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Dear Editor

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/EJP.1829

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved
We thank Zhang et al. (2021) for their interest in our work (Corp et al 2021). As the authors point out these are new versions of the AGREE reporting tools and inevitably there will be some delay in their implementation.

Overall, we concur that in the future teams conducting reviews of guidelines should take the points raised in this letter into consideration.

Nadia Corp, on behalf of all authors.
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