E, Faure C. Eosinophilic Esophagitis and Gastritis in Rubinstein-
1181 Taybi Syndrome. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition.* 2007;44:498–500.
- 1182 50 Vaezi MF, Yang Y-X, Howden CW. Complications of Proton Pump Inhibitor Therapy.
1183 *Gastroenterology.* 2017;153:35–48.
- 1184 51 Hassall E. Decisions in diagnosing and managing chronic gastroesophageal reflux
1185 disease in children. *J Pediatr.* 2005;146:S3-12.
- 1186 52 Kumar P, Thota PN. Barrett's Esophagus in Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome. *Cureus.*
1187 2020;12:e11709.
- 1188 53 Tabbers MM, DiLorenzo C, Berger MY, *et al.* Evaluation and treatment of functional
1189 constipation in infants and children: evidence-based recommendations from ESPGHAN and
1190 NASPGHAN. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2014;58:258–74.
- 1191 54 Lee JS, Byun CK, Kim H, *et al.* Clinical and mutational spectrum in Korean patients
1192 with Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome: The spectrum of brain MRI abnormalities. *Brain and*
1193 *Development.* 2015;37:402–8.
- 1194 55 Yu S, Wu B, Qian Y, *et al.* Clinical exome sequencing identifies novel CREBBP
1195 variants in 18 Chinese Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome kids with high frequency of polydactyly.
1196 *Mol Genet Genomic Med.* 2019;7:e1009.
- 1197 56 Choi N, Kim HY, Lim BC, *et al.* Genetic and clinical heterogeneity in Korean patients
1198 with Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Mol Genet Genomic Med.* 2021;9:e1791.
- 1199 57 Tekendo-Ngongang C, Owosela B, Fleischer N, *et al.* Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome in
1200 diverse populations. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2020;182:2939–50.
- 1201 58 Hanauer D, Argilla M, Wallerstein R. Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome and hypoplastic left
1202 heart. *American Journal of Medical Genetics.* 2002;112:109–11.
- 1203 59 Negri G, Milani D, Colapietro P, *et al.* Clinical and molecular characterization of
1204 Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome patients carrying distinct novel mutations of the EP300 gene.
1205 *Clin Genet.* 2015;87:148–54.
- 1206 60 Bentivegna A, Milani D, Gervasini C, *et al.* Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome: spectrum of
1207 CREBBP mutations in Italian patients. *BMC Med Genet.* 2006;7:77.
- 1208 61 Stevens CA, Pouncey J, Knowles D. Adults with Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome.
1209 *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A.* 2011;155:1680–4.
- 1210 62 Saettini F, Herriot R, Prada E, *et al.* Prevalence of Immunological Defects in a Cohort
1211 of 97 Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome Patients. *J Clin Immunol.* 2020;40:851–60.
- 1212 63 Lougaris V, Facchini E, Baronio M, *et al.* Progressive severe B cell deficiency in
1213 pediatric Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Clinical Immunology.* 2016;173:181–3.
- 1214 64 Bradford L, Ross MK, Minso J, *et al.* Interstitial lung disease in children with
1215 Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* 2022;57:264–72.
- 1216 65 Kosaki R, Kikuchi S, Koinuma G, *et al.* Two patients with Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome
1217 and severe pulmonary interstitial involvement. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A.*
1218 2010;152A:1844–6.

- 1219 66 Bounakis N, Karampalis C, Sharp H, *et al.* Surgical treatment of scoliosis in
1220 Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome type 2: a case report. *J Med Case Rep.* 2015;9. doi:
1221 10.1186/1752-1947-9-10
- 1222 67 Choi HS, Yu JJ, Kim Y-H, *et al.* Pulmonary hypertension due to obstructive sleep
1223 apnea in a child with Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Korean J Pediatr.* 2012;55:212–4.
- 1224 68 Candan S, Ornek C, Candan F. Ocular anomalies in Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome: a
1225 further case report and review of the literature. *Clinical Dysmorphology.* 2014;23:138–42.
- 1226 69 DaCosta J, Brookes J. Infantile glaucoma in Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome. *Eye.*
1227 2012;26:1270–1. doi: 10.1038/eye.2012.123
- 1228 70 Huang F-R, Zhang A-M, Xu J, *et al.* A clinical characteristics and genetic analysis of a
1229 case of Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome with glaucoma. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.*
1230 2021;25:1447–54.
- 1231 71 Milani D, Manzoni F, Pezzani L, *et al.* Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome: clinical features,
1232 genetic basis, diagnosis, and management. *Italian Journal of Pediatrics.* 2015;41:4.
- 1233 72 van Genderen MM, Kinds G, Riemsdag F, *et al.* Ocular features in Rubinstein-Taybi
1234 syndrome: investigation of 24 patients and review of the literature. *Br J Ophthalmol.*
1235 2000;84:1177–84.
- 1236 73 Enomoto Y, Yokoi T, Tsurusaki Y, *et al.* Divergent variant patterns among 19 patients
1237 with Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome uncovered by comprehensive genetic analysis including
1238 whole genome sequencing. *Clinical Genetics.* ;n/a. doi: 10.1111/cge.14103
- 1239 74 Naik JM, Naik MN, Ali MJ. Lacrimal drainage anomalies in Rubinstein–Taybi
1240 syndrome: case report and review of literature. *Orbit.* 2019;38:335–7.
- 1241 75 Yu PT, Luk H-M, Lo IFM. Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome in Chinese population with four
1242 novel mutations. *Am J Med Genet A.* Published Online First: 16 October 2020. doi:
1243 10.1002/ajmg.a.61922
- 1244 76 Stocks RMS, Egerman R, Thompson JW, *et al.* Airway management of the severely
1245 retrognathic child: use of the laryngeal mask airway. *Ear Nose Throat J.* 2002;81:223–6.
- 1246 77 Peñaranda A, Cerón M. Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome and Mixed Bilateral Hypoacusia
1247 Case Report. *Otology & Neurotology.* 2007;28:501–3.
- 1248 78 Naimi DR, Munoz J, Rubinstein J, *et al.* Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome: An immune
1249 deficiency as a cause for recurrent infections. *Allergy and Asthma Proceedings.*
1250 2006;27:281–4.
- 1251 79 Torres LC, Sugayama SMM, Arslanian C, *et al.* Evaluation of the immune humoral
1252 response of Brazilian patients with Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Braz J Med Biol Res.*
1253 2010;43:1215–24.
- 1254 80 Hennekam RCM. Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2006;14:981–5.
- 1255 81 Zucconi M, Ferini-Strambi L, Erminio C, *et al.* Obstructive sleep apnea in the
1256 Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Respiration.* 1993;60:127–32.
- 1257 82 Bruni O, Ottaviano S, Guidetti V, *et al.* The Sleep Disturbance Scale for Children
1258 (SDSC). Construction and validation of an instrument to evaluate sleep disturbances in
1259 childhood and adolescence. *J Sleep Res.* 1996;5:251–61.

- 1260 83 Roland PS, Rosenfeld RM, Brooks LJ, *et al.* Clinical practice guideline:
1261 Polysomnography for sleep-disordered breathing prior to tonsillectomy in children.
1262 *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2011;145:S1-15.
- 1263 84 Stirt JA. Succinylcholine in Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Anesthesiology.* 1982;57:429.
- 1264 85 Darlong V, Pandey R, Garg R, *et al.* Perioperative management of a patient of
1265 Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome with ovarian cyst for laparotomy. *J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol.*
1266 2014;30:422–4.
- 1267 86 Gathuya Z, Bosenberg A. Anaesthesia and Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Southern*
1268 *African Journal of Anaesthesia and Analgesia.* 2005;11:135–135.
- 1269 87 Limandjaja GC, Niessen FB, Scheper RJ, *et al.* The Keloid Disorder: Heterogeneity,
1270 Histopathology, Mechanisms and Models. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* 2020;8:360.
- 1271 88 Jfri A, Rajeh N, Karkashan E. A Case of Multiple Spontaneous Keloid Scars. *Case*
1272 *Rep Dermatol.* 2015;7:156–60.
- 1273 89 van de Kar A I., Houge G, Shaw A c., *et al.* Keloids in Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome: a
1274 clinical study. *Br J Dermatol.* 2014;171:615–21.
- 1275 90 Bienias W, Pastuszka M, Gutfreund K, *et al.* Letter to the Editor
1276 a 16-year-old boy with Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Arch Med Sci.* 2015;11:232–4.
- 1277 91 Betarbet U, Blalock TW. Keloids: A Review of Etiology, Prevention, and Treatment. *J*
1278 *Clin Aesthet Dermatol.* 2020;13:33–43.
- 1279 92 Siraganian PA, Rubinstein JH, Miller RW. Keloids and neoplasms in the Rubinstein-
1280 Taybi syndrome. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 1989;17:485–91.
- 1281 93 Boot MV, van Belzen MJ, Overbeek LI, *et al.* Benign and malignant tumors in
1282 Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Am J Med Genet A.* 2018;176:597–608.
- 1283 94 Rokunohe D, Nakano H, Akasaka E, *et al.* Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome with multiple
1284 pilomatricomas: The first case diagnosed by CREBBP mutation analysis. *J Dermatol Sci.*
1285 2016;83:240–2.
- 1286 95 Yagi Y, Kuwatsuka Y, Asai M, *et al.* Coexistence of keloids and pilomatricoma in a
1287 patient with Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Dermatol Online J.* 2018;24:13030/qt4rq2k5fr.
- 1288 96 Jones CD, Ho W, Robertson BF, *et al.* Pilomatrixoma: A Comprehensive Review of
1289 the Literature. *Am J Dermatopathol.* 2018;40:631–41.
- 1290 97 Pavone P, Praticò AD, Falsaperla R, *et al.* Congenital generalized hypertrichosis: the
1291 skin as a clue to complex malformation syndromes. *Ital J Pediatr.* 2015;41:55.
- 1292 98 EAU Guidelines on Paediatric Urology - INTRODUCTION - Uroweb. Uroweb -
1293 European Association of Urology. <https://uroweb.org/guidelines/paediatric-urology> (accessed
1294 11 October 2023)
- 1295 99 de Castro Coelho F, Câmara S, Alves I, *et al.* Septate Uterus in a Girl with
1296 Rubinstein–Taybi Syndrome. *Case Reports in Pediatrics.* 2018;2018:e7878156.
1297 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7878156>
- 1298 100 Hamilton MJ, Newbury-Ecob R, Holder-Espinasse M, *et al.* Rubinstein-Taybi
1299 syndrome type 2: report of nine new cases that extend the phenotypic and genotypic
1300 spectrum. *Clin Dysmorphol.* 2016;25:135–45.

- 1301 101 López M, García-Oguiza A, Armstrong J, *et al.* Rubinstein-Taybi 2 associated to novel
1302 EP300 mutations: deepening the clinical and genetic spectrum. *BMC Med Genet.*
1303 2018;19:36.
- 1304 102 Sharma N, Mali AM, Bapat SA. Spectrum of CREBBP mutations in Indian patients
1305 with Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *J Biosci.* 2010;35:187–202.
- 1306 103 Wincent J, Luthman A, van Belzen M, *et al.* CREBBP and EP300 mutational
1307 spectrum and clinical presentations in a cohort of Swedish patients with Rubinstein–Taybi
1308 syndrome. *Mol Genet Genomic Med.* 2016;4:39–45.
- 1309 104 Wood VE, Rubinstein JH. Surgical treatment of the thumb in the Rubinstein-Taybi
1310 syndrome. *J Hand Surg Br.* 1987;12:166–72.
- 1311 105 Cerqueiro-Mosquera J, Fleming AN. The bilobed flap: a new application in the
1312 reconstruction of congenital thumb deviation. *J Hand Surg Br.* 2000;25:262–5.
- 1313 106 Jain A, Rehman S, Smith G. Long-term results following osteotomy of the thumb delta
1314 phalanx in Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol.* 2010;35:296–301.
- 1315 107 Le Mapihan M, Badina A, Pannier S, *et al.* Non-vascularized toe phalanx transfer for
1316 correction of severe clinodactyly of the thumb in Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome. *J Hand Surg*
1317 *Eur Vol.* 2020;45:715–21.
- 1318 108 Mehlman CT, Rubinstein JH, Roy DR. Instability of the patellofemoral joint in
1319 Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 1998;18:508–11.
- 1320 109 Lázaro JS, Herráez SS, Gállego LD, *et al.* Spontaneous patella dislocation in
1321 Rubinstein Taybi Syndrome. *The Knee.* 2007;14:68–70.
- 1322 110 Cazalets JR, Bestaven E, Doat E, *et al.* Evaluation of Motor Skills in Children with
1323 Rubinstein–Taybi Syndrome. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2017;47:3321–32.
- 1324 111 Stevens CA. Patellar dislocation in Rubenstein-Taybi syndrome. *Am J Med Genet.*
1325 1997;72:188–90.
- 1326 112 Mirzatoioei F. Patellofemoral ligament reconstruction in a patient with Rubinstein-
1327 Taybi syndrome. *Acta Med Iran.* 2014;52:228–30.
- 1328 113 Shah H, Singh G, Vijayan S, *et al.* Second report of slipped capital femoral epiphysis
1329 in Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome. *Clinical Dysmorphology.* 2011;20:55–7.
- 1330 114 Yamamoto T, Kurosawa K, Masuno M, *et al.* Congenital anomaly of cervical vertebrae
1331 is a major complication of Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome. *Am J Med Genet.* 2005;135A:130–3.
- 1332 115 Hennekam RCM, Van Doorne JM. Oral aspects of Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Am J*
1333 *Med Genet.* 1990;37:42–7.
- 1334 116 Bloch-Zupan A, Stachtou J, Emmanouil D, *et al.* Oro-dental features as useful
1335 diagnostic tool in Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome. *Am J Med Genet.* 2007;143A:570–3.
- 1336 117 Laugel-Haushalter V, Paschaki M, Thibault-Carpentier C, *et al.* Molars and incisors:
1337 show your microarray IDs. *BMC Res Notes.* 2013;6:113.
- 1338 118 Clinical Affairs Committee-Behavior Management Subcommittee, American Academy
1339 of Pediatric Dentistry. Guideline on Behavior Guidance for the Pediatric Dental Patient.
1340 *Pediatr Dent.* 2015;37:57–70.

- 1341 119 Ashley P, Anand P, Andersson K. Best clinical practice guidance for conscious
1342 sedation of children undergoing dental treatment: an EAPD policy document. *Eur Arch*
1343 *Paediatr Dent*. 2021;22:989–1002.
- 1344 120 Miller RW, Rubinstein JH. Tumors in Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Am J Med Genet*.
1345 1995;56:112–5.
- 1346 121 Ajmone PF, Avignone S, Gervasini C, *et al*. Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome: New
1347 neuroradiological and neuropsychiatric insights from a multidisciplinary approach. *Am J Med*
1348 *Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*. 2018;177:406–15.
- 1349 122 Giacobbe A, Ajmone PF, Milani D, *et al*. Electroclinical phenotype in Rubinstein-Taybi
1350 syndrome. *Brain Dev*. 2016;38:563–70.
- 1351 123 Mishra S, Agarwalla SK, Potpalle DR, *et al*. Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome with agenesis
1352 of corpus callosum. *J Pediatr Neurosci*. 2015;10:175–7.
- 1353 124 Hadzsiev K, Gyorsok Z, Till A, *et al*. Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome 2 with cerebellar
1354 abnormality and neural tube defect. *Clinical Dysmorphology*. 2019;28:135–9.
- 1355 125 Guion-Almeida ML, Richieri-Costa A. Callosal agenesis, iris coloboma, and
1356 megacolon in a Brazilian boy with Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Am J Med Genet*.
1357 1992;43:929–31.
- 1358 126 Tsai AC-H, Dossett CJ, Walton CS, *et al*. Exon deletions of the EP300 and CREBBP
1359 genes in two children with Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome detected by aCGH. *Eur J Hum Genet*.
1360 2011;19:43–9.
- 1361 127 Tanaka T, Ling BC, Rubinstein JH, *et al*. Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome in children with
1362 tethered spinal cord. *Journal of Neurosurgery: Pediatrics*. 2006;105:261–4.
- 1363 128 Cantani A, Gagliesi D. Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. Review of 732 cases and analysis
1364 of the typical traits. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 1998;2:81–7.
- 1365 129 Hou J-W. Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome: clinical and molecular cytogenetic studies.
1366 *Acta Paediatr Taiwan*. 2005;46:143–8.
- 1367 130 Kumar S, Suthar R, Panigrahi I, *et al*. Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome: Clinical profile of
1368 11 patients and review of literature. *Indian J Hum Genet*. 2012;18:161–6.
- 1369 131 Dosman CF, Andrews D, Goulden KJ. Evidence-based milestone ages as a
1370 framework for developmental surveillance. *Paediatr Child Health*. 2012;17:561–8.
- 1371 132 Hennekam RC, Baselier AC, Beyaert E, *et al*. Psychological and speech studies in
1372 Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Am J Ment Retard*. 1992;96:645–60.
- 1373 133 Taupiac E, Lacombe D, Thiébaud E, *et al*. Psychomotor, cognitive, and socio-
1374 emotional developmental profiles of children with Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome and a severe
1375 intellectual disability. *Journal of Intellectual & Developmental Disability*. 2020;0:1–10.
- 1376 134 Alarcón JM, Malleret G, Touzani K, *et al*. Chromatin Acetylation, Memory, and LTP
1377 Are Impaired in CBP+/- Mice: A Model for the Cognitive Deficit in Rubinstein-Taybi
1378 Syndrome and Its Amelioration. *Neuron*. 2004;42:947–59.
- 1379 135 Korzus E, Rosenfeld MG, Mayford M. CBP Histone Acetyltransferase Activity Is a
1380 Critical Component of Memory Consolidation. *Neuron*. 2004;42:961–72.
- 1381 136 Viosca J, Lopez-Atalaya JP, Olivares R, *et al*. Syndromic features and mild cognitive

- 1382 impairment in mice with genetic reduction on p300 activity: Differential contribution of p300
1383 and CBP to Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome etiology. *Neurobiology of Disease*. 2010;37:186–94.
- 1384 137 Benito E, Barco A. CREB’s control of intrinsic and synaptic plasticity: implications for
1385 CREB-dependent memory models. *Trends Neurosci*. 2010;33:230–40.
- 1386 138 Oliveira AMM, Abel T, Brindle PK, *et al*. Differential role for CBP and p300 CREB-
1387 binding domain in motor skill learning. *Behav Neurosci*. 2006;120:724–9.
- 1388 139 Boer H, Langton J, Clarke D. Development and Behaviour in Genetic Syndromes:
1389 Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*.
1390 1999;12:302–7.
- 1391 140 Arron K, Oliver C, Moss J, *et al*. The prevalence and phenomenology of self-injurious
1392 and aggressive behaviour in genetic syndromes. *J Intellect Disabil Res*. 2011;55:109–20.
- 1393 141 Yagihashi T, Kosaki K, Okamoto N, *et al*. Age-dependent change in behavioral
1394 feature in Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *Congenital Anomalies*. 2012;52:82–6.
- 1395 142 Galéra C, Taupiac E, Fraise S, *et al*. Socio-behavioral characteristics of children with
1396 Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2009;39:1252–60.
- 1397 143 Crawford H, Waite J, Oliver C. Diverse Profiles of Anxiety Related Disorders in Fragile
1398 X, Cornelia de Lange and Rubinstein–Taybi Syndromes. *J Autism Dev Disord*.
1399 2017;47:3728–40.
- 1400 144 Waite J, Moss J, Beck SR, *et al*. Repetitive Behavior in Rubinstein–Taybi Syndrome:
1401 Parallels with Autism Spectrum Phenomenology. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2015;45:1238–53.
- 1402 145 Perry V, Ellis K, Moss J, *et al*. Executive function, repetitive behaviour and restricted
1403 interests in neurodevelopmental disorders. *Res Dev Disabil*. 2022;122:104166.
- 1404 146 Waite J, Beck SR, Powis L, *et al*. The Executive Function Account of Repetitive
1405 Behavior: Evidence From Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome. *Am J Intellect Dev Disabil*.
1406 2023;128:49–65.
- 1407 147 Ellis K, Moss J, Stefanidou C, *et al*. The development of early social cognitive skills in
1408 neurogenetic syndromes associated with autism: Cornelia de Lange, fragile X and
1409 Rubinstein-Taybi syndromes. *Orphanet J Rare Dis*. 2021;16:488.
- 1410 148 Breen ME, Mapp AK. Modulating the masters: chemical tools to dissect CBP and
1411 p300 function. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*. 2018;45:195–203.
- 1412 149 Dancy BM, Cole PA. Protein Lysine Acetylation by p300/CBP. *Chem Rev*.
1413 2015;115:2419–52.
- 1414 150 Valor LM, Pulpulos MM, Jimenez-Minchan M, *et al*. Ablation of CBP in Forebrain
1415 Principal Neurons Causes Modest Memory and Transcriptional Defects and a Dramatic
1416 Reduction of Histone Acetylation But Does Not Affect Cell Viability. *J Neurosci*.
1417 2011;31:1652–63.
- 1418 151 Wang F, Marshall CB, Ikura M. Transcriptional/epigenetic regulator CBP/p300 in
1419 tumorigenesis: structural and functional versatility in target recognition. *Cell Mol Life Sci*.
1420 2013;70:3989–4008.
- 1421 152 Bourtchouladze R, Lidge R, Catapano R, *et al*. A mouse model of Rubinstein-Taybi
1422 syndrome: defective long-term memory is ameliorated by inhibitors of phosphodiesterase 4.

1423 *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2003;100:10518–22.

1424 153 Schueller E, Paiva I, Blanc F, *et al.* Dysregulation of histone acetylation pathways in
1425 hippocampus and frontal cortex of Alzheimer’s disease patients. *Eur*
1426 *Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2020;33:101–16.

1427 154 Macarak EJ, Wermuth PJ, Rosenbloom J, *et al.* Keloid disorder: Fibroblast
1428 differentiation and gene expression profile in fibrotic skin diseases. *Exp Dermatol.*
1429 2021;30:132–45.

1430 155 Yan L, Cao R, Liu Y, *et al.* MiR-21-5p Links Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition
1431 Phenotype with Stem-Like Cell Signatures via AKT Signaling in Keloid Keratinocytes. *Sci*
1432 *Rep.* 2016;6:28281.

1433 156 Wang X, Gu C, Shang F, *et al.* Inhibitory Effect of the LY2109761 on the
1434 Development of Human Keloid Fibroblasts. *Anal Cell Pathol (Amst).* 2021;2021:8883427.

1435 157 Stevenson AW, Deng Z, Allahham A, *et al.* The epigenetics of keloids. *Exp Dermatol.*
1436 2021;30:1099–114.

1437 158 Lv W, Ren Y, Hou K, *et al.* Epigenetic modification mechanisms involved in keloid:
1438 current status and prospect. *Clin Epigenetics.* 2020;12:183.

1439 159 Diao J-S, Xia W-S, Yi C-G, *et al.* Histone deacetylase inhibitor reduces hypertrophic
1440 scarring in a rabbit ear model. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2013;132:61e–9e.

1441 160 Fitzgerald O’Connor EJ, Badshah II, Addae LY, *et al.* Histone deacetylase 2 is
1442 upregulated in normal and keloid scars. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2012;132:1293–6.

1443 161 Tu T, Huang J, Lin M, *et al.* CUDC-907 reverses pathological phenotype of keloid
1444 fibroblasts in vitro and in vivo via dual inhibition of PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling and HDAC2. *Int*
1445 *J Mol Med.* 2019;44:1789–800.

1446 162 Kim S, Lee SE, Yi S, *et al.* Tauroursodeoxycholic Acid Decreases Keloid Formation
1447 by Reducing Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress as Implicated in the Pathogenesis of Keloid. *Int*
1448 *J Mol Sci.* 2021;22:10765.

1449 163 Tanaka Y, Ishitsuka Y, Hayasaka M, *et al.* The exacerbating roles of
1450 CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein homologous protein (CHOP) in the development of
1451 bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis and the preventive effects of tauroursodeoxycholic
1452 acid (TUDCA) against pulmonary fibrosis in mice. *Pharmacol Res.* 2015;99:52–62.

1453 164 Liu X, Chen W, Zeng Q, *et al.* Single-Cell RNA-Sequencing Reveals Lineage-Specific
1454 Regulatory Changes of Fibroblasts and Vascular Endothelial Cells in Keloids. *J Invest*
1455 *Dermatol.* 2022;142:124-135.e11.

1456

1457

1458

1459

1460

1461

1462

1463

1464 **Tables**

1465 **Table 1.** Main clinical findings in percentages of individuals with molecularly confirmed
 1466 Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome.

	HPO ID ¹	CREBBP (n=308)	EP300 (n=52)
Growth			
Intrauterine growth retardation	0001511	49	42
Postnatal growth retardation	0004322	75	66
Obesity	0001513	29	39
Microcephaly	0000252	54	87
Craniofacial features			
Highly arched eyebrows	0002253	85	65
Long eyelashes	0000527	89	90
Epicanthal folds	0000286	44	15
Strabismus	0000486	71	39
Myopia	0000545	56	24
Downslanted palpebral fissures	0000494	79	56
Convex nasal ridge	0000444	81	44
Columella below alae nasi	0009765	88	92
Typical smile ²	0000273	94	47
Highly arched palate	0002705	77	67
Talon cusps ³	0011087	73	4
Micrognathia	0000347	61	42
Low-set ears	0000369	44	27
Trunk and limbs			
Broad thumbs	0011304	96	69
Angulated thumbs	⁴	49	2
Broad finger tips	0011300	87	22
Broad halluces	0010055	95	81
Hypertrichosis	0000998	76	51
Keloids	0010562	23	10
Scoliosis	0002650	18	25
Cardiovascular anomalies	0002564	35	26
Constipation	0002019	76	54
Urinary tract anomalies	0000079	28	24
Neuromuscular			
Seizures	0001250	25	10
Cognition and behaviour			
Intellectual disability (any degree)	0001249	99	94
Autism/Autism spectrum disorder	0000729	49	25

1467

1468

1469 ¹ HPO ID, Human Phenotype Ontology Identifier; ² Smile characterized by crescent-moon shaped palpebral
1470 fissures, deepening of labionasal folds, upturned corners of the mouth, usually mouth almost closed, tight
1471 upper vermillion and pouting lower vermillion; ³Permanent dentition; ⁴ no HPO identifier available; we
1472 used as definition: angulation of the distal phalanx of a thumb towards the anterior axis (radial side) of
1473 the limb

1474

1475

1476

1477

1478

1479

1480

1481

1482

1483

1484

1485

1486

1487

1488

1489

1490

1491

1492

1493

1494

1495

1496

1497

1498

1499

1500 **Table 2.** Clinical diagnostic criteria for Rubinstein-Taybi Syndrome

1501

Cardinal	Supportive
1. Face (at least three of six)	a. Maternal preeclampsia
a. Highly arched eyebrows	b. Keloids
b. Downslanted palpebral fissures	c. Hypertrichosis
c. Convex nasal ridge	1 point if c is positive, or
d. Columella below alae nasi	3 points if a and/or b (with or without c) are positive
e. Highly arched palate	
f. Typical smile	
3 points or	
4 points if d and/or f are positive	
2. Skeletal	
a. Angulated thumbs and/or halluces	
b. Broad thumbs	
c. Broad halluces	
3 points if b and/or c is positive or	
4 points if a (with or without b/c) is positive	
3. Growth	
a. Microcephaly	
b. Postnatal growth retardation	
2 points if a and/or b are positive	
4. Development	
Delayed development / Intellectual disability	
2 points	

1502

1503 **Definitive clinical diagnosis of Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome:**

1504 **Score ≥ 12** and positive cardinal score.

1505

1506 **Likely clinical diagnosis of Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome**

1507 **Score 8-11** and positive cardinal score. This score warrants molecular analyses of *CREBBP* and *EP300*.

1508

1509 **Possible clinical diagnosis of Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome**

1510 **Score 5-7** and negative cardinal score. This score warrants molecular analyses of *CREBBP* and *EP300*.

1511

1512 **Unlikely clinical diagnosis of Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome**

1513 Score 0-4 and negative cardinal score. Further studies for other aetiologies indicated.

1514

1515

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520 **Table 3.** Developmental milestones of children with Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome compared
1521 with typically developing children.

1522

Milestone	Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome		General population (Dowman 2012)	
	Mean age (months)	Range	Mean age (months)	Range
Laughing	2.5	2–6	2	2–6
Rolling over	10	4–18	6	5–9
Sitting	16	9–24	7	6–12
Crawling	19	12–36	9	8–12
Standing	29	11–80	9	8–18
Walking	35	18–54	14	12–18
First words	24	6–84	12	8–18

1523

1524

1525

1526

1527

1528

1529

1530

1531

1532

1533

1534

1535

1536

1537

1538

1539

1540

1541

1542

1543

1544

1545

1546

1547

1548 **Figure legends:**

1549

1550 **Figure 1.** Cardinal features of the clinical diagnostic criteria of face and limbs for Rubinstein-
1551 Taybi syndrome (RTS).

1552

1553 **Figure 2. Structures and Functions of CBP/p300.**

1554 **A.** The proteins CBP and p300 are composed of 2442 amino acids (AA) and 2414 AA,
1555 respectively, with 58% of sequence similarity within their domains. The various domains are
1556 represented with their position in the AA sequence: N-terminal nuclear receptor interaction
1557 domain (NRID or RID), cysteine-histidine rich region 1 (C/H1) containing the transcriptional
1558 adapter zinc finger 1 (TAZ1), kinase-inducible domain (KID) interacting domain (KIX),
1559 Bromodomain, C/H2 containing a plant homeodomain (PHD), Lysine acetyltransferase domain
1560 (KAT), C/H3 containing the zinc finger (ZZ) and TAZ2 domains, and interferon-binding
1561 transactivation domain (IBiD). The MKHKS region corresponds to the location of the missense
1562 variants leading to the Menke-Hennekam syndrome.

1563

1564 **B.** CBP and p300 act as transcriptional co-activators of target genes by different mechanisms:
1565 (1) Binding function by facilitating the physical and functional interactions of TF; (2); Scaffolding
1566 function allowing the recruitment of TF and in particular CREB (3) KAT function by catalyzing
1567 the transfer of acetyl groups on lysine residues of both histone tails and non-histone proteins
1568 such as the RNAPolIII complex and TF. TBP: TATA binding protein; TF: transcription factors;
1569 Ac: acetyl group. Adapted from Van Gils *et al.* 2021,[15].

1570

1571 **Figure 3. Mutation spectrum of *CREBBP* and *EP300* in individuals with RTS**
1572 **(referenced in HGMDPro variant database and/or LOVD).**

1573 **A.** Repartition of 500 pathogenic variants in *CREBBP* referenced as causing RTS1 including
1574 84 nonsense variants, 192 frameshift variants, 46 splicing variants, 84 missense variants, 75
1575 intragenic deletions, 14 deletions including *CREBBP* completely, 2 intragenic duplications and
1576 3 complex rearrangements.

1577 **B.** Repartition of 118 pathogenic variants in *EP300* referenced as causing RTS2 including 26
1578 nonsense variants, 56 frameshift variants, 6 splicing variants, 16 missense variants, 11
1579 intragenic deletions and 3 deletions encompassing *EP300* completely. Adapted from Van Gils
1580 *et al.* 2021,[15].

1581

1582 **Figure 4. Molecular diagnostic pathways for Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome.** In individuals
1583 with clinically classic RTS phenotype, the first-line molecular diagnostic approach is targeted
1584 analysis of *CREBBP* and *EP300* by Sanger sequencing and MLPA or by high throughput

1585 analysis (aCGH; WES). In individuals in whom RTS is not suspected, aCGH and WES or WGS
1586 is performed. ^a Including analysis of *CREBBP* / *EP300* and genes causing related entities; ^b
1587 Evaluation of results using ACMG classification,[23]; ^cEpisignature specific for RTS,[24]; ^d RNA
1588 studies; searches for mosaicism.
1589