Catalytic Microwave Preheated Co-pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomasses: A study on biofuel production and its characterization

P. Jennita Jacqueline, V. Shenbaga Muthuraman, C. Karthick, Abed Alaswad, G. Velvizhi, K. Nanthagopal

PII: DOI:	S0960-8524(21)01724-7 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126382
Reference:	BITE 126382
To appear in:	Bioresource Technology
Received Date:	7 October 2021
Revised Date:	15 November 2021
Accepted Date:	16 November 2021



Please cite this article as: Jennita Jacqueline, P., Shenbaga Muthuraman, V., Karthick, C., Alaswad, A., Velvizhi, G., Nanthagopal, K., Catalytic Microwave Preheated Co-pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomasses: A study on biofuel production and its characterization, *Bioresource Technology* (2021), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126382

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1	<b>Catalytic Microwave Preheated Co-pyrolysis of</b>
2	lignocellulosic biomasses: A study on biofuel
3	production and its characterization
4	P Jennita Jacqueline <sup>a</sup> , V Shenbaga Muthuraman <sup>b</sup> , C Karthick <sup>b</sup> , Abed Alaswad <sup>c</sup> ,
5	G Velvizhi <sup>d</sup> , K Nanthagopal <sup>b*</sup>
6	<sup>a</sup> School of Chemical Engineering, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632014, India
7 8	<sup>b</sup> Engine Testing Laboratory, School of Mechanical Engineering, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632014, India
9	<sup>c</sup> School of Engineering and Applied Science, Aston University, UK.
10	<sup>d</sup> Centre of CO <sub>2</sub> research, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632014, India
11	* Corresponding author email address: nanthagopal2013@gmail.com
12	* Corresponding author Contact number: +91 9943928066
13	Abstract
14	In this present study, microwave pre-treatment has been used for sustainable biofuel
15	production from three different biowastes through catalytic aided co-pyrolysis techniques.
16	The experimental investigations have been carried out to develop biofuel at temperature
17	(350-550°C), heating rate (15-50°C/min) and particle size (0.12-0.38mm). The resultant
18	biofuels were characterized using TGA, DTA, FE-SEM, FTIR spectroscopy and NMR
19	spectrum. The pyrolysis process of biomasses without and with catalyst resulted in the yield
20	rate of 29-37% and 39-51% respectively. Moreover, the CaO catalytic co-pyrolysis process
21	of pomegranate peel, groundnut shell and palmcone wastes with a ratio of 50:50 at 0.25mm
22	particle size has resulted in the highest yield rate of 51.6%. The NMR result of bio-oil
23	samples produced hydroxyl group and aliphatics which clearly state the suitability of bio-oils
24	for automotive application. The bio-oil had promising fuel characteristics consisting more
25	energy density (29.1MJ/kg), less oxygen content and free of nitrogen.
26	
27	Keywords: Pyrolysis; Lignocellulosic Biomass; Bio-oil; CaO catalyst; Renewable fuel
28	
29	
30	

#### 31 1. Introduction

Sustainable alternative energy plays a significant role in environmental changes. In 32 the past few decades, industrialization and population growth rate is intimated by the energy 33 demands (Suriapparao et al., 2020). The majority of energy demand is highly contributed to 34 fossil fuels like coal, natural gas and petroleum resources. However, the consistency in these 35 fossil fuel utilization results in not only environmental problems but also causing 36 sustainability issues. Therefore, global energy demand is compensated by several renewable 37 energy resources (Varma et al., 2019). In reason times, biomass is getting a wider attraction 38 39 for sustainable energy development due to the enormous route of raw materials. It does not only used to produce heat but also for chemical products, electricity generation and more 40 importantly fuels which can compensate for global energy to some extent. Many developing 41 countries have developed numerous effective waste management systems and for the disposal 42 of various biomass resources however complete disposal causes a financial crisis, and 43 polluting the environment and in particular filling up the occupying landfill sites (Arenas et 44 al., 2019). The applied waste management strategy for biofuel production has been presented 45 in Fig.1. The word biomass refers to organic plants, trees, agricultural industrial residues also 46 including municipal solid waste which is all sun's energy of photosynthesis. Even today the 47 48 biomass contributes 12% of the primary energy supply in the world and many developing countries to the range of 40-50% (Demirbaş and Arin, 2002). Waste recycling will have 49 50 creative eco-social business models that are the more cascading and circular economy. Furthermore, the waste valorization process requires a development of an efficient and 51 52 sustainable waste management strategy to overcome the limitations of conventional waste management approaches. 53

54 Bio-oil is the promising alternative fuel in the current scenario, promoting renewable energy resources and is made up of lignocellulosic biomass via the pyrolysis process (Wu et 55 al., 2020) Biomass is the third-largest renewable energy source in the world's energy supply 56 (Zhang et al., 2021). Biomass and waste can be converted through thermochemical 57 58 conversion processes such as pyrolysis, gasification and combustion (Arenas et al., 2019). Pyrolysis is gaining popularity because it can produce a variety of value-added products in 59 60 the form of gases, liquids, or solids, depending on the process conditions (Neves et al., 2011). Biomass from lignocellulosic sources (LCB) is one of the most promising options for 61 reducing fossil energy dependency, as it is the only renewable source that contains carbon 62 that can produce biofuels similar to fossil fuels (Karthick & Nanthagopal., 2021). Moreover, 63

64 the major portion of biomass derived from plants, woods, and crops is lignocellulosic in the form of hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin. The use of lignocellulosic biomass like organic-65 based biomasses as a potential feedstock for biofuels, energy, and biorefinery applications is 66 focussed among the scientific community (Ferreiro et al., 2017). Pyrolysis of biomass in the 67 absence of oxygen at high temperatures (450-600 °C) is referred to as biomass pyrolysis. 68 Depending on the operating conditions and reactor category, this technology has the potential 69 70 to produce a liquid fraction (bio-oil) yield of 60-70 wt.% (Singh et al., 2020). Pyrolysis is divided into two types based on heating rates: fast pyrolysis and slow pyrolysis. The 71 consumption of biomass for biofuels and biorefinery production is being complex method 72 due to the involvement of several key factors including the utilization target, conversion 73 expenses, accessible technologies, storage and distribution (Sakulkit et al., 2020). There have 74 been numerous biomass resources for the production of bio-oil and biochar like corn cobs, 75 coconut shells (Rout et al., 2016), wheat straw (Suriapparao et al., 2020), coffee grains 76 (Matrapazi and Zabaniotou, 2020), fruit peels (mango, banana, pomegranate, orange, etc.) 77 (Arenas et al., 2019), rice straw and rice husks (Hao et al., 2021), rubberwood, oil palm 78 biomass (Sakulkit et al., 2020) and wood sawdust (Soni and Karmee, 2020). 79

80 The advanced technology of co-pyrolysis is one of the emerging and promising approaches for the effective recovery of biomass for various resources. Bio-oil obtained 81 through the pyrolysis process is seems to be highly oxygenated which has resulted in low 82 heating value, enhanced acidity, significant humidity content. All these issues lead to poor 83 storage stability (Vuppaladadiyam et al., 2021). An effective way of mixing two or more 84 biomass feedstocks is commonly known as the co-pyrolysis technique which has shown 85 much improvement in the end products without much energy consumption. Moreover, the 86 higher yield rate co-pyrolysis technique is highly influenced by individual components of 87 biomass (Volpe et al., 2018). Sourabh Chakraborty et al. (Chakraborty et al., 2021) examined 88 89 the co-pyrolysis process using digested sludge, algal biomass and cedarwood for the production of bio-oil through thermochemical techniques. Even though the co-pyrolysis 90 technique has reduced the oxygen content in the resultant products, the bio-oil extracted rate 91 is slightly higher than other conventional pyrolysis (Zhao et al., 2020). This low yield rate 92 could be enhanced with the presence of a suitable catalyst. Several catalysts have been 93 developed so for the improvement of higher production of bio-oil around the globe. The 94 function of catalyst the co-pyrolysis technique is to crack the highly volatile compounds into 95 simplified form deoxygenation, dehydration and decarboxylation techniques (Ren et al., 96

2020). In recent times numerous catalysts have been used like biochar-based zeolite catalysts, 97 Fe-based catalysts, metal oxides catalysts (CaO, TiO<sub>2</sub> etc.) Ni-based catalysts and more 98 importantly microporous composites catalysts (Hao et al., 2021). Metal oxides have gained 99 focus in catalytic pyrolysis processes, due to their convenience and accessibility. It was also 100 found that metal oxides were efficient for oxygen elimination (Lin et al., 2018). CaO 101 converted the glycosidic bond breakage route to the breakdown and reforming route, as seen 102 by the lowering of levoglucosan and acetic acid. CaO also aided the side-chain detachment 103 reaction mechanism in lignin, leading to an increase in phenol and diols (Veses et al., 2014). 104 CaO, a classic basic oxide, has been investigated as a catalyst for bio-oil improvement due to 105 its environmental compatibility and low cost. Through catalytic processes, CaO aided in the 106 production of hydrocarbons. Furthermore, CaO removed the acids while increasing the 107 selectivity of the ketones. Meanwhile, when compared to non-catalytic pyrolysis, the amounts 108 of hydrocarbons and light chemicals (such as acetaldehyde and acetone) were considerably 109 enhanced (Lu et al., 2010). 110

In recent years, pyrolysis process is one of the best biofuel development methods for the 111 conversion of waste biomass into wealthy biofuel energy. In addition, highly integrated 112 pyrolysis with suitable pre-treatment like ultrasonic, hydrothermal carbonization, microwave 113 and pickling could enhance the bio-oil extraction rate at an economically viable route. 114 Among which microwave pre-treatment is the predominant method that has resulted in a 115 higher yield rate on biomass conversion. Hardly a few experimental works only carried out 116 with microwave preheated pyrolysis process. Specifically, the unique selection of various 117 biomass mixtures from different waste sources for the co-pyrolysis is a novel work. However, 118 there is no research outcome on the influence of metal oxide on bio-oil production. Therefore, 119 an attempt has been made to investigate the impact of Calcium oxide (CaO) on bio-oil 120 production from various biomass feedstocks. A comparative assessment has been carried out 121 on bio-oil production from with and without catalyst activation under the same operating 122 conditions. Further, this study has been extended to enhance the bio-oil production rate using 123 124 the co-pyrolysis approach and evaluation of bio-oil for the suitability in internal combustion engines. 125

126 2. Materials and Methods

## 127 2.1. Biomasses preparations

The present investigation was carried out on various lignocellulose biomasses of 128 pomegranate peel (PP), groundnut shell (GS) and palm cone waste (PCW). These biomasses 129 have better volatile compounds of higher than 75% and more than 45% of hemicellulose 130 content. These biomasses are collected from different waste sources like groundnut shell 131 from agro waste, pomegranate peel from food waste and palm cone from the forestry waste. 132 Primarily the collected biomasses were cleaned using deionized water and dried in the 133 presence of sunlight for 48 hrs duration. Thereafter, the size of biomasses was grinding to the 134 particle size of 0.12-0.38mm for achieving a better yield rate. These biomasses were heated 135 136 up to 65°C in a hot air oven for 24hrs duration to drain out the moisture content. The ultimate analysis of biomasses used in the present investigation is given below. In the end, biomasses 137 samples were kept inside the desiccator to avoid moisture absorption. The biomasses and 138 products characterization all the pyrolysis experiments and instruments analysis given in 139 Table 1. 140

## 141 2.2. Microwave pre-heated treatment

In this study, biomass samples were undergone a microwave pre-treatment process using a microwave oven. These samples were heated at the rate of 545.95, 818.91 and 887.16W/min for 3min, 3min and 2min respectively. The optimized pre-treatment condition was tabulated in Table 2 and catalyst proportions are provided in Table 3. After completion of the pretreatment process, the weight of the biomass samples is estimated and recorded for further investigation. the entire production process of formulated in the form of a flow chart which is given in Fig.2.

## 149 2.3. Pyrolysis Experimental Setup

The present experimental work was conducted on a pyrolysis reactor of 2litres capacity. It 150 consists of two condensation units, an inbuilt electrical furnace, a chiller unit, and a vacuum 151 152 pump. The internal diameter of the quartz reactor is 100mm and the height is 320mm which can withstand the temperature upto 1500°c. A 2kW external furnace has been installed in the 153 system for the heating purpose and the reactor temperature controlled by an external PID 154 controller. The vapour obtained from the reactor is directed to the condensation unit for the 155 condensation process. Finally, the bio-oil was collected from the round-bottom flask and the 156 weight of bio-oil was measured. In addition, the weight of biochar is also measured and the 157 yield percentage of the pyrolysis products is calculated using the below-mentioned equations 158

(1-3). In the end, the reactor was cooled to ambient temperature after the completion of thepyrolysis process and the biochar left in the reactor was collected.

161 Bio oil yield% = 
$$\frac{\text{weight of the collected biooil}}{\text{weight of the biomass}} \times 100$$
 (1)

162

Biochar yield% = 
$$\frac{\text{weight of the collected biochar}}{\text{weight of the biomass}} \times 100$$
 (2)

163 Gas yield% = 100 - (Bio - oil yield % + Biochar yield %)(3)

The production of bio-oil from various biomass feedstocks was investigated in two 164 production methods like non-catalytic and catalytic co-pyrolysis process. Initially, the dried 165 microwave preheated samples of pomegranate peel (PP), Groundnut shell (GS) and Palm 166 cone waste (PCW) were explored non-catalytic pyrolysis process. Each biomass sample was 167 taken as 150g with a particle size of 0.12-0.38mm were placed in the reactor, and all the 168 169 samples were heated at the rate of 15-50°C/min to find the final heating temperature for maximum biomass conversion. In the second phase of the investigation, co-pyrolysis was 170 used by mixing these biomasses at a 50:50 ratio without catalyst. In the third stage, the co-171 pyrolysis process was enhanced by a CaO catalyst for the same biomasses blend under the 172 same operating conditions. 173

## 174 **2.4.** CaO catalyst

From the extensive technical literature, the metal oxide can boost up the pyrolysis reaction 175 under any specified operating condition. Numerous metal oxides are existing and many 176 177 oxides were used successfully for bio-oil production. A comparative study on various metal oxides for the upgradation of the pyrolysis process was studied with ZnO, Cao, MgO, TiO<sub>2</sub>, 178 NiO and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Among these metal oxides, ZnO has the ability to slightly alter the pyrolysis 179 product and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has the potential to form the hydrocarbons. But none of these metal oxides 180 except CaO has the potential to eliminate the acids and formation of hydrocarbons (Lu et al., 181 2010). So that, in this present study calcium oxide (CaO) was used as a catalyst for co-182 pyrolysis reaction due to its better cracking ability to split the carbon chain into smaller 183 molecules without deriving the higher temperature. In an earlier study wang et al, suggested 184 that foundation materials such as inexpensive dolomite and limestone could be more 185 advantageous in improving pyrolysis oil output (Wang et al., 2010). It was also pointed out 186 that utilization of CaO for co-pyrolysis reaction does not produce carboxylic acids or furans 187 because these carboxylic acid present would greatly reduce the bio-oil production. At the 188 same time, the CaO catalyst could enhance the yield of Ketones, phenols, alkenes, and 189

#### Journal Pre-proofs

alkadienes (Lin et al., 2018). For all the catalyst co-pyrolysis reactions, the catalyst was
crushed and sieved to a 200-mesh size before usage, and the powders were dried at 120°C for
2 hours to eliminate the physically absorbed water.

## 193 2.5. Water content titration

Karl Fischer's water analysis is currently carried out using two separate methods: volumetric 194 and coulometric titration. A sample water content determines the method. Iodine solution is 195 added to each tube using a motorized piston burette in the volumetric Karl-Fischer titration. 196 The volumetric titration is appropriate for samples with a high water content, ranging from 197 0.01 to 100% by weight. Iodine is produced in the coulometric Karl-Fischer titration by 198 electrochemical oxidation in the titration cell. This approach is appropriate for samples with 199 low/trace water content ranging from 0.0001 to 5% by weight. On the titrator, the water 200 content of each tested sample (standard and oils) was determined in the same way. The 201 sample weighed between 0.10 and 0.15g. When the drying oven reached the desired 202 temperature, the sample was dropped into it with a dropper. The DM 143 SC electrode was 203 204 utilized for the titration, and the "mixing time" was set to 60 seconds (the start of the measurement - insertion of the sample into the sample tube), the titration itself was 900 205 seconds, and the "delay time" was set to 60 seconds (60 seconds from reaching the first 206 endpoint to the moment the titration ended). The Karl-Fischer technique was used to measure 207 the amount of residual water in the organic phase (Zhen et al., 2020). 208

## 209 **3. Results and discussion**

## 210 **3.1. Biomass Characterization**

## 211 3.1.1. Ultimate analysis of Raw materials and biochar

The ultimate analysis could determine the fuel's properties in the specified substrate and the 212 results are summarized in Table 4. The elemental analysis showed that the contents of C, H, 213 N, S and O of PP, GS, PCW were in the range of 40-55%, 5-6.7%, 0.5-1%, >0.01% and 40-214 50% respectively. Similarly, the biochar products have the C, H, N, S and O in the range of 215 75-80%, 3-5%, 0.1-0.5%, 0.01 and 15-18% approximately. In both raw biomasses and 216 biochar, the amount of carbon and oxygen is higher than hydrogen, nitrogen, and sulphur, 217 according to the ultimate analysis. The C and H levels of PP were greater than among GS and 218 PCW, whereas the N, S, and O levels of PP were lower than among GS and PCW. The 219 oxygen concentration of biomass must be low for the pyrolysis process, while the carbon and 220 hydrogen levels should be high. This is because the carbon and hydrogen in biomass can be 221

transformed into valuable aromatic chemicals in bio-oil (Sakulkit et al., 2020). On the other 222 side, during the pyrolysis process, oxygen will be coupled with the hydrocarbon molecules as 223 oxygenated compounds, lowering the quality of bio-oil. Furthermore, pyrolysis of biomass 224 with a high oxygen concentration poses a risk of producing bio-oil with high water content. 225 This is due to the formation of moisture content by the chemical reaction between hydrogen 226 and oxygen (Pimenidou and Dupont, 2012). The nitrogen and sulphur levels of the biomass 227 samples were also low. It was also noted that the formation SOx and NOx were extremely 228 low in all biomass samples due to their low inherent rate. The biochar retains the majority of 229 sulphur and nitrogen (Varma et al., 2019). From the available elemental analyzer data, the 230 empirical formula for the raw biomasses and biochar were obtained and the same has been 231 presented in Table 4. Further, the H/C and O/C molar ratio was calculated by the empirical 232 formula. Finally, the higher heating value (HHV) was also estimated from the elemental 233 composition biomasses using the Dulong equation (Table 4) (Park et al., 2011) 234

## 235 3.1.2. TGA and DTA analysis

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and Differential thermal analysis (DTA) are determining 236 the nature of thermal stability of biomass characterization. The thermal behaviour of PP, GS, 237 and PCW was carried out in a non-reactive environment using a TGA and DTA instrument 238 (SDT Q600). Around 2.25mg sample was taken for each biomass sample to characterize the 239 thermal stability in the range of 30-800°C. The heating was carried out at the rate of 20 240 °C/min with a constant flow of N<sub>2</sub> gas purge 100ml/min. The thermal breakdown of PP, GS 241 and PCW was presented in Fig.3. Decomposition happened mostly during the drying phase, 242 active pyrolysis phase, and lastly char production stage, according to the TGA and DTA 243 Curve representation of biomasses. For the drying, active pyrolytic phase, and passive 244 pyrolytic stage, the thermal decomposition temperatures of biomass ranged from 30-150°C, 245 150-550°C, and 550-800°C respectively (Mishra et al., 2019). The peak of the TGA curve 246 was majority shown in between 120-600°C is represented as temperature range biomass in 247 the pyrolysis process active phase. The DTA curve identifies the majority of components 248 decomposed in the range of 400-600°C which makes it suitable for the pyrolytic condition 249 250 (Mishra and Mohanty, 2020). The TG curves of the three biomasses (PP, GS, and PCW) were compared, and PCW and GS were in the more or less same temperature range as PP. A 251 similar trend has been noticed in the DTA curve also. The PP biomass is manifested thermal 252 stability beyond the expected temperature but in the experimental method of co-pyrolysis, PP 253 254 biomass has not shown any influence on yield rate. Higher molecular weight compounds,

such as heavy hemicellulose and cellulose compounds, were partitioned into lower molecular 255 fractions by external thermal effects in the second stage at the pyrolytic phase of 120-600°C 256 resulted in the liberate of hot volatiles. Because of the degradation of hemicellulose in the 257 first phase (120-350°C) and cellulose in the second phase (350-550°C), the second phase is 258 divided into lower and intermediate temperature ranges. However, this temperature range 259 may vary depending on the content of the biomass. In comparison to cellulose and lignin, 260 hemicellulose is less thermally stable. Nevertheless, at high temperatures (> 550 °C), the 261 hydroxyl phenolic groups that improved lignin's thermal resilience will be discussed in FTIR 262 analysis. There was no further weight loss in the passive zone, but carbon and ash were 263 present in solid form (inorganic residue). It was also noted that the final heating temperature 264 of all pyrolytic experiments was maintained at 550°C(Mishra and Mohanty, 2020). 265

The samples of 2.24 mg for PP, 1.434 mg for GS and 2.174 mg for PCW were taken in the 266 TGA and DTA analysis experiments. From Fig.3(a), it is identified that PP, GS, and PCW 267 biomasses were dried in the range of 6.043%, 6.870%, and 8.558% in the 0.136mg, 0.099mg, 268 269 and 0.186mg, respectively. The biomass samples consumed in the active pyrolytic stage as 1.192 mg, 0.732 mg, and 1.029 mg at rates of 53.03%, 50.44%, and 47.33% for PP, GS, and 270 271 PCW, respectively. The results verified that higher molecules degraded into lower compounds as hemicellulose decomposed in cellulose to achieve the greatest peak shown in 272 the DTA curve. The final TGA curve clear indication of char formation which can be directly 273 correlated by the presence of lignin content in the biomass samples. Interestingly all three 274 biomass samples were produced biochar residue at beyond 500°C. The char residue in PP, 275 GS, and PCW biomasses are 8.816% in 0.1982mg, 7.678% in 0.111mg, and 12.52% in 276 0.277mg, respectively. The residual component of the TGA analysis revealed that a large 277 number of biomasses were either entirely converted to char or degraded. The pyrolytic 278 conditions have completely devoured that stage of the sample, leaving just residual biomass. 279 280 The values are 32.11% in 0.722mg for PP, 30.05% in 0.503mg for GS and 31.58% in 0.687mg for PCW biomasses respectively. 281

In the DTA curve (Fig.3(b)) these three peaks are thought to be caused by various lignin, hemicellulose, and cellulose breakdown ranges, according to the literature. The initial curve of DTA analysis inveterate that water molecules and lower molecular weight compounds were removed in the earlier stage and the values are 0.069°C min/mg and 0.0101°C min/mg at 62.88°C and 298.59°C for PP biomass, 0.0744°C min/mg at 64.96°C for GS biomass and 0.139°C min/mg at 63.34°C for PCW biomass respectively. PP biomass has enlarged

molecular weight compounds with biomass closer to the apex of hemicellulose. This result is 288 verified by the DTA curves in which a desorption phenomenon is linked to an endothermic 289 peak. The DTA curves below 90°C have shown a likely weight loss due to water desorption 290 remaining in samples. Moreover, hemicellulose decomposition has been verified at peaks 291 detected at 89.78° C, 83.94° C and 81.65° C for PP, GS and PCW biomasses as 292 hemicellulose degraded typically at a lower temperature of 80 °C to 340°C. The maximum 293 temperature for the co-pyrolytic reaction is limited to 550°C due to subsequent cracking 294 reactions beyond 600°C which enhances the gas formation and also diminishes bio-oil 295 296 production. Some of the earlier studies stated that effective pyrolysis conversion could be possible even at a temperature of 450-500°C which is very low compared to the present 297 investigation. However, in this study 550°C is seems to be the best temperature for pyrolysis 298 conversion which has been proved from the curves of DTA. Fig.3(b) revealed that the weight 299 loss of biomass samples was quite low at higher temperatures and this trend has been 300 witnessed in all the biomass samples during the pyrolysis technique. The thermal degradation 301 of biomass samples begins beyond 250°C. The cellulose breakdown has effectively happened 302 at two locations 320.85°C and 583.5°C for PP biomass whereas for GS biomass at 561.9°C 303 and PCW biomass 559.4°C. The DTA analysis has evident that PP biomass has more 304 305 potential to yield bio-oil even at extreme temperature levels.

306 **3.1.3.** FTIR Characterization

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy analysis was conducted using an FTIR analyzer 307 (MODEL: Thermo Nicolet iS50 with inbuilt ATR made by Thermo Fisher Scientific). The 308 FTIR characterization analysis was carried out at different stages of biomass samples, which 309 confirms the occurrence of diverse functions in connection with different chemical 310 classifications. It was evident that the functional substances and functional groups along with 311 the characteristic absorption bands for all biomass samples which are prepared under co-312 pyrolysis technique with and without catalyst. The peak value of the FTIR spectrum at the 313 range 3500-4000cm<sup>-1</sup> was a representation of water content which is due to the stretching 314 vibration of OH radicals for the presence of alcohols, phenols and water particles in the 315 biomass samples (Zhang et al., 2021). It is also witnessed that the same peak value for water 316 content was derived as 3641.60cm<sup>-1</sup> for all the co-pyrolysis biomass samples. On other hand, 317 the same peak value was obtained from the biochar sample as well. Furthermore, the presence 318 of lignin content in the biomass samples was evident at 3229.42cm<sup>-1</sup> and 3294.42cm<sup>-1</sup>. These 319 320 bands can be attributed to OH stretching vibrations associated with cellulosic compounds

321 (Zhang et al., 2020). The remaining CH radicals are induced by symmetrical and asymmetrical stretching of CH<sub>2</sub> which has been found in 2922.16cm<sup>-1</sup> (Liu et al., 2016). The 322 higher peak value of 1700-1650cm<sup>-1</sup> in the FTIR spectrum was the clear indication of C=O 323 based ketones and this has resulted in the conversion of aldehyde to ketones by the 324 breakdown of hemicellulose and cellulose compounds (Zhang et al., 2020). In the present 325 FTIR analysis, the ketones were noted at the peak of 1718.58 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1724.36 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The OH 326 bending mode of adsorption water and protein amide C=O is primarily responsible for the 327 wideband at 1620.2cm<sup>-1</sup> (Liu et al., 2016). The aromatic C=C stretching vibration was 328 identified at 1614.42cm<sup>-1</sup>, and the pattern in these four samples are nearly identical (Hu et al., 329 2021). The existence of monocyclic, polycyclic, and substituted aromatic compounds is 330 shown by the absorption band of 1410-1650cm<sup>-1</sup>. The FTIR spectrum of the biochar sample 331 shows the peak value of 1411.89cm<sup>-1</sup> which is the presence of C-H stretching of aromatics in 332 the lignin structure, however the same has been revealed at 1423cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1424cm<sup>-1</sup> in co-333 pyrolysis biomass samples (Lazzari et al., 2018). 334

On the other hand, the non-esterified carbonyl group has been traced out at a peak of 335 1328.95cm<sup>-1</sup> in the co-pyrolysis biomass samples. These peak ranges provide strong C-H 336 337 stretching during the catalyst-based co-pyrolysis reaction. The peak spanning 1200-1100cm<sup>-1</sup> can often be due to organic acid C-O stretching (Yang et al., 2007). The existence of phenols 338 and alcohols generated by the breakdown of hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin was 339 suggested by the -OH and C-O stretching associations at the peak value of 1228.66cm<sup>-1</sup> and 340 1147.65cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively (Yang et al., 2007). Furthermore, the peak at 1228.66cm<sup>-1</sup> in the 341 MW FTIR spectra is due to the vibration mode of OH/NH deformation in proteins (Liu et al., 342 2016). The absorption peak at 1014.58cm<sup>-1</sup> indicates the bending of C-O and C-O-H in 343 secondary and primary alcohols or aliphatic ethers, however, catalyst assisted co-pyrolysis of 344 biomass sample at the peak value of 1026.13cm<sup>-1</sup> could reflect the vibrational stretching of C-345 O-H, C=C, C-C-O from polysaccharides (Ceylan and Goldfarb, 2015). These peak values 346 were generated with strong intensity and high attribution during association with cellulose 347 and hemicellulose of catalyst co-pyrolysis. The lower bandwidth 800-400cm<sup>-1</sup> is a clear 348 indication of CO<sub>2</sub> content (Zhang et al., 2020), however, in the present study peak value of 349 875cm<sup>-1</sup> was noted in the biochar sample which is due to aromatic structure (Hu et al., 2021). 350 The enhancement in the higher peak value is mainly due to the presence of a catalyst in the 351 pyrolysis reaction. The remaining small traced amounts in the spectrum show the 352 accumulation of different species. 353

#### 354 **3.2.** Product yields

Pyrolysis studies were carried out to analyze the effect of various operating parameters on the 355 final production rate. It is also used to conduct the in-depth properties estimation of bio-oil 356 which is obtained from various forms of biomasses. Fig.4(a-c) shows the production of 357 pyrolysis oil and other by-products through pyrolysis and co-pyrolysis techniques. It is well 358 known that the pyrolysis oil yield rate is highly dominant by factors of heating temperature, 359 heating rate, biomass particle size and more importantly feedstock compositions. Therefore, 360 the entire experimental investigation was conducted at the peak temperature of 550°C. In 361 particular, the heating temperature selection co-pyrolysis technique was selected based on the 362 TGA data. It has been observed that the production of pyrolysis oil was maintained at 30-363 33% during individual pyrolysis techniques and it was enhanced to 36% during the non-364 catalytic co-pyrolysis technique. This result has been evident for all the co-pyrolysis biomass 365 366 samples at the ratio of 50:50 (Hao et al., 2021). Further improvement in the production yield of pyrolysis oil was noticed during the catalytic-based co-pyrolysis technique. In particular, 367 368 the highest yield rate of 51.7% was obtained for a co-pyrolysis combination of PP+ GS+ PCW+ CaO. The resulting liquid fraction is a complex combination of hydrocarbons ranging 369 370 from  $C_5$  to  $C_{20}$ , with a low heating value, a C/H ratio similar to that of many fuels, and a low sulphur level (Berrueco et al., 2005). From the graph, it is also interesting to note that the 371 biochar production was slightly higher for PCW biomass during individual pyrolysis 372 techniques and this biochar rate marginally reduced (40-44%) during the non-catalytic co-373 pyrolysis process. On the other hand, the CaO catalyst aided co-pyrolysis process has shown 374 a remarkable reduction in biochar production and this reduction has been witnessed for all 375 combinations of biomass samples. 376

The resultant residue of the pyrolysis technique was obtained from various biomasses in the 377 form of residue loss and water content. The residual loss is explained as the segment of the 378 glass column linking the upright condenser and bent at a 30° where tar or pyrolysis oil 379 deposition occurred. The maximum pyrolysis oil deposited in that region was scraped off 380 with a customized spatula. Following the pyrolysis process, the glass column, condensers, 381 spatula, and vents connecting the condensers were thoroughly cleaned with acetone to reduce 382 the loss caused by pyrolysis oil sticking to the glass equipment (Bhattacharjee and Biswas, 383 2019). The liquid fraction is the combination of oil and water content, the water content 384 determined by the Karl-Fischer titration (Zhen et al., 2020), almost considered as a loss. 385 386 Interestingly, the residue loss and water content are quite consistent (10-15%) during the

individual pyrolysis and non-catalytic co-pyrolysis process. However, the resultant residues 387 were reduced substantially to below 10% during the catalyst-based co-pyrolysis process and 388 this trend has been maintained for all combinations of biomass samples. This reveals that the 389 presence of CaO catalyst is playing a more dominant role than co-pyrolysis biomass samples. 390 It is generally known that in the case of co-pyrolysis, the presence of a catalyst caused a 391 dehydration reaction, resulting in the creation of water, acid, and carbonyl compounds. In 392 addition, the production of gases and char should be enhanced. Further, the addition of a 393 catalyst in the raw materials can significantly improve the production of gases and pyrolysis 394 oils (Fekhar et al., 2020). The pyrolysis process was carried out until no vapours emerged 395 from the reactor as a result of employing the catalyst aided batch reactor. As a result, the 396 formulation of heavy oil during the pyrolysis process was completely eradicated. In 397 comparison among all catalytic processes is the highest yield of liquid fraction was obtained 398 45-51% during the catalyst co-pyrolysis process. In the present study, the CaO has been used 399 as a cracking catalyst under a 50:50 catalytic co-pyrolysis ratio. This has resulted in CaCO<sub>3</sub> 400 formation during the pyrolysis reaction which has enhanced the CO<sub>2</sub> capturing ability from 401 these biomasses. Finally, it was concluded that the presence of CaO during the co-pyrolysis 402 technique has shown remarkable improvement on resultant products and it is expected to 403 404 increase with a higher concentration of CaO. Metal oxides catalyst CaO enhances the oil yield which makes up to lower gas yields, it is better suitable for lignocellulosic biomasses 405 406 materials. To improve the effectiveness of the hemicellulose to cellulose decomposition catalyst CaO, the catalyst cracks the cellulose with the help of oxidation to increase the bio-407 408 oil upgrade. It's possible that using a CaO catalyst to promote cracking events will result in the synthesis of condensable organic compounds via retrogressive reactions, enhancing the 409 liquid fraction yield. After the segregation of bio-oil from the yielded products in NMR 410 analysis was carried out to identify the physical nature of sustainable bio-oil. Fig.4(d) depicts 411 the overall resultant products obtained from catalytic and non-catalytic biomasses in 412 percentage. Nearly 10-15% pyrolysis gas also formed during all pyrolysis methods which has 413 a common issue in pyrolysis techniques. 414

The gas temperature differs between catalytic and non-catalytic runs. When CaO is used, an increase in  $H_2$  concentration is noticed, as well as a slight increase in both CH4 and CO intensities and a decrease in CO<sub>2</sub>. It could be owing to CO<sub>2</sub> capture by calcium-based components according to Equation (4), favors  $H_2$  creation from the water gas shift reaction and, as a result, methane reforming according to Equation (5) and (6). It's important to note

(6)

(8)

422 
$$CaO_{(s)} + CO_{2(g)} \leftrightarrow CaCO_{3(s)}$$
 (4)

423 
$$CH_{4(g)} + H_2O_{(g)} \leftrightarrow 3H_{2(g)} + CO_{(g)}$$
(5)

424 
$$CO_{(g)} + H_2O_{(g)} \leftrightarrow CO_{(g)} + H_{2(g)}$$

425 Biomasses + 
$$CaO_{(s)} \xrightarrow{\Delta} Syngas(g) + Biochar(s) + CaCO_{3(S)}$$

426 
$$Syngas(g) \xrightarrow{condensation} Biooil(1) + tar(s)$$

427 As these Calcium-based substances have shown a catalytic effect on biomass pyrolysis, the 428 rising concentrations of  $H_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and CO could be attributed to improving cracking reactions 429 as shown in Equation (7) and (8). As a result, for those studies with calcium-based materials, 430 a higher HHV is obtained, with CaO containing this improved performance.

## 431 3.3. Influence of operating parameters on product yields

In this chapter, influential effect of various operating parameters involved in the pyrolysis process such as heating rate and particle size and catalytic loading has been discussed. Moreover, temperature of pyrolysis reactor has major role in influencing the bio-oil yield rate. But the optimum temperature has been chosen as 550°C based on the TGA and DTA analysis results.

## 437 **3.3.1.** Effect of Heating rate

Thermal breakdown of PP, GS, and PCW biomasses was executed in the pyrolysis reactor 438 under an inert atmosphere at multiple heating rates. The pyrolysis oil yield rate of PP, GS, 439 and PCW biomasses at different heating rates experiment and three kinds of biomass are 440 made up of non-catalytic and catalytic were co-pyrolyzed at 550°C at different heating rates 441 (15-50°C/min). The resultant products of non-catalytic and catalytic techniques are compared 442 through oil yield rate only. The higher heating rate means that the biomass is exposed to 443 higher temperatures for a shorter period, resulting in instability. The TG and DTA curves 444 445 migrated nearer to the high-temperature area as the heating rate increased, and also thermal hysteresis has emerged in the pyrolysis process. In addition, as the heating rate was raised, 446 the initial release temperature of volatile components and the peak temperatures of the DTA 447 curves have increased. As the temperature range increased, the pyrolysis process reached its 448 peak pyrolysis temperature before reaching its final pyrolysis temperature, at which bio-oil 449

was created and hemicellulose degradation to cellulose has occurred. With a rise in heating 450 rate, the lower volatile matter deposition, low weight loss and higher residual weight were 451 found and this trend was noticed with an increase in pyrolysis temperature also (see 452 supplementary material). As pointed out earlier the TGA analysis clearly shows the three 453 stages of the pyrolysis process which include the drying stage, heating stage and final stage. 454 It is to be suggested from the DTA curve that the removal of moisture content and weight 455 loss in the biomass samples would happen in the first phase of pyrolysis when the 456 temperature reaches beyond 100°C. The pre-heating stage (100°C ~  $T_0$ ;  $T_0$  is the initial 457 pyrolysis temperature) happens when the biomass depolymerizes and the "glass transition" 458 starts. The volatiles is emitted in the third stage ( $T_0 \sim T$ ; T is the final pyrolysis temperature) 459 (Xiao et al., 2020). 460

As the heating rate increases, the pyrolysis temperature reaches its maximum value, which 461 makes the greater influence of pyrolysis oil yield rate. The cellulose decomposition along 462 with lignin formation for the production of bio-oil is highly triggered during the second stage 463 of the pyrolysis process (active pyrolysis phase). As per the given data, the raising final 464 heating rate and the final pyrolysis temperature are proportional to a peak pyrolysis 465 temperature. At the same time, increasing the heating rate might affect the oil yield rate. The 466 inherent heat and mass transfer among biomass particles affect the pyrolysis characteristic 467 temperature at different rates. At a lower heating rate, there is a uniform heat distribution 468 among each biomass particle without much weight loss and this might result in enhancement 469 pyrolysis production rate. Even though, when the heating rate rises, the temperature gradient 470 between the interior and exterior surfaces of the biomass particles would be widened. 471 Moreover, due to the lag in heat transfer rate, the pyrolysis temperature inside the biomass 472 particles is very low. It affects the pyrolysis of the inside biomass particles. As a result, the 473 pyrolysis of biomass happens at a wider temperature range by shifting the DTA peak towards 474 higher temperature which makes a greater influence on lignocellulosic biomass particles 475 development (Xiao et al., 2020). It was identified that with an escalation in heating rates from 476 15 to 50°C/min at a uniform rise of 5°C/min in the active zone (about 150- 550°C), 477 decomposition of non-catalytic co-pyrolysis (PP, GS and PCW) was observed 20%, 24.1%, 478 24.5%, 27.1%, 28.3%, 36.4%, 33.1%, 33% and catalytic co-pyrolysis (PP, GS, PCW with 479 CaO) was 23%, 31%, 33%, 41.5%, 45.23%, 51.7%, 48.1% and 46% yield rate respectively as 480 shown in Fig.5(a) and 5(b). At a heating rate of 40°C/min, both non-catalytic and catalytic 481 co-pyrolysis are shown maximum oil yield and beyond 40°C/min heating rate, the yield rate 482

483 was slow down. The heating rate was estimated from using TGA results through optimized 484 temperature limits. The reduction in the residence time at higher heating rates results in a 485 slowdown in the interaction between the biomass particles. It also produces more inorganic 486 residues at a higher heating rate. While maintaining prolonged residence time, the interaction 487 between biomass particles has decreased the inorganic residue formation even at lower 488 heating rates (Mishra and Mohanty, 2020).

## 489 **3.3.2.** Effects of Particle Size distribution

The particle size distribution on different biomass at a heating rate of 40°C/min was 490 influenced. In the case of Groundnut shell and palm cone waste biomasses, the initial and 491 final pyrolysis temperature enlarged for large particles but were comparable for small 492 particles (0.12-0.18mm and 0.22-0.38mm) at the same heating temperature. However, for 493 Pomegranate peel (PP) biomass the particle size distribution was revised as it seems to be the 494 heftiest. This is due to the woody structure of both GS and PCW biomasses in nature and this 495 has resulted in larger interparticle spaces. Interestingly, the lower thermal resistance between 496 the interior surface of smaller biomass particle sizes offered better pyrolysis efficacy with a 497 superior yield rate. On the other hand, the higher particle size of biomass samples produced a 498 lower yield rate. It is to be noted that an increase in biomass particle sizes (0.12-0.38mm) 499 required a higher initial temperature of 213- 267°C and this trend has been witnessed in non-500 catalytic and catalytic co-pyrolysis (See supplementary material). Therefore, maintaining a 501 smaller particle size for each biomass sample is the topmost priority to attain the initial 502 temperature. In the present study, the PP biomass has been identified as higher intensity with 503 smooth structure in the form of smaller particle size. However, as per the TGA result, the 504 weight loss curves of GS and PCW biomasses occupies high-temperature area which has 505 resulted in higher semi-char yield even though these particle sizes were low. On the other 506 hand, this trend seems to be inverse in PP biomass with two intermediate temperatures and 507 therefore the addition of PP with GS and PCW biomass samples could enhance the 508 intermolecular reaction among biomass particles. This is primarily the heat-transfer constraint 509 in and around the particles that generates a temperature difference inside the granules during 510 the pyrolysis of large particles(Xiao et al., 2020). The heat transfer rate reduced as particle 511 size surged, and the time is taken for heating the particle nucleus to rise to the final reaction 512 temperature has been enhanced. The results revealed that the particle size (0.12-0.15, 0.18-513 0.22 and 0.25-0.38mm) on both non-catalytic co-pyrolysis were observed to be 33.65%, 514 515 33.4%, 35.2%, 36%, 36.4%, 35.4% and for catalytic co-pyrolysis was 42.4%, 43.6%,

45.56%, 45.21%, 51.7% and 46% yield rate respectively as shown in Fig.5(c) and 5(d). 516 Moreover, the weight loss in biomass samples has been revealed during the microwave 517 preheated process due to the changes in its surface area and also the removal of moisture 518 content. The maximal weight loss, Umax (%/°C) has slightly increased with an increase in a 519 final pyrolysis temperature and followed decreasing trend after the attainment of peak 520 temperature. As a result, considering the cost and reaction control factors, 0.25mm was 521 determined to be the optimum particle size for the three raw materials in this investigation. 522 Even though, both non-catalytic and catalytic co-pyrolysis yielded a higher oil rate at a 523 524 particle size of 0.25mm, catalyst-based co-pyrolysis resulted in higher output. This is due to the change in particle structure on microwave preheated biomass along with the presence of 525 catalyst thereby producing a higher yield rate (Varma et al., 2019). 526

## 527 3.3.3. Effects of microwave preheating and catalyst loading on pyrolysis process

In this study, the microwave preheating has been performed to enhance the thermal cracking 528 of biomass for obtaining better bio-oil yield. When comparing the pyrolysis processes of 529 individual biomasses with and without microwave preheating process, the process with 530 microwave preheating process has better yield rate. Because, the experimentation process 531 without microwave preheating of individual biomasses results in 20-25% of bio-oil yield rate. 532 On the other hand, the pyrolysis process of individual biomasses aided with microwave 533 preheating shows the improved yield rate of 29-32%. Moreover, the co-pyrolysis of biomass 534 mixtures results in further improved yield rate of 33-37%. Besides, both the individual 535 biomasses and their mixtures achieved the optimum yield rate at the microwave oven setting 536 condition of 545W/min for 3min. Additionally, the yield rate achieved for each biomass and 537 their mixtures at various microwave preheating condition are presented in table 2 and the 538 yield rate ranges are represented as higher (40-50%), average (30-40%), and lesser (20-30%). 539 To determine the influence of microwave preheating using the FE-SEM analysis method, the 540 morphological characteristics and surface microstructure of CaO with biomass samples were 541 studied. FE-SEM images show that the microwave preheated biomasses produce variation in 542 particle size distribution which has resulted in different surface structure (see supplementary 543 material). The purpose of this study was to look at the physical effects of microwave 544 preheated PP, GS, and PCW biomasses at various powers as listed in Table 2 and the effect 545 of catalytic aided process as listed in Table 3. In general, the untreated biomass samples have 546 complete block structures. Further, it also has flat and smooth surfaces with just a little 547 548 quantity of debris on the entire particles. The surface structure of biomass could be destroyed

by microwave pretreatment, and the lignin layer from the interstices in the packed 549 microfibrils framework could be removed (Kainthola et al., 2019). The effect of catalyst 550 loading on individual biomass with CaO catalyst was investigated, and the bio-oil yield was 551 found to be in the expected range of 39-44%. Each biomass yield has various strategies and 552 produces a diverse fraction of bio-oil yield. Furthermore, the experiments were conducted in 553 catalytic co-pyrolysis to achieve a higher yield. In the end, the addition of CaO catalyst on 554 microwave preheated biomass samples yielded higher bio-oil and it also has an impact on 555 active pores which has changed the structural-functional group. It can be seen that the 556 557 lignocellulosic structure of the untreated biomass samples remains intact, there are no pores on the surface. While adding CaO catalyst with biomass sample, it was covered by the upper 558 surface of the biomass. The heterogeneous sample of raw biomasses was shown in 3.4µm 559 (approx.) and the catalyst surface pores of 36.25µm were depicted. As the CaO belongs to 560 nanopores structure, its presents in the lignocellulosic biomass enhance the interaction among 561 biomass particles with much impact of cohesive efforts (Liang et al., 2019). Further, the 562 active pores of CaO could absorb the moisture content of biomass and it also accelerates the 563 oxidation reaction. Due to these inherent changes, the pore sizes are reframed into 564 microfibrils which leads to a crackdown of the lignocelluloses and thereby much 565 566 improvement in the pyrolysis process. The microfibrils structure pores of 2.06µm (approx.) and the catalyst CaO completely blended with biomass samples i.e. the interior surface of 567 biomass which react with CaO and the structure was smoothy surface of nanopores of 568 2.515µm (Zhang et al., 2021). EDS analysis noticed that the value of oxygen is less to 569 570 compare the CHNS analysis which means CaO absorbs the water molecules helps to oxidation reaction. The percentage of EDS analysis mentions that Ca (54.2%), O (32.9%) and 571 572 C (12.9%) respectively. The CaO is one of the best cracking catalysts which could modify the functional group of the biomasses through interaction between the inner and outer surface of 573 biomasses. This reaction has cracked down the cellulose and upgraded the bio-oil via the 574 condensation of gases. 575

576 The peak pyrolysis temperature ( $\theta$ max) values of CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CH<sub>4</sub> shift to lower 577 temperatures in the CaO catalytic run, while the  $\theta$ max values of hydrocarbons and other 578 oxygenated compounds shift to higher temperatures (Wang et al., 2010). Due to its 579 substantial basicity, CaO was the best co-pyrolysis deoxygenation catalyst. It has the highest 580 alkene selectivity, which indicates that the bio-oil is of higher quality. However, due to coke 581 production during the pyrolysis process, the CaO exhibited a considerably lower total peak

area for volatile chemicals than uncatalyzed pyrolysis (Lin et al., 2018). The biomasses with 582 a higher quantity of co-pyrolysis improve the pyrolysis efficiency, as CaO catalyst absorbs 583 and converts microwave into heat before dissipating them to the biomasses. Biomasses with a 584 low CaO fraction, on the other side, have a lower potential for decomposition due to their 585 poor heat transfer efficiency (Mong et al., 2020). Furthermore, the high fraction of CaO 586 catalyst mixed with biomasses relatively exhibits a low conversion rate of 50%, as indicated 587 by the high level of liquid yield (50% wt). This is owing to the role of CaO catalyst as 588 microwave absorber which plays a pivotal role in the conduction heat exchange process 589 590 within the biomasses. The microparticle size of 0.25mm for both the CaO catalyst and the biomasses enable superior surface contact for effective heat transfer thus allowing the 591 biomass samples temperature to attain a higher level. Fig.6 (a) shows 50-60% proportion of 592 CaO enhances the higher yield of bio-oil through microwave preheating catalytic co-593 pyrolysis technique. 594

## 595 3.4. Bio-oil Characterization

Nuclear Magnetic Spectroscopy is one of the finest techniques that have been popularly used 596 for the estimation of the composition, molecular structure and purity of any solid/liquid 597 matter. In this present study, NMR was used for analyzing the chemical structure of bio-oil 598 which has been developed through pyrolysis and co-pyrolysis processes due to its faster 599 response with a better signal to noise (S/N) ratio (Hao et al., 2016). All the bio-oil samples 600 obtained from the investigation were dissolved in acetone  $(d_6)$  before being subjected to the 601 NMR spectrum. The existence of ammonium formate signal in some samples suggests that 602 not all H-donor molecules decayed under the circumstances used. This is especially relevant 603 for samples with a high concentration of H-donor(Struhs et al., 2021). Since the pyrolysis 604 process was used for bio-oil production the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR study has been deployed for the 605 evaluation of H-C functional groups. It has been noticed that the resultant bio-oil yielded 606 from various biomasses mainly consists of aliphatic and hydroxyl groups and lesser aromatic 607 given in Table 5. In particular, ring-join methylene (Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-O)/ ether and a slight portion of 608 an aliphatic structure of CH $\beta$  and CH $\gamma$  are the unwanted products that need to be removed. 609 Further, the presence of ring-join methylene is a clear indication of better anti-knocking 610 behavior and is thereby suitable for automotive application. The majority of H-NMR peaks 611 are seen between 0.5 and 3.0 ppm, which is associated with -CH<sub>3</sub> and -CHn-. (aliphatic 612 region). This means that the bio-oil produced comprises mostly aliphatic components and 613 614 only a trace of aromatic compounds (McIntosh et al., 2021). It is also evident that the CHy

exists in the range of 1-1.9ppm, which is very minimum in all the bio-oil samples. Notably, 615 the non-catalytic co-pyrolysis process showed higher CHy output as 3.66%. in comparison 616 with conventional petroleum products, CHy ring compounds would enable better combustion 617 during chemical reactions with oxygen. The bio-oil extracted through individual pyrolysis 618 from PP biomass exhibited a higher hydrogen percentage of 136.84% and a similar same 619 trend has been noticed in TGA analysis as well. All the NMR spectrum of bio-oils showed 620 621 only a marginal quantity of  $\beta$ - CH3 (2.02-3.0ppm) which is considered as an impurity (See supplementary material). 622

623 In the absence of the ammonium formate signal, significant increases in the normalized intensity of signals for -aliphatic protons closed to heteroatoms or unsaturation are seen. The 624 signals of the ether and methoxyl groups are likewise greatly influenced. These findings point 625 to hydrogen incorporation into certain functional groups found in bio-oil molecules (Struhs et 626 627 al., 2021). The pattern related to water in the pyrolysis oil sample is around 3 to 4 ppm. Interestingly, ring-join methylene (hydroxyl group) seems to be the peak in the range of 3.2-628 629 3.77ppm during the non-catalytic co-pyrolysis technique. Further, the hydrogen concentration was extremely high (174.47%) this formulation was reversed due to the addition of a CaO 630 631 catalyst. However, due to the addition of CaO catalyst, this hydroxyl group seems to be extremely low and this is due to the presence of moisture content in the PP biomass sample. 632 In this situation, the minimum level is preferred because, unlike the high concentration 633 sample, the sharp peak at 3.3-3.7ppm is not overlapped on the water signal (2.9–3.7ppm) 634 (Smets et al., 2011). The systematic removal of hydroxyl compounds from renewable bio-oil 635 would enhance the possibilities of using them as automotive fuels. The in-depth NMR results 636 also revealed that the biomass structure, size and inherent composition play a vital role in 637 hydroxyl group formation. From the NMR results, the addition of during co-pyrolysis 638 exhibits the minor value (0.29%) of impurities when compared to non-catalytic co-pyrolysis. 639 Among all the tested biomass samples, the individual PP biomass and CaO based co-640 pyrolysis showed the phenolic group in the range of 5.1-5.29ppm which is clear evidence of 641 642 OH radicals aromatic structure/ alkenes present in the bio-oils. These OH radicals would offer better anti-knocking behaviour during a chemical reaction. It is also concluded that CaO 643 addition during co-pyrolysis produced more volatile compounds for all the biomass 644 combinations. The key benefits of using NMR to analyse bio-oils are that (1) the entire bio-645 oil can be diluted in a suitable solvent and details about the entire functional groups can be 646 obtained, which is independent of the volatility of the components in the bio-oils; and (2) the 647

chemical shift intervals for functional groups have been well investigated, and quantitativeanalysis of fuel (Hao et al., 2016).

#### 650 **3.5.** Fuel Oil Properties

The fuel characteristics of the pyrolysis oil obtained under the maximum oil yield 651 circumstances were tested using the ASTM standard technique for petroleum products. 652 Density, API Gravity, Kinematic viscosity, fire point, flash point, HHV and other 653 characteristics were measured, as given in Table 6. Bio-oil contains a variety of unique 654 properties that must be taken into account in each application, including manufacturing, 655 storage, transportation, upgrading, and utilization (Demiral and Ayan, 2011). From Fig.6(b) it 656 is identified that the bio-oil is a dark brown liquid with a Smokey odour. Bio-oil has a density 657 of 850-920 kg/m<sup>3</sup> at 26°C, which is comparable to other bio-oils and denser than biodiesel 658 and petroleum diesel. Bio-oil has a higher density owing to its increased residue 659 concentration. Bio-oil developed from co-pyrolysis of PP, GS and PCW biomass mixture has 660 maximum HHV of 29.1MJ/kg and GS biomass has minimum HHV of 24.3MJ/kg compared 661 with other biomasses. Organic acids, primarily acetic and formic acid, are abundant in bio-662 oils, resulting in a pH of 4-5 (Varma and Mondal, 2017). As a result, typical construction 663 materials like carbon steel and aluminium are corroded by oils. Corrosiveness is increasingly 664 severe at high temperatures and as the water content rises. Stainless steel is not corroded by 665 the oils (Demiral and Ayan, 2011). The relative density was determined to be under the range 666 of biodiesel fuel reported results. The denser the fuel, the heavier it is and the more difficult it 667 is to burn. The API gravity of medium oil is between 22.3 and 31.1° (i.e., 870 to 920 kg/m<sup>3</sup>). 668 The viscosity of liquid fuel is essential to the design and performance of a fuel injection 669 system. The viscosity of a fluid is a measure of its resistance to gravity flow. It will have a 670 significant impact on the fuel injection system's design and operation, as well as the 671 atomization quality and consequent ignition attributes of the fuel. At 50°C, the bio-oil has a 672 kinetic viscosity of 6-10 cSt, which is higher than standard diesel fuel (1.9-4 cSt). Due to its 673 considerable density and viscosity, bio-oil may have difficulty pumping and atomizing in 674 engines, as well as influencing the performance of bio-oil combustion (Varma and Mondal, 675 2017). As a result, similar to diesel and heavy fuel oil, further upgrading and refining are 676 required to achieve the requisite quality. The flashpoint of liquid fuel is a measurement of its 677 volatility and ignition edge. The higher the flashpoint, the safer it is to handle and the lesser 678 the possibility of an accident caused by vapour ignition. The flashpoint is the liquid 679 680 temperature at which vapours above a pool of fuel will ignite when a flame is passed across

particles. Bio-oil has a flash and fire point of 85-90°C and 90-100°C, accordingly, which is 681 equivalent to other bio-oils (Varma et al., 2019). 682

683

# 4. Challenges and Future perspectives

Conversion methodologies of waste to energy process by the pyrolysis process are 684 still relatively novel concept. Therefore, further research works are required to implement a 685 large-scale production and commercialization actions of energy conversion from waste 686 sources through pyrolysis process. Additionally, the novel concept of waste pyrolysis, the 687 cost margin for the collection and processing of waste sources will remain high. Moreover, 688 the reactor construction, maintenance operations and accessing the technical sources are still 689 excessive for biofuel development in most of the countries. Biofuel development from the 690 microwave preheated pyrolysis process has received huge attention for the large-scale 691 conversion due to the exclusive feature of microwave treatment for achieving rapid heating 692 and energy efficient. To achieve the productive commercialization, various novel 693 developments should be experimented to overcome high energy requirement, selection of 694 suitable material for designing the microwave reactor and design of an effective equipment 695 for the condensation process. Furthermore, the continuous microwave assisted pyrolytic 696 reactor will be the major research region, due to the possibility of favourable waste 697 valorisation system with continuous biomass supply. Moreover, the operating conditions and 698 pyrolysis reactor design for the continuous mode still need more research compared to batch 699 mode due to the inadequate knowledge on the biomass supply and instantaneous removal 700 techniques of pyrolysis products. When compared to batch mode, the innovation of 701 microwave assisted pyrolysis of waste biomass by continuous mode will provide better 702 production rate with minimized energy consumption. Therefore, a pyrolytic reactor for 703 continuous mode operation must be developed and experimented with microwave preheating 704 of waste biomasses to overcome the limitations of batch mode operation. 705

706

#### 5. Conclusion 707

In this present study, various biomasses (PP, GS and PCW) were subjected to pyrolysis, co-708 pyrolysis and catalytic co-pyrolysis processes under the same operating conditions. Among 709 all biomasses used, PP biomass produced a higher bio-oil yield rate of 36.6% during the 710 individual pyrolysis process at 550°C under 0.25mm particle size. The CaO catalyst aided of 711 three biomass mixtures under microwave pretreatment produced a superior pyrolysis yield of 712

- 51.6% due to the cohesive formation of biomass particles. The resultant volatile pyrolysis oil
- has higher concentrations of hydroxyl group and ethers which are favourable for automotive
- 715 applications.
- 716 Appendix
- 717 E-supplementary data for this work can be found in e-version of this paper online.
- 718
- 719 Credit Author Statement
- 720 P Jennita Jacqueline; Investigation, original draft preparation
- 721 V ShenbagaMuthuraman; Experimental work
- 722 C Karthick; Data validation
- 723 Abed Alaswad; Reviewing and Editing
- 724 K NanthaGopal; Methodology, Reviewing and editing work
- 725 Velvizhi G; Methodology, Reviewing and editing work
- 726

## 727 Conflict of Interest

- 728 There is no conflict of interest in this project.
- 729

## 730 Acknowledgement

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support offered by the Department of Science and Technology-Science and Engineering Research Board, New Delhi, India under the DST-SERB(EEQ) scheme [Project No: EEQ/2018/000725] and Department of Biotechnology, Government of India [Project No. BT/PR31259/PBD/26/756/2019) for providing financial support to carry put this project.

## Reference

- Arenas, C.N., Navarro, M.V., Martínez, J.D., 2019. Pyrolysis kinetics of biomass wastes using isoconversional methods and the distributed activation energy model. Bioresour. Technol. 288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121485
- [2]. Berrueco, C., Esperanza, E., Mastral, F.J., Ceamanos, J., García-Bacaicoa, P., 2005. Pyrolysis of waste tyres in an atmospheric static-bed batch reactor: Analysis of the gases obtained. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 74, 245–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2004.10.007
- [3]. Bhattacharjee, N., Biswas, A.B., 2019. Pyrolysis of orange bagasse: Comparative study and parametric influence on the product yield and their characterization. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 7, 102903. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2019.102903
- [4]. Ceylan, S., Goldfarb, J.L., 2015. Green tide to green fuels: TG-FTIR analysis and kinetic study of Ulva prolifera pyrolysis. Energy Convers. Manag. 101, 263–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2015.05.029
- [5]. Chakraborty, S., Dunford, N.T., Goad, C., 2021. A kinetic study of microalgae, municipal sludge and cedar wood co-pyrolysis. Renew. Energy 165, 514–524. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.11.012
- [6]. Demiral, I., Ayan, E.A., 2011. Pyrolysis of grape bagasse: Effect of pyrolysis conditions on the product yields and characterization of the liquid product. Bioresour. Technol. 102, 3946– 3951. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.11.077
- [7]. Demirbaş, A., Arin, G., 2002. An overview of biomass pyrolysis. Energy Sources 24, 471–482. https://doi.org/10.1080/00908310252889979
- [8]. Fekhar, B., Zsinka, V., Miskolczi, N., 2020. Thermo-catalytic co-pyrolysis of waste plastic and paper in batch and tubular reactors for in-situ product improvement. J. Environ. Manage. 269, 110741. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110741
- [9]. Ferreiro, A.I., Giudicianni, P., Grottola, C.M., Rabaçal, M., Costa, M., Ragucci, R., 2017. Unresolved Issues on the Kinetic Modeling of Pyrolysis of Woody and Nonwoody Biomass Fuels. Energy and Fuels 31, 4035–4044. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.6b03445
- [10]. Hao, J., Qi, B., Li, D., Zeng, F., 2021. Catalytic co-pyrolysis of rice straw and ulva prolifera macroalgae: Effects of process parameter on bio-oil up-gradation. Renew. Energy 164, 460–471. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.09.056
- [11]. Hao, N., Ben, H., Yoo, C.G., Adhikari, S., Ragauskas, A.J., 2016. Review of NMR Characterization of Pyrolysis Oils. Energy and Fuels 30, 6863–6880. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.6b01002

- [12]. Hu, Y., Wang, H., Lakshmikandan, M., Wang, S., Wang, Q., He, Z., Abomohra, A.E.F., 2021. Catalytic co-pyrolysis of seaweeds and cellulose using mixed ZSM-5 and MCM-41 for enhanced crude bio-oil production. J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 143, 827–842. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-020-09291-w
- [13]. Kainthola, J., Shariq, M., Kalamdhad, A.S., Goud, V. V., 2019. Enhanced methane potential of rice straw with microwave assisted pretreatment and its kinetic analysis. J. Environ. Manage. 232, 188–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.11.052
- [14]. Lazzari, E., Schena, T., Marcelo, M.C.A., Primaz, C.T., Silva, A.N., Ferrão, M.F., Bjerk, T., Caramão, E.B., 2018. Classification of biomass through their pyrolytic bio-oil composition using FTIR and PCA analysis. Ind. Crops Prod. 111, 856–864. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.11.005
- [15]. Liang, J., Yu, Z., Chen, L., Fang, S., Ma, X., 2019. Microwave pretreatment power and duration time effects on the catalytic pyrolysis behaviors and kinetics of water hyacinth. Bioresour. Technol. 286, 121369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121369
- [16]. Lin, X., Zhang, Zhifeng, Zhang, Zhijun, Sun, J., Wang, Q., Pittman, C.U., 2018. Catalytic fast pyrolysis of a wood-plastic composite with metal oxides as catalysts. Waste Manag. 79, 38–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.07.021
- [17]. Liu, Y., He, Z., Shankle, M., Tewolde, H., 2016. Compositional features of cotton plant biomass fractions characterized by attenuated total reflection Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. Ind. Crops Prod. 79, 283–286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.11.022
- [18]. Lu, Q., Zhang, Z.F., Dong, C.Q., Zhu, X.F., 2010. Catalytic upgrading of biomass fast pyrolysis vapors with nano metal oxides: An analytical Py-GC/MS study. Energies 3, 1805– 1820. https://doi.org/10.3390/en3111805
- [19]. Matrapazi, V.K., Zabaniotou, A., 2020. Experimental and feasibility study of spent coffee grounds upscaling via pyrolysis towards proposing an eco-social innovation circular economy solution. Sci. Total Environ. 718. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137316
- [20]. McIntosh, S., Nabi, M.N., Moghaddam, L., Brooks, P., Ghandehari, P.S., Erler, D., 2021. Combined pyrolysis and sulphided NiMo/Al2O3 catalysed hydroprocessing in a multistage strategy for the production of biofuels from milk processing waste. Fuel 295, 120602. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2021.120602
- [21]. Mishra, R.K., Mohanty, K., 2020. Kinetic analysis and pyrolysis behaviour of waste biomass towards its bioenergy potential. Bioresour. Technol. 311, 123480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123480

- [22]. Mishra, R.K., Sahoo, A., Mohanty, K., 2019. Pyrolysis kinetics and synergistic effect in Samanea saman seeds polyethylene terephthalate using co-pyrolysis of and 289, thermogravimetric analyser. Bioresour. Technol. 121608. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121608
- [23]. Mong, G.R., Chong, C.T., Ng, J., Woei, W., Chong, F., Shiung, S., Chyuan, H., Nasir, F., 2020. Microwave pyrolysis for valorisation of horse manure biowaste. Energy Convers. Manag. 220, 113074. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2020.113074
- [24]. Neves, D., Thunman, H., Matos, A., Tarelho, L., Gómez-Barea, A., 2011. Characterization and prediction of biomass pyrolysis products. Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 37, 611–630. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2011.01.001
- [25]. Park, H.J., Heo, H.S., Yoo, K.S., Yim, J.H., Sohn, J.M., Jeong, K.E., Jeon, J.K., Park, Y.K., 2011. Thermal degradation of plywood with block polypropylene in TG and batch reactor system. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 17, 549–553. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2010.11.002
- [26]. Pimenidou, P., Dupont, V., 2012. Characterisation of palm empty fruit bunch (PEFB) and pinewood bio-oils and kinetics of their thermal degradation. Bioresour. Technol. 109, 198– 205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.01.020
- [27]. Ren, X., Feng, X., Cao, J., Tang, W., Wang, Z., Zhao, J., Zhang, L., Wang, Y., Zhao, X., 2020. Working Title : Catalytic conversion of coal and biomass volatiles : A review Catalytic Conversion of Coal and Biomass Volatiles : A Review. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c01432
- [28]. Rout, T., Pradhan, D., Singh, R.K., Kumari, N., 2016. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering Exhaustive study of products obtained from coconut shell pyrolysis. Biochem. Pharmacol. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2016.02.024
- [29]. Sakulkit, P., Palamanit, A., Dejchanchaiwong, R., Reubroycharoen, P., 2020. Characteristics of pyrolysis products from pyrolysis and co-pyrolysis of rubber wood and oil palm trunk biomass for biofuel and value-added applications. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2020.104561
- [30]. Karthick C., Nanthagopal K., 2021. A comprehensive review on ecological approaches of waste to wealth strategies for production of sustainable biobutanol and its suitability in automotive applications. Energy Conversion and Management. 239, 114219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2021.114219
- [31]. Singh, R.K., Patil, T., Sawarkar, A.N., 2020. Pyrolysis of garlic husk biomass: Physicochemical characterization, thermodynamic and kinetic analyses. Bioresour. Technol. Reports

12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2020.100558

- [32]. Smets, K., Adriaensens, P., Vandewijngaarden, J., Stals, M., Cornelissen, T., Schreurs, S., Carleer, R., Yperman, J., 2011. Water content of pyrolysis oil: Comparison between Karl Fischer titration, GC/MS-corrected azeotropic distillation and 1H NMR spectroscopy. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 90, 100–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2010.10.010
- [33]. Soni, B., Karmee, S.K., 2020. Towards a continuous pilot scale pyrolysis based biorefinery for production of biooil and biochar from sawdust. Fuel 271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.117570
- [34]. Struhs, E., Hansen, S., Mirkouei, A., Ramirez-Corredores, M.M., Sharma, K., Spiers, R., Kalivas, J.H., 2021. Ultrasonic-assisted catalytic transfer hydrogenation for upgrading pyrolysis-oil. Ultrason. Sonochem. 73, 105502. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2021.105502
- [35]. Suriapparao, D. V., Vinu, R., Shukla, A., Haldar, S., 2020. Effective deoxygenation for the production of liquid biofuels via microwave assisted co-pyrolysis of agro residues and waste plastics combined with catalytic upgradation. Bioresour. Technol. 302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.122775
- [36]. Varma, A.K., Mondal, P., 2017. Pyrolysis of sugarcane bagasse in semi batch reactor: Effects of process parameters on product yields and characterization of products. Ind. Crops Prod. 95, 704–717. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.11.039
- [37]. Varma, A.K., Thakur, L.S., Shankar, R., Mondal, P., 2019. Pyrolysis of wood sawdust: Effects of process parameters on products yield and characterization of products. Waste Manag. 89, 224–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.04.016
- [38]. Veses, A., Aznar, M., Martínez, I., Martínez, J.D., López, J.M., Navarro, M. V., Callén, M.S., Murillo, R., García, T., 2014. Catalytic pyrolysis of wood biomass in an auger reactor using calcium-based catalysts. Bioresour. Technol. 162, 250–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.03.146
- [39]. Volpe, R., Zabaniotou, A., Skoulou, V.K., 2018. Synergistic effects of lignin and cellulose during pyrolysis of agricultural waste. Synergistic effects of lignin and cellulose during pyrolysis of. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b00767
- [40]. Vuppaladadiyam AK., Antunes E., Vuppaladadiyam VS., Shehzad F., Somasundaram M., Memon MZ., Song Q., Dong W., Duan H., 2021. Discernment of synergy during the co-pyrolysis of lipid-extracted microalgae and digested municipal solid waste: a thermogravimetric-mass spectrometric study. Journal of Chemical Technology &

Biotechnology. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.6702

- [41]. Wang, D., Xiao, R., Zhang, H., He, G., 2010. Comparison of catalytic pyrolysis of biomass with MCM-41 and CaO catalysts by using TGA-FTIR analysis. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 89, 171–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2010.07.008
- [42]. Wu, Q., Wang, Y., Jiang, L., Yang, Q., Ke, L., Peng, Y., Yang, S., Dai, L., Liu, Y., Ruan, R., 2020. Microwave-assisted catalytic upgrading of co-pyrolysis vapor using HZSM-5 and MCM-41 for bio-oil production: Co-feeding of soapstock and straw in a downdraft reactor. Bioresour. Technol. 299. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122611
- [43]. Xiao, R., Yang, W., Cong, X., Dong, K., Xu, J., Wang, D., Yang, X., 2020. Thermogravimetric analysis and reaction kinetics of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis. Energy 201, 117537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117537
- [44]. Yang, H., Yan, R., Chen, H., Lee, D.H., Zheng, C., 2007. Characteristics of hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin pyrolysis. Fuel 86, 1781–1788. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2006.12.013
- [45]. Zhang, L., Yang, Z., Li, S., Wang, X., Lin, R., 2020. Comparative study on the two-step pyrolysis of different lignocellulosic biomass: Effects of components. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2020.104966
- [46]. Zhang, X., Yu, Z., Lu, X., Ma, X., 2021. Catalytic co-pyrolysis of microwave pretreated chili straw and polypropylene to produce hydrocarbons-rich bio-oil. Bioresour. Technol. 319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.124191
- [47]. Zhao, J., Cao, J., Wei, F., Feng, X., Yao, N., Zhao, Y., Al, E., 2020. Catalytic reforming of lignite pyrolysis volatiles over sulfated HZSM-5: Significance of the introduced extraframework Al species. Fuel 273, 117789. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.117789
- [48]. Zhen Z., Wang H., Yue Y., Li D., Song X., Li J., 2020. Determination of water content of crude oil by azeotropic distillation Karl Fischer coulometric titration. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry. 412, 4639-45. https://doi.org/10.1007%2Fs00216-020-02714-5

## **Credit Author Statement**

- P Jennita Jacqueline; Investigation, original draft preparation
- V ShenbagaMuthuraman; Experimental work
- C Karthick; Data validation
- Abed Alaswad; Reviewing and Editing

K Nanthagopal; Methodology, Reviewing and editing work

Velvizhi G; Methodology, Reviewing and editing work

# **Declaration of interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# Highlights

- Catalytic and non-catalytic co-pyrolysis processes are used for bio-oil production
- Microwave preheated co-pyrolysis yielded higher bio-oil at 0.25 mm particle size
- CaO aided co-pyrolysis of PP, GS and PCW showed bio-oil yield of 51.6%
- FE-SEM results confirmed the changes in morphological structure of biomasses with CaO
- NMR results of pyrolysis oil exposed the presence the hydroxyl radicals and ethers

# **List of Figures**



Fig.1: Waste Management Strategy for biofuel production



Fig.2: Schematic representation of the pyrolysis process



Fig.3: Analysis Curves of different biomasses (a) TGA (b) DTA



Fig.4: Different stages of pyrolysis product yield rate (a) individual (b) Non-catalytic copyrolysis (c) Catalytic co-pyrolysis (d) Optimized yield rate



Fig.5 (a) Influence of heating rates on individual three pyrolysis bio-oil yield, (b)Influence of heating rates on Bio-oil yield of non-catalytic and catalytic co-pyrolysis, (c) Influence of particle size on individual three pyrolysis bio-oil yield, (d) Influence of particle size on Bio-oil yield of non-catalytic co-pyrolysis



Fig.6 (a) Influence of different rates of catalyst on bio-oil yield of catalytic co-pyrolysis, (b) Outcome of pyrolysis oil in different experiments.

# List of Tables

sis	Characterization	Instruments	Specification
5	Biomasses and biochar	Perkin Elmer-2400	Ultimate/ Elemental analys
DTA	Biomasses	TA Instruments, USA. Model: SDT Q600	Thermal stability and Temperature
-	Experiment biomass samples and biochar	MODEL: Thermo Nicolet iS50 with inbuilt ATR made by Thermo Fisher Scientific	Functional group and chemical clas
d EDS	Biomasses	Thermo Fisher FEI Quanta 250 FEG	Morphological Structure and composit
iscosity	Pyrolysis oil	Redwood viscometer	Oil thickness and viscosit
ng value	Pyrolysis oil	Digital Bomb calorimeter	Oil energy density
re point	Pyrolysis oil	Pensky marten	Ignition properties
R	Pyrolysis oil	400 MHz (Bruker)	Spectrum and Hydrogen type

\_\_\_\_

Table 1: Instrument used in characterization analysis of biomasses and product yield.

Table 2: Operational Conditions for different Pre-heating Experiments

xperimental	Biomass	Proportional	Proportional	Proportional	Micro oven	Micro	Pyrolysi
Code	Sample	PP (%)	PCW (%)	<b>GS (%)</b>	Condition(W/min)	Oven	Oil Yiel
						time(min)	(%)
PE1					887.16	2	Average

100 Higher PE1.1 PP 0 0 818.91 3 Higher PE1.2 545.94 3 PE2 2 Lesser 887.16 PE2.1 818.91 3 0 0 GS 100 Lesser 3 **PE2.2** 545.94 Average PE3 2 887.16 Lesser PE3.1 0 0 818.91 3 PCW 100 Average 3 PE3.2 545.94 Average PE4 3 Average PP+PCW 50 50 0 818.91 3 PE4.1 545.94 Higher PE5 3 Higher 818.91 PP+GS 50 0 50 545.94 PE5.1 3 Higher 0 PE6 PCW+GS 50 50 818.91 3 Average PE6.1 545.94 3 Average PE7 PP+GS+PCW 50 50 50 Higher 545.94 3

Table 3: Various Proportional Catalyst CaO in different Pre-heating experimental conditions

Experimental	<b>Biomasses Proportional</b>	Catalyst (CaO)	Micro oven	Micro Oven	Pyrolysis Oil
Code	(PP+GS+PCW) (%)	<b>Proportional (%)</b>	Condition (W/min)	time (min)	Yield (%)
CPE1			545.94	3	Higher
CPE1.1	80	20	818.91	2	Average
CPE2			545.94	3	Higher
CPE2.1	70	30	818.91	2	Lesser
CPE3			545.94	3	Higher
CPE3.1	60	40	818.91	2	Average
CPE4			545.94	3	Average
CPE4.1	50	50	818.91	2	Average
CPE5			545.94	3	Average
CPE5.1	40	60	818.91	2	Lesser
	A		L	I	1

Table 4: Ultimate analysis of biomass raw and biochar

	C (wt.%)	H (wt.%)	O (wt.%) <sup>a</sup>	N (wt.%)	S (wt.%)	H/C ratio	O/C ratio	Empirical
								Formula
(PP)	50.02	6.60	43.28	0.08	0.02	1.57	0.65	CH <sub>1.57</sub> O <sub>0.65</sub> N <sub>0.00</sub>

Journal Pre-proofs								
GS)	45.08	5.39	49.03	0.501	-0.01	1.42	0.81	CH <sub>1.42</sub> O <sub>0.81</sub> N <sub>0.00</sub>
PCW)	44.06	4.92	50.15	0.08	-0.01	1.33	0.85	CH1.33O0.85N0.01
	80.58	3.51	15.4	0.50	0.01	0.51	0.14	CH <sub>0.51</sub> O <sub>0.14</sub> N <sub>0.00</sub>
	78.08	4.52	17.04	0.35	0.01	0.69	0.16	CH <sub>0.69</sub> O <sub>0.16</sub> N <sub>0.00</sub>
	76.72	5.52	17.73	0.18	0.01	0.85	0.17	CH <sub>0.85</sub> O <sub>0.17</sub> N <sub>0.00</sub>

<sup>a</sup>By difference, <sup>b</sup>Calculated by Dulong equation  $(33.5 \times C + 142.3 \times H - 14.5)$ 

× N- 15.4 ×O (MJ/Kg)).

Assignments	Chemical Shift- Peak							
Assignments	РР	GS	PCW	PP+GS+PCW				
C=C	5.92	-	5.23	6.5				
-CH <sub>n</sub> -O-	5.7	4.14	4.5	5.24				
Ar- CH <sub>2</sub> -O-	4.47		4.05	4.25				
Ether, methoxyl	3.79	3.71	3.61	3.77				
Alcohols, methylene-di benzene	3.568	3.26	3.65	3.27				
CH <sub>3</sub> C=O, CH <sub>3</sub> -Ar	3.36	-	3.27	2.086				
CH <sub>2</sub> C=O, aliphatics	2.08	2.04	2.04	2.041				
Aliphatics $\alpha$ to heteroatom or	1.91	1.913	1.92	1.92				
unsaturation								
-CH <sub>2</sub> , aliphatic OH	1.12	-	-	-				
-CH <sub>3</sub> , -CH <sub>2</sub>	1.09	1.13	1.05	-				
β-CH <sub>3</sub> , CHγ	1.01	1.02	1.00	1.02				

Table 5: <sup>1</sup>H NMR characterization of bio-oils.

Table 6: Physical properties of pyrolysis bio-oil:

Properties	PP	GS	PCW	PP+GS+PCW	PP+GS+PCW+
					CaO
рН	4.84	4.68	4.53	4.46	4.67
Kinematic Viscosity at	7.8	6.1	7.1	8.56	9.56
50°C (Centistokes)					
Density at 26°C (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	906	912	890	840	860

Higher Heating Value	27.5	24.3	25.6	29.1	27.4
(MJ/kg)					
API Gravity	24.68	23.65	27.48	36.95	33.03
Flash Point (°C)	89	87	87	88	89
Fire Point (°C)	95	97	89	91	96

