Abstract

Purpose: To determine whether the adaption of neophytes to rigid gas permeable lenses (RGPs) could be accelerated by changing their diameter or surface lubricity.

Methods: This was a 2 part prospective double-masked randomised bilateral wear study. An RGP lens (Roflufocon D material, 9.6mm diameter) with and without a Hydragep plasma coating surface was worn by 119 neophytes (21.1±3.5 years; 77% female) on separate occasions. A further 114 neophytes (average age 20.1±1.0 years; 72% female) wore the uncoated lens with a randomly allocated 9.6mm diameter lens one one eye and 10.1mm (n=51) or 10.6mm (n=63) in the other with a basecurve compensation keeping the fit the same. Lens fit and corneal staining was assessed after 20 minutes, and comfort and bulbar redness were assessed at the time of lens application and after
Participants also reported their ease of application and removal on a 5 point scale.

**Results:** Plasma coating RGP lenses or increasing their diameter did not improve comfort ($p=0.673$, $p>0.05$) or bulbar redness ($p=0.805$, $p>0.05$) during a 20 minute adaptation period in neophytes. In both cohorts, comfort improved and bulbar redness reduced with time ($p<0.001$). Corneal staining, ease of insertion application and ease of removal did not differ with RGP coating application or RGP diameter ($p>0.05$).

**Conclusion:** Changing RGP diameter or surface lubricity is not beneficial to the adaption of neophytes.
Introduction:
Rigid Gas Permeable (GP) contact lenses occupy 13% of global contact lens fits, with 10% fits for conventional GP lenses and 3% with Orthokeratology (OK) lenses.[1] Based on the area and location, conventional GP lens fits account from 5% (United Kingdom) to 27% (France and Netherlands) of all lens fits.[1] Although the wearing schedule and the type of contact lenses available in the market have changed substantially over the last couple of decades, the global share of GP lens fits over all types of lenses remained steady between 10% to 20%.

The benefits of GP lenses are well known; superior ocular hygiene and health, quality of vision, surface wettability, high oxygen transmission, reduction of myopia progression (through orthokeratology), reduced incidence and severity of corneal infiltrative events to name a few.[2, 3] The primary concern for the GP lens wear is that ‘Rigid’ lenses are uncomfortable and often painful, particularly for the initial adaptation period. [4, 5]. This adaptation period varies between studies, with successful wearers achieving good comfort and subjective vision within 10-15 days in one study [6], but 23±22.1 days in another [7].

Thus the question arises as to how the initial problem with comfort and epiphora can be minimised to reduce fear within patients and enhance GP lens use? One approach that has been explored has been the use of topical anaesthetic at the time of initial fitting and possibly at the time of lens dispensing. Bennett et al.[8] investigated this effect on 80 participants and concluded that use of topical anaesthesia enhanced perception for initial adaptation process, greater satisfaction after one-month lens wear and significantly reduced drop out compared to participants who did not receive topical anaesthesia[8]. However, a survey of UK eye care practitioners (n=451) found less than 1.5% used anaesthetic regularly and less than one-third (30.3%) considered it clinically acceptable to do so [9]. Alteration of certain lens designs has also been investigated while tackling this issue. The use of well-blended lens, smoothening of the edge and optimum cornea-lens fitting...
relationships are recommended to enhance initial comfort[10]. There is some evidence that larger
GPs are more comfortable for adapted wearers [11], but no research has examined the adaptation
stage.

Plasma treatment such as oxygen plasma for GP lens surfaces has been around for many years, and
often used for cleaning and removing any remaining residues from the lens manufacturing process.
The idea behind this treatment is to enhance the wetting angle, resulting in a more hydrophilic lens
surface.[12] Applying a plasma coating to soft contact lenses has been shown to enhance lens
surface lubricity, which appears to be the principal contributor to comfort.[13] However, its effect on
GP lens comfort has not been investigated.

The purpose of this current study was to determine whether a plasma coating or fitting a lens with a
larger diameter can make GP lenses more comfortable to patients during initial adaptation to first
time wear and have less impact to ocular surface physiology.
Methods:

This was a prospective double-masked randomised study which was conducted according to Good Clinical Practice Guidelines. Individuals within the University student and faculty community with healthy eyes, no previous ocular surgery and no history of rigid contact lens wear were recruited to participate in this study. This study received approval from the Aston University human research ethics committee and adhered to the tenets of the declaration of Helsinki (2013). Two different cohorts of participants were recruited for investigation of the effect of larger diameter and plasma coating of GP lenses (Figure 1).

A total of 119 participants were recruited for investigating the impact of plasma coating on the initial comfort and adaptation. Detailed eye examinations related to contact lens wear was conducted during two study visits, scheduled at the one-week interval and at the same time as the day±2 hours. GP lenses (Roflufocon D material, 9.6mm diameter ; No7 Contact Lenses, Hastings, UK) made of the same design with and without a Hydrapeg plasma coating (Contamac, Safron Waldon, UK) surface.
were used for this study. Polyethylene glycol (PEG) has been used in ocular lubricants for decades and is known to improve lens surface wettability and lubricity, reducing friction between the lens and the eyelids. [13, 14] Tangible Hydra-PEG is a 90% water PEG-based polymer mixture that is covalently bonded to the surface of the contact lens, creating a wetting surface overlying the lens material. Lens base curve was determined by the average keratometry readings for each individual participant.

A total of 114 participants were recruited for the assessment of large diameter GP lens. Fifty one participants were randomly allocated to wear an uncoated (Roflufocon D material) 9.6mm diameter GP lenses in one eye and a 10.1mm lens in the other, and another 63 participants were allocated to also wear a 9.60 mm diameter lens in one eye, but a 10.6 mm GP lenses in the other. Lens base curve was determined by the average keratometry readings for each individual participant, compensated according to the prescribed diameter so that changes in the lens diameter did not affect the cornea-lens interaction and ocular physiology.

In both studies, comprehensive assessment of the GP contact lens fitting was conducted at each visit including lens fit 1-3 minutes after fluorescein instillation, [15, 16] assessment of bulbal redness to the nearest 0.1 unit step using Johnson and Johnsons (J&J) clinical grading scale,[17, 18] and subjective assessment of contact lens wear comfort using the visual analogue scale (VAS) at the time of lens application and after 5, 10, 15, and 20 minutes. Corneal fluorescein staining was graded at the time of lens application and after 20 minutes of wear. Participants also reported their ease of application and removal on a 5 point scale.

**Statistical analysis:**

All data were analysed using SPSS (version 26; IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). As the data differed from a normal distribution as determined using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, non-parametric statistics were applied. Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test was used to determine significant difference for non-
parametric data. To account for multiple comparisons, Bonferroni correction was applied. A P value of ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.
**Results:**

The mean age for the 119 participants for the plasma coated GP lens trial was 21.1±3.5 years, among them 77% were female. The mean age for the 114 participants for large diameter GP lens trial was 20.1±1.0 years and 72% of them were female. There were no drop outs in this study, none of the participants developed any complication during contact lens wear.

**Effect of plasma coating on initial GP lens fitting:**

Lens fit was not affected by the lens coating (p=0.972). Plasma coated GP lenses had a negligible effect on enhancing initial discomfort when compared to uncoated GP lenses (p=0.673; Figure 2).

Ocular comfort was 91.4±13.2 and 93.9±10.2 prior to lens wear, which dropped to 28.8±26.2 and 25.5±22.3 immediately after, and slightly improved to 53.7±21.4 and 55.0±22.0 after 20 minutes of uncoated and coated GP lens wear respectively. Comfort with both coated and uncoated GP lenses increased significantly with time after application (p<0.001).

*Figure 2. Effect of plasma coated GP lens wear on initial comfort determined by VAS.*
Plasma coated GP lens wear had minimum influence in reducing bulbar conjunctival redness immediately after lens wear, and the difference with non-coated GP lens wear was not statistically significant (p=0.805; Figure 3). Bulbar redness was 1.3±0.5 and 1.2±0.5 prior to lens wear, which increased to 1.7±0.7 and 1.7±0.7 immediately after, followed by slight drop to 1.5±0.6 and 1.5±0.6 after 20 minutes of uncoated and coated GP lens wear respectively. The drop in bulbar redness following coated and uncoated lens wear after 20 minutes was statistically significant (p<0.001). In addition, corneal staining (p=0.819), ease of application (p=0.729) and ease of removal (p=0.806) did not differ with GP coated lens wear.
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*Figure 3. Effect of plasma coated lens wear on bulbar redness after lens application.*

**Effect of large diameter GP lens fitting:**

Lens fit was similar between the GP diameters assessed (p=0.417), due to the base-curve compensation to ensure this did not affect the comfort or ocular physiology.

Comfort changed with time (p<0.001), decreasing on GP application and improving every 5 minutes (p<0.005) except for between 15 and 20 minutes for the 9.6 and 10.1mm GP diameters (Figure 4).
However, it was still significantly lower than baseline comfort at 20 minutes after application with all GP diameters investigated ($p<0.001$). There was no difference in comfort at any time point between the different sizes of GP lens wear ($p>0.05$).

*Figure 4. Ocular comfort following large-diameter GP lens wear determined by visual analogue scale.*

Bulbar redness changed with time ($p<0.001$), decreasing on GP application, but did not improve between 5 minute time intervals and was still significantly lower than baseline 20 minutes after GP application ($p<0.001$; Figure 5). There was no difference at any time point between the different sizes of GP lens wear ($p>0.05$). In addition, corneal staining ($p=0.368$), ease of application ($p=0.419$) and ease of removal ($p=0.274$) did not differ with varying GP diameter.
Figure 5. Impact of large diameter GP lens wear on bulbar redness
The current study, for the first time, investigated the effect of lens surface enhancement and diameter on the initial comfort and adaptation to GP lens fitting by neophytes. The current study showed that having a plasma coating or increasing the diameter may not alleviate immediate signs and symptoms related to initial adaptation to GP lens wear, at least for the initial 20 minutes.

Various types of plasma treatments are being used for modification of biomaterial surfaces, particularly for modifying a hydrophobic surface to a more hydrophilic state. Hydrophilic surfaces are known for high biocompatibility, reduced inflammation and friction with surrounding tissues. As an example, surface modified hydrophilic intraocular lenses have been associated with increased uveal biocompatibility. PEG is widely used as a modification molecule which is grafted on a surface to repel proteins due to its high dipole moment that provides enhanced hydration. The application of plasma treatment to enhance the surface wettability of first generation silicone hydrogel contact lenses has been widespread. The Lotrafilcon A material uses a reactive plasma process to precipitate a thin layer hydrophilic polymer, whereas Balafilcon A is subject to plasma oxidation process masking its hydrophobic core with increased surface wettability. Similarly this study used Hydrapeg surface coating onto conventional GP lens material which is known to increase surface wettability.

The results of this study showed that surface treatment had little or no effect on the initial signs and symptoms of GP lens wear for neophytes. The reduction of comfort observed immediately after lens insertion with the plasma coated lenses was similar to the observation with uncoated lenses. Following this, the gradual increase observed with lens wear was similar in both types of lenses. Similar results were observed with ocular redness, where the bulbar redness increased following GP lens wear and slowly decreased over time for both plasma coated and uncoated GP lenses. The finding would suggest that it is not friction with the lens surface that drives initial discomfort with GP wear, rather the bulk of the lens and its interaction with the eyelids and ocular surface. Visual
analogue discomfort scores [7] and the Pain Sensitivity Questionnaire [24] have been found to help predict those who will successfully adapt to GP wear, whereas lid sensitivity did not.

Hence, the effect of lens diameter was investigated in a separate cohort, increasing from a more standard 9.6mm lens to a 10.1mm or 10.6 mm corneal GP lenses for assessment of initial adaptation and comfort. Although this did not affect the cornea-lens fitting characteristics, larger diameter lenses had a negligible impact on the initial adaptation, signs and symptoms for neophyte participants. Both ocular signs and symptoms for the 10.1mm and 10.6 mm GP lenses were comparable with standard 9.6mm GP lens wear. There was no significant difference in bulbar redness following large diameter GP lens wear compared to standard diameter (9.6mm) GP lenses.

In contrast, a previous study by Gispets et al. with nine patients showed that larger diameter GP lenses were associated with increased ocular comfort after 10 minutes, but there is limited information in this conference abstract.[25] Williams-Lyn et al. found that a 10.0mm lens was significantly more comfortable than 9.0mm and 9.5mm diameter lenses;[26] however, the study only involved 12 participants, all of whom were experienced GP lens wearers, whereas the current study recruited only neophytes for the assessment of initial adaptation. Other studies have used corneo-scleral or mini-scleral large diameter GP lenses.[27, 28] Most of these lenses ranged between 13 to 16 mm in diameter that resulted in reduced ocular redness and enhanced comfort. However, these lenses rest on conjunctiva bypassing any major interaction with cornea which significantly reduce lid-lens interaction; hence comparison with their results with the current study is of limited value.

The current study was conducted on participants with healthy eyes who may not be motivated for long-term GP lens wear, which is different to patients who have had penetrating keratoplasty or radial keratotomy, or patients with keratoconus or high irregular astigmatism.[29, 30] In addition, this study aimed to investigate initial adaption over the first 20 minutes of lens wear; perhaps longer observation may be necessary to understand the extended impact of plasma coating and lens
Lastly, the participants were young health adults who may be more symptomatic to GP lens wear compared to an older population or individuals with underlying corneal conditions.

In conclusion, the outcome of this study provides valuable information for contact lens practitioners that additional plasma coating or larger diameter corneal GP lenses may not be a viable option to mitigate the initial discomfort and adaptation problems related to first time GP lens wear. Clinical investigations with longer follow up durations are required for assessment of their long term effect on ocular signs and symptoms.
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