

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

“Ignorance was bliss, now I’m not ignorant and that is far more difficult” - Transdisciplinary Learning and Reflexivity in Responsible Management Education

10
11
12
13

Abstract

14
15

Purpose

16 The collapse of world economic systems brought the interconnectedness between
17 business and global events sharply into focus. As Ken Starkey (2008) points out, ‘leading
18 business schools need to overcome their fascination with a particular form of finance and
19 economics...to broaden their intellectual horizons...(and to) look at the lessons of history
20 and other disciplines’. This paper provides evidence from three years of research on the
21 Aston MBA suggesting that an emphasis on developing capabilities within a far broader,
22 connected and reflexive business curriculum is what business students and practitioners
23 now recognise as an essential way forward for Responsible Management Education.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31
32

Design/methodology/approach

33 This research paper examines the reflective accounts of 300 MBA students undertaking a
34 transdisciplinary Business Ethics, Responsibility & Sustainability core module.
35
36
37

38
39

Findings

40 As Klein (2005) argues, transdisciplinarity is simultaneously an attitude and a form of
41 action. The student reflections provide powerful discourses of individual learning and
42 report a range of outcomes from finding ‘the vocabulary or the confidence’ to raise issues
43 to acting as ‘change agents’ in the workplace.
44
45
46
47
48

49
50

Originality/value

51 As responsibility and sustainability requires learners, researchers and educators to engage
52 with real world complexity, uncertainty and risk, conventional disciplinary study, especially
53 within Business, often proves inadequate and partial. This paper demonstrates that
54 creative and exploratory frames need to be developed to facilitate the development of
55 more connected knowledge - informed by multiple stakeholders; able to contribute
56 heterogeneous skills, perspectives and expertise.
57
58
59
60

Key words

Transdisciplinarity Reflection, Learning, Ethics, Sustainability, Responsible Management Education

The Context of Business and Business Schools

In the aftermath of the recent banking crisis, the media were quick to blame Business Schools with headlines such as 'Did poor teaching lead to crash? - Business Academics are accused of ignoring social and political questions (Times Higher Education, 2008). This is not new. One fact that emerged after previous financial scandals was that most of the Senior Executives involved at Enron, Arthur Anderson and World Com had MBAs from top business schools and in 2003 a survey of MBA students asked for definitions of business ethics at top US schools, all described ethics in market terms. In 2008, the same survey found that students had a broader view of business responsibilities but maximising shareholder value was still far ahead, followed by customer satisfaction. The environment was a very low priority and the respondents saw the main benefit of meeting social responsibilities as reputation and image (Aspen Institute, 2008). Thus issues of ethics, social responsibility and sustainability activities were perceived more as impression management or 'green wash'. This may not be surprising since ethics and sustainability was still an optional extra in many of the schools surveyed. It is what Starkey (2008) referred to as a 'fascination with a particular form of finance and economics' that sees the context of many business programmes explaining all activities and phenomena in terms of the market which in turn reinforces beliefs in both self interest and the power of extrinsic incentives. The emergence of the UN Principles of Responsible Management Education (www.unprme.org) set up under the umbrella of the UN Global Compact, aims to 'inspire and champion responsible management education, research and thought leadership globally'. The PRME initiative provides the opportunity to place these issues at the heart of business education and will be explored further in this paper.

The notion of self-interest is at the core of the ideas which have dominance in economic discourse, "This view of man has been a persistent one in economic models and the nature of economic theory seems to have been much influenced by this basic premise" Sen (1987). Social responsibilities and sustainability are also normally considered as

1
2 'externalities' which creates a disconnect between the business and the social and
3 environmental issues. As Ian Davis (2005) contends in a rejoinder to Friedman's (1970)
4 assertions (that there is one and only one social responsibility of business – to use its
5 resources and engage in activities designed to increase its profits), even the 'business
6 case' for social responsibility and sustainability (which centres on considerations of
7 reputation and risk) does not support this approach. Davis (2005: 69) writes,
8
9
10
11
12

13
14
15 In all such cases billions of dollars of shareholder value have been put at stake as
16 the result of social issues that ultimately feed into the fundamental drivers of
17 corporate performance. In many instances a "business of business" outlook has
18 blinded companies to outcomes (or shifts in their implicit social contract) which often
19 could have been anticipated.
20
21
22

23
24 It is the dominance of markets and the market metaphor that also pervade assumptions
25 and language in many business programmes. As Eccles and Nohria (1992) contend, "The
26 way people talk about the world has everything to do with the way the world is ultimately
27 understood and acted in". These assumptions and language become 'self fulfilling
28 prophecies' in that they become taken for granted and normatively valued and therefore
29 create conditions which make them come true (Ferraro, 2005). The question of language
30 is critical to this debate, we have so many terms that appear to describe the same thing; or
31 do they? Although, Corporate Social Responsibility ('CSR' and its synonyms) or
32 Sustainability are gaining dominance as the terms used to describe this area, many writers
33 prefer to talk about the ideas underpinning them. For example, all definitions of
34 Sustainable development include ideas of continuance and long-term perspectives, such
35 as the one often quoted from the Brundtland Commission Report (1987), " Meeting the
36 needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their
37 own needs." The problems with this definition, like many others, is that critics often view
38 this term as an oxymoron because economic development, particularly when interpreted
39 as continued and continual growth, conflicts with the needs of living within the carrying
40 capacity of the planet's ecological systems (Blewitt, 2008). Another term used (mainly in
41 the USA and implies agency) is Corporate Citizenship. Whilst the terms differ and the
42 emphasis varies, the key point is that they are all concerned with social, economic and
43 environmental considerations.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

59 One useful and often- repeated definition of CSR is "business decision-making linked to
60 ethical values, compliance with legal requirements, and respect for people, communities,

1 and the environment” ‘Business for Social Responsibility’ (2006). This definition positions
2 CSR firmly in the context of ethical values but this is sometimes interpreted as being
3 confined to individuals. Whereas it is important that such values should also fully extend to
4 organisations in the worlds of business and management. As with all emerging fields,
5 there is a danger that the teaching of CSR and Sustainability does not draw sufficiently on
6 the context of the broader ‘business ethics’, environmental and social justice movements
7 that have always promoted the obligation for behaviour to be guided by ethical principles
8 and values that are sensitive to the need to protect our failing ecosystems. Interestingly,
9 the MBA survey referred to earlier (Aspen Institute, 2008) also reports that most students
10 reported that they felt likely to encounter conflict between personal values and what they
11 are asked to do in business, yet the majority of respondents did not feel that their MBA
12 programme prepared them for this. This may not be that surprising because although
13 values (defined as, a small number of core ideas or cognitions present in every group or
14 society about desirable end states, Rokeach, 1973) and value-led management (an
15 approach to align corporate values with management practice) has gained popularity in
16 organisational discourse and practice, the degree of fit between individual values and
17 organisational culture (and its role in shaping the perception of workplace values) is rarely
18 considered. Where values based management align corporate values that are
19 predominantly maximising shareholder value with perceived employee values, many
20 organisational processes include this in reward and recognition systems. For example,
21 promoting careerist behaviours of individual promotion and impression management could
22 mitigate against behaviours required by values promoting sustainability and social
23 responsibility such as transparency and collaboration.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 In addition, although social responsibility and sustainability are not new concepts, the
45 expectation for businesses to provide visible evidence that they are behaving ethically is
46 increasing. In surveys of graduate expectations of potential employers an alignment of
47 personal and organisational values has been rising in importance for many respondents. A
48 UK Higher Education Academy survey (2007) found that respondents ‘considered the
49 social and environmental ethics of an employer before making a career choice’. This trend
50 also mirrors some of the changes in consumer behaviour and growing public awareness of
51 social responsibility and sustainability issues. However, CSR and Sustainability are often
52 positioned in the marketing or public relations/communication departments of
53 organisations which may reflect the way it is perceived and business schools can reinforce
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 this approach by couching social responsibility and sustainability purely in the context of
3 the business case and 'competitiveness' or 'reputation'.
4
5

6 7 **The study** 8 9

10
11 In examining the challenges for education in this area, we need to not just respond to the
12 adverse publicity and critique the situation. As Mary Gentiles (2009) contends, it is not
13 sufficient to 'blame a few bad apples' and run a 'school for scandal' in the classroom but
14 change little. If we are to enable students as future business leaders and managers we
15 need to prepare them for the complex ethical dilemmas and difficult choices they will
16 encounter. This involves not just reviewing the content of such programmes but the
17 approach and philosophies that drive them and 'to broaden the intellectual horizons'
18 (Starkey, 2008). Whatever titles and definitions are used to describe the issues, some of
19 the key questions to be addressed include:
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

- 28
29 · What is the approach and philosophy behind the teaching and what is included?
- 30
31 · Is it taught from one discipline or one perspective or is it multi disciplinary,
32 interdisciplinary or transdisciplinary in nature?
- 33
34 · Are ethical principles included at the heart of business programmes or is it on the
35 periphery?
- 36
37 · To what extent are personal values explored and are students encouraged to reflect
38 on their learning and experiences?
39
40
41
42

43
44 This paper presents evidence from the reflections of 300 MBA students on their
45 experience of taking part in an ethics module that is core to their programme. It adopts a
46 transdisciplinary approach and is taught by staff of different disciplines drawn from within
47 and outside the Business School. It was clear from the outset of the module that it would
48 need a different approach and mode of learning - learning that involves emotion and not
49 just rational thinking and that promotes reflexivity. The module encourages students to
50 wrestle seriously with ethical quandaries, difficult disagreeable tradeoffs between
51 efficiency and justice and moral contradictions encountered in everyday life. It uses
52 experiential learning to make students aware of the ethical, social and environmental
53 dimensions of the business making process and enable students to understand the ethical
54 components of managerial decision-making. Students are supported in developing skills of
55 critical thinking, analysis and reflection and teaching methods are highly interactive.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 Students critically analyse contemporary case studies and ethical dilemmas and apply
3 their knowledge of theories, models, ethical frameworks and concepts to local and global
4 issues. They also discuss live case studies with business practitioners and on a
5 metacognitive level invariably entails engaging with the intellectually and practical
6 imperatives of transdisciplinarity and reflexive practice.
7
8
9
10

11 12 13 **On Transdisciplinarity** 14 15 16 17

18 The terms multi disciplinary, interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary are frequently used in
19 academic and professional discourses. Although sometimes their meanings are defined
20 quite tightly there is still, occasionally, a certain looseness in the concepts are applied in
21 practice. However, when studying, discussing or practicing business ethics social
22 responsibility and sustainability whether in the workplace or the academy, what is clearly
23 and immediately evident is that the concepts, perspectives and actions involved
24 necessarily transgress disciplinary and professional boundaries. It is not possible, or even
25 desirable, to contain ethical and sustainability interventions and practices without harming
26 the capacity to make connections, to perceive the world holistically and to recognise that
27 human behavior contaminates all manner of fields and socio-economic dimensions. As
28 Basarab Nicolescu (2002) has written in her *Manifesto of Transdisciplinarity*,
29 transdisciplinarity concerns that which is *between, across and beyond* disciplines. Its
30 principal goal is a fuller understanding of the contemporary world, one of the imperatives of
31 which must involve exploring a putative unity of knowledge and a new art of living and
32 working. Key to this is engaging with complexity both intellectually and practically as a
33 wide variety of systems thinkers operating within the field of education for sustainable
34 development have consistently stated (Sterling, 2004). Such an approach works towards
35 realising a coherence through the linking or rather articulating, as in the articulation of one
36 thing with another to produce a new unity, what may be marginal to one or indeed many
37 disciplines and professional perspectives. The French sociologist Edgar Morin has noted
38 that transdisciplinarity actualizes the notion that the whole is greater than the sum of its
39 individual parts and that knowledge and experience, whether it be within science or
40 business, always needs to be contextualised in order to be properly understood. For
41 Morin, links can be created through concepts which he termed “opérateurs de reliance” or
42 ‘linking operators’ (quoted in Ramadier, 2004: 427). These new combinations or unties
43 often require and motivate new thoughts, actions and processes of intellectual
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 apprehension. For the Chilean economist Manfred Max Neef whose work on human scale
3 development has been seminal to many debates around sustainable development,
4 transdisciplinarity offers considerable opportunities for reflection, reflexivity and research.
5 He writes (Max Neef, 2004: 14-150),
6
7
8
9

10
11 If I were asked to define our times, in few words, I would say that we have reached
12 point in our evolution as human beings, in which we know very much, but
13 understand very little. It goes without saying (evidences are clear) that linear logic
14 and reductionism have contributed to our reaching unsuspected levels of
15 knowledge. The knowing has grown exponentially, but only now we begin to
16 suspect that that may not be sufficient, not for quantitative reasons, but for
17 qualitative reasons. Knowledge is only one of the roads, only one side of the coin.
18 The other road, the other side of the coin, is that of understanding.
19
20
21
22

23 Transdisciplinary understanding also marries closely with Portwood's (2000) notion of the
24 learned worker being one who can exercise the capabilities of intellectual scepticism and
25 focused intelligence. Indeed, professional development, or work based learning, may have
26 its roots in transdisciplinarity. It is grounded a range of professional, academic and
27 experiential communities of practice and uses tools that interpellate knowledge in a multi
28 dimensional manner that is frequently situated in different organizational and professional
29 contexts. As Gibbs and Costley write (2006: 346), "the primary concern of WBL [work
30 based learning] is with application rather than being theory-led. The theory and reflection
31 upon self in situ thus follows the practice". Thus, reflection is a critical factor in this form of
32 work/professional learning as it is for transdisciplinarity and education for sustainability
33 more broadly, but it must go further. It must go beyond the personal, personalised and
34 often depoliticised reflections that may accompany the mantras of single and double loop
35 learning (Argyris & Schon, 1978) and the many disciplinary frameworks characterising
36 university study. A reflexive approach to the learning undertaken in the field of business
37 ethics inevitably, and necessarily, cannot be contained with a 'business as usual'
38 approach to business education. By its very nature, and indeed a sign of its success, as a
39 site of critical reflection this module often elicited personal, political and economic
40 challenges to students' pre-given assumptions and value frames.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 **On Reflexivity**

58
59
60

Consequently, going further means a deep intellectual and practical engagement that is
consciously and self consciously reflexive. For Giddens (1991) and Beck (1992) modernity

1
2 together with all its consequent natural, environmental and anthropogenic risks, inevitably
3 nurtures reflexivity as a defining part of modern life. Social practices are constantly being
4 scrutinised and reshaped as a result of new information about the effects and
5 consequences of these very practices. “What is characteristic of modernity” writes Giddens
6 (1991: 39), “is not an embracing of the new for its own sake, but the presumption of
7 wholesale reflexivity which of course includes reflection upon the nature of reflection itself”.
8 For Beck (1992; 1996) reflexivity means self-confrontation, self criticism and self
9 transformation thereby confronting the risk society with a new modality he characterises as
10 “reflexive modernization”. However, in drilling down into the meaning and development of
11 reflexivity, Ray Holland (1999) argues that transdisciplinarity is itself a form of reflexivity for
12 it enables practitioners to disinter the underlying assumptions on which arguments,
13 disciplines and indeed wholes paradigms rest. Such disinterring facilitates critique of those
14 metaphors, thought styles, cosmologies, rules, rituals, mechanisms, intuitive strategies
15 and meaning schemes that filter out inconvenient considerations and possibilities. For
16 Holland (1999: 472), reflexivity is an “inalienable human capacity” that can itself be
17 categorised in a number of different ways and at a number of different levels. The highest
18 level of reflexivity regards disciplinary and professional paradigms as human constructs,
19 potential obstacles to enlightenment, and entrapped by a blinkered ideological vision. This
20 higher form of reflexivity is therefore, of necessity, uncompromisingly radical. Holland
21 (1999: 476) writes,

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39 This is transdisciplinary reflexivity. Thus, the kind of enlightenment we seek at the
40 end of the pathway to radical reflexivity is not simply another paradigm; it is a way
41 of handling and transcending the interminable debates which have laid down
42 disciplinary and paradigm boundaries. (...) It invites re-entry into the epistemological
43 and sectional complexities of our human condition to intervene, ‘knowingly’
44 according to our ethical priorities.
45
46
47
48

49 All this can be experienced as deeply troubling and uncomfortable by both academics,
50 students and professionals for traditional and expected certainties can quite easily be
51 overturned. Reflexivity places the emphasis not so much on what is known but how we
52 think we know (Pillow, 2003). It is part of that deconstructive turn whereby our claims to
53 knowledge, that is how we think we know what we know, is contested and contestable.
54 This is the perennial challenge of reflexive learning and to recognise and live this in one’s
55 academic or professional practice is to perceive knowledge and understanding as no
56 longer innocent. As Pierre Bourdieu (1990: 21) writes in *The Logic of Practice*, social
57 scientific analysis, particularly when exploring forms of classification, needs to objectify
58
59
60

1
2 “the objectivity that runs through the supposed site of subjectivity” that is social categories
3 of thought, perceptions, unthought principles and representations of the “objective world”.
4 Reflexivity, and the accretion of reflexive knowledge, requires a degree of autonomy as
5 well as a perspective that is neither distant or abstracted from contextual realities nor
6 completely focused on real world practical issues and problems that demand
7 uncontextualised solutions. Given this, Bourdieu links epistemology to questions of power
8 and the generation of a reflexive knowledge that can only flourish in a cultural space not
9 totally dominated by hegemonic interests and practices such as those often associated
10 with politics and business. The reflexive practitioner needs space to think. S/he needs to
11 inhabit an intellectual field that values reflexivity, autonomy and critique. In such an
12 environment, reflexivity may take the form of a metaliteracy that is able to transcend
13 restricted practical knowledge without denying the ontological reality of being in the world
14 (Schirato & Webb, 2003). As Klein (2004) writes, transdisciplinarity is simultaneously an
15 attitude and a form of action. The University has traditionally been such a space although
16 it has not always been characterised by an institutionalized reflexivity and where this does
17 exist is likely to be continually challenged by those hegemonic powers and conventional
18 wisdoms referenced above.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 **On Reflective learning**

35
36
37
38 Reflective learning is the process of internally examining and exploring an issue of
39 concern, triggered by an experience, which creates and clarifies meaning in terms of self,
40 and which results in a changed conceptual perspective (Boyd & Fales 1983). Moon (2004)
41 notes that reflection is involved in some forms of learning and not in others. It appears and
42 develops in intensity as learning moves along the continuum from a surface concern to
43 remember the facts to a deeper approach to make sense of what they mean. For Moon
44 learning may be conceived as consisting of a sequences of stages running from a
45 superficial noticing through to working with meaning and finally to transformative learning
46 relating very closely to the SOLO taxonomy (structure of learning outcomes) outlined by
47 Biggs and Collis (1982) in their work on the evaluation of learning. “In the latter stage,”
48 Moon writes (2004:85) “the learner is willing extensively to modify her cognitive structure
49 and is able to evaluate the sources of her knowledge and her process of learning”.
50 Scanlon and Chernomas’s (1997) offer a three-stage model of the processes involved in
51 reflective learning. The first stage of reflection is awareness, this might be stimulated by
52 some uncomfortable thoughts or feelings or positive thoughts or feelings about a learning
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 situation or event. Without such awareness, reflection cannot occur. In this study, students
3 in the second stage, the individual critically analyses the situation, bringing to bear their
4 relevant knowledge and experiences as well as the application of new knowledge resulting
5 from the analysis process. This stage should involve critical thinking and evaluation, and
6 self-examination with accompanying growing self-awareness. The third and final stage
7 involves the development of a new perspective based upon one's critical analysis and the
8 application of new knowledge to the (learning) situation under reflection.
9
10
11
12
13
14

15
16 In this study, students have been encouraged to develop their awareness through
17 examination of their own personal values and use these to critically analyse their previous
18 experiences and current challenges. These are important stages in reflection and are
19 crucial to the final stage of application. Awareness and analysis provide insights but if
20 students are to move beyond this, they need to use this knowledge to initiate changes.
21
22
23
24
25

26 **Data Analysis**

27
28
29
30

31
32 The study comprises of 300 reflections of MBA students completing a Business Ethics,
33 Responsibility and Sustainability module. The students come from over thirty countries
34 with very different legal, social, cultural, philosophical (and theological) traditions. The
35 study explores the impact that the module has had on the students approach to issues of
36 ethics, social responsibility and sustainability. As part of the assessment for the module,
37 the students reflect on their own organisational experience in discussing the themes,
38 topics and issues raised as a result of their learning. They submit a reflective account of
39 their own values and experiences and comment upon whether their future decision-making
40 and actions may be influenced by their studies.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 These reflections provided a 'rich' but unsystematic file of data as is common to qualitative
50 studies so the reflections were ordered into themes and coded. Grounded theory
51 (Charmaz, 2000) was used as a method of analysis and the AoM code of ethical
52 behaviour (Bryman & Bell, 2003) and good ethics practice (Dingwell, 1980; Burgess, 1982;
53 Lee, 1993) were followed to honour confidentiality. The reflections were analysed by two
54 researchers working each with two sets of transcripts (one kept intact and the other
55 dissected into categories and coded). Descriptive and open codes were used and these
56 were then ordered into axial coding so that the connections could be shown. These codes
57
58
59
60

1
2 were discussed and categories developed. The categories were then tested with a group
3 of the respondents to maximise internal validity (Bradburn, 1983).
4
5
6

7 Findings

8
9
10 The core categories from the analysis included: the origin of own values and personal
11 ethics, experience of ethical dilemmas; previous approaches and thought processes;
12 future impact and thought processes; perceived barriers and changes implemented or
13 planned. The approach and philosophy of the module featured strongly in the reflections
14 with students commenting on the transdisciplinarity nature of the module and the
15 exploration of a wide range of theoretical approaches.
16
17
18
19
20
21

22
23
24 *“The diversity of the lecturers, each with their own area of expertise has afforded me a*
25 *more detailed reflection on intellectually and mentally challenging issues.” (Group 1, 44)*
26
27

28
29 *“I did not expect that this module would take me down unexpected paths concerning*
30 *literature, but that has been the case” (Group 3, 9)*
31
32

33
34 *“The theoretical elements of the module have enabled me to gain a better understanding*
35 *of my values and their drivers.” (Group 4, 78)*
36
37

38
39 *“I have to admit that before I started this module my expectations were not that high.*
40 *Another student said how can we spend 3 months studying ethics and sustainability?”*
41 *(Group 1, 71)*
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 The reflections have formed an important part of the module not only in the reports but
50 also in the formal and informal class discussion.
51
52

53
54 *“Students are often pressured into defending their knowledge rather than exhibiting their*
55 *thinking. We were taken out of the passive role and placed into an active, thinking mode”*
56 *(Group 1, 53)*
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

“Self reflective writing is hard work, it makes you confront the reality that you may not always act in line with. The assignments really made us think about how we would act” (Group 2, 14)

Over the three years of the study many students have taken the opportunity provided by the reflective account to share very powerful discourses of their own journeys in exploring the real ethical dilemmas they face in business and the influence this has had on their lives.

“One of the first real “big hitters” for me was the realisation of how easy it is to find yourself demonstrating behaviours within a business context that go against the basic moral judgement that you apply to personal life.” (Group 2, 45)

“Somewhere between my ambition and my ideals I lost my ethical compass.” (Group 1, 17)

The accounts cover a range of views. Many report that the module ‘provided them with the vocabulary’ or ‘the confidence’ to raise issues and concerns or that they had previously thought about but did not know how to construct their arguments. This issue of ‘voice’ is an important theme. Others mention ‘realising that others have similar thoughts’ or that their studies provided ‘legitimacy’ for their own views.

Many students discussed having a ‘heightened sense of awareness about issues in the media and thinking about matters at a much deeper level’ or ‘thinking about everyday activities such as shopping and travelling in a way they had not done so before’.

“The course has made me aware that the responsibility rests on me to translate what I have learnt to my work place, personal life and in the society around me.” (Group 2, 87)

“I am much more likely to ask questions about the ways in which companies help the environment and the local community.” (Group 4, 10)

“I am certainly in a position to challenge the board of directors and leaders in the organisation to consider our position and move ethics and sustainability higher up on the agenda.” (Group 3, 39)

1
2 A number of students felt the module empowered them to act as agents of change in their
3 place of work. One who started to question details of contracts because of health and
4 safety concerns that had not occurred to them before; another who changed the
5 distribution system of the company because of the environmental impacts and a company
6 director, who was negotiating to outsource the manufacture of products to India, realised
7 that there were many more factors to consider in taking such decisions, other than price
8 and quality of the goods. What had seemed a simple decision (when considered purely in
9 market terms) required far more careful thought and planning to effect a more appropriate,
10 responsible and sustainable outcome. This can be summed up by a student who was
11 overheard complaining:
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20
21 *"Ignorance was bliss, now I'm not ignorant and that is far more difficult"*
22
23

24
25 A number of International students grappled with issues that they saw as matters of
26 conscience and discussed ways in which their own position may enable them to make a
27 difference to future policies and practices when they return.
28
29
30

31
32 *"[I will] be morally courageous and encourage others to do the same... put decision*
33 *making process in place that requires people to consider the ethical dimension of business*
34 *decisions... favour hiring and promoting people with a well grounded sense of personal*
35 *ethics."* (Group 1, 61)
36
37
38
39

40
41 There were also Western European and US students who found the discussion of social,
42 environmental and ethical issues in such a diverse group challenging. For example; it
43 often brought home the connections between poverty and sustainability and emphasised
44 the complexity of issues that are not black and white but often many shades of grey.
45
46
47
48

49
50 *"Taken me out of my comfort zone – my safe western existence"* (Group 2, 16)
51
52

53
54 At the other end of the spectrum, there are those who said that 'without overstating the
55 case – this module has changed my life'. An example of how such learning has the
56 potential to result in actual change rather than adaptation. This includes a student who
57 worked for a scientific organisation where the ethics of the 'science' was frequently raised
58 but there were no such discussions about the ethics of the organisation. As a result of
59 studying the module, the student spoke to the chairman of the company and she was
60

1
2 asked to help develop ethical codes for the company. Other students realised that they felt
3 uncomfortable about working for an organisation and taking the module had helped to
4 'crystallise' their thoughts and this prompted them to change career.
5
6
7

8
9 *"Completing this course in Business, Ethics, Responsibility and Sustainability has made*
10 *me uncomfortable in my current workplace and prompted me to start looking for alternative*
11 *employment."* (Group 4, 56)
12
13
14

15
16 *"I grew more critical of the way I was expected to behave... I began to notice that the*
17 *requests to meet certain targets by the business were simply unethical... I decided to*
18 *resign... At the end of my third week in a new job, I find it to be the best decision of my*
19 *career."* (Group 2, 21)
20
21
22
23

24
25 Finally, many students reviewed their approach to business.

26
27 *"I realise that I need to place myself in other shoes by listening more to people around*
28 *me... Being humble and following moral practices is a success factor for good*
29 *management in this century."* (Group 2, 83)
30
31
32

33
34 *"I realised there is more to being ethical in business than knowing right from wrong or*
35 *good from bad."* (Group 2, 30)
36
37

38
39 *"Laws alone cannot be used as a defence against acting unethically."* (Group 4, 96)
40
41

42
43 *"I have learned that it is possible to become a success whilst maintaining an ethical and*
44 *social backbone"* (Group 3, 65)
45
46
47

48 **Discussion**

49
50 It is apparent that although Ethics, Social Responsibility and Sustainability have gained a
51 higher profile in the current academic environment, a survey of UK Deans at an
52 Association of Business Schools conference in October 2008 revealed that these issues
53 had not yet become embedded in the mainstream of business-related education. The
54 reflections of the students overwhelmingly reported an awakening and connection
55 between their personal values and a desire for change in business. For most of the
56 students, the programme was not the first University business education they had
57
58
59
60

1
2 undetaken but very few had encountered approaches that enabled them to think beyond
3 traditional business models.
4

5
6
7
8 The UN Principles for Responsible Management Education (PRME) initiative which is set
9 up to 'inspire and champion responsible management education, research and thought
10 leadership globally' provides the opportunity to place these issues at the heart of business
11 education. The principles are intended to serve as guidelines for management education
12 providers to better prepare current and future organisational leaders in their dual roles as
13 economic developer and societal steward. This provides academics working in this area
14 an opportunity to use their agency through membership of an external organisation to
15 redirect the strategy of their institution. The first Global Forum for the UN Global Principles
16 Responsible Management Education in December 2008 (attended by 84 Universities)
17 looked at moving the principles into action. One of the key issues discussed at the forum
18 was the extent to which PRME could be effective, especially since it is not planned to
19 incorporate it into accreditation processes. This could be seen as a strength because a
20 'light touch' may encourage more Deans to participate; yet without the framework of
21 accreditation, some fear that it will not have priority. Business schools that are signatories
22 to the principles are required to report annually on their progress within two years of joining
23 and the first group of schools has now reported. The outcomes of this were reported at the
24 second UN PRME Global Forum that had 215 delegates from 37 countries. Over 300
25 business schools from 62 countries now endorse PRME, which in just over two years is
26 very encouraging. Yet with more than 12,000 business degree granting institutions
27 worldwide, there is a way to go. PRME aspires to the goal of 1,000 PRME schools by
28 2015.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 PRME has been set up under the UN Global Compact that is the UN organisation working
49 with businesses (mainly MNCs) on the agenda of Sustainability, Human Rights, Anti
50 Corruption and Labour Rights. In celebrating it's 10th Anniversary, the number of
51 organisations joining the Global Compact has risen to from 300 at its inception to over
52 4000 today. What is interesting and may provide lessons for PRME is that in recent years,
53 over 1000 organisations have been 'delisted' for non- compliance over reporting. This has
54 also led to not only listed organisations paying more attention to their responsibilities but
55 has increased the number of organisations applying to join up to the Global Compact.
56 The final words of the Principles state that, 'We understand that our own organisational
57
58
59
60

1
2 practices should serve as example of the values and attitudes we convey to our students'.
3
4 As Business Schools, it is not sufficient to simply review ethics, social responsibility &
5
6 sustainability within the curriculum and research practice but it should also be mirrored in
7
8 the way the organisations are run. It is important that after being part of the problem for so
9
10 long, businesses and Business Schools become a key part of the solution to irresponsible
11
12 management and unsustainable development and irresponsible and unsustainable
13
14 education. There are already a large number of well known green businesses, the most
15
16 well known probably being Interface (Anderson, 1998) and green business gurus such as
17
18 Paul Hawken (1994) who have been promoting environmental and socially business
19
20 practices for a great many years. Similarly, there are good examples of ethical and
21
22 responsible businesses. Even so-called baddies of the corporate world, such as Wal-Mart
23
24 are concerned to clean up their act, and the emergence of ethical MBAs particularly in the
25
26 United States and other sustainability programmes specifically aimed at the corporate
27
28 sector is clear evidence that David Orr's (1994) famous quip about universities over the
29
30 years producing highly educated people ably equipped to trash the planet has finally hit
31
32 home. In a world where we are running out of oil, producing far too many unnecessary
33
34 goods and services, where climate change is an accelerating and increasingly alarming
35
36 reality, where global poverty and hunger is an ever present reality not to mention banking
37
38 practices that create global financial instability, it is important that Business Schools are
39
40 themselves reflective, reflexive and critical practitioners. If they are not, then their students
41
42 and clients will not be able to access the knowledge, understanding skills and capabilities
43
44 necessary for fashioning a business practice that truly meets the needs of this and future
45
46 generations. However, changing established conduct, deeply rooted practices and ways of
47
48 thinking is not easy although certainly possible as this study suggests. However, changing
49
50 the ways whole institutions thinks and behave is another matter and given the economic
51
52 challenges higher education institutions face in the UK and elsewhere presents an even
53
54 greater challenge. The point, of course, is that business and Business Schools cannot
55
56 afford to ignore the social, economic and environmental agenda for if they do, the critics
57
58 who suggest that the term "ethical business", like the term "sustainable development", is
59
60 an oxymoron will be proved right.

References

Anderson, R.C. (1998) *Mid-Course Correction*. Perengrizzilla Press, Atlanta GA.

Association of Business Schools (2008) ABS AGM and Annual Conference 'Sustainability

- 1
2 - The Very Hot Topic', 20-21 Oct 2008 Newport, South Wales. [http://www.the-](http://www.the-abs.org.uk/?event=41)
3 [abs.org.uk/?event=41](http://www.the-abs.org.uk/?event=41) (accessed 12th January 2011)
4
5 Argyris, C., and Schön, D. (1978) *Organizational learning: A theory of action perspective*,
6 Reading, Mass: Addison Wesley/Longman.
7
8
9 Aspen Institute, The (2008), *Where Will They Lead? MBA Student Attitudes About*
10 *Business & Society*. The Aspen Institute. New York, available at
11 <http://www.aspeninstitute.org/documents/ExecutiveSummaryMBAStudentAttitudesReport2008>
12 (accessed 29th November 2010)
13
14
15 Beck, U. (1992) *The Risk Society*, Sage, London.
16
17 Beck, U. (1996) 'Risk society and the provident state', in Lash, S., Szerszynski, B. &
18 Wynne, B. Eds *Risk, Environment and Modernity: Towards a New Ecology*. London, Sage.
19
20 Biggs, J. & Collis, K. (1982) *Evaluating the Quality of Learning*, Academic Press, New
21 York.
22
23
24 Blewitt, J. (2008) *Understanding Sustainable Development*, Earthscan, London.
25
26 Bourdieu, P. (1990) *The Logic of Practice*, Stanford University Press, Stanford.
27
28 Boyd, E. M. & Fales, A. W. (1983) Reflective learning: key to learning from experience,
29 *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, 23, 99–117
30
31
32 Bradburn, N.M. (1983) "Response effects" in Rossi, P., Wright, J. Anderson, A. (Eds.)
33 *Handbook of Survey Research*. Academic Press, New York. pp.
34
35
36 Bryman, A. and Burgess, R.G. (2003) *Business Research Methods*, Oxford University Press,
37 Oxford.
38
39 Burgess, R.G. (1982) *Field Research: a Sourcebook and Field Manual*. Routledge,
40 London, pp.80-97
41
42
43 Business for Social Responsibility <http://www.bsr.org/> (accessed 28th September 2006)
44
45
46 Charmaz, K. (2000) 'Grounded Theory: Objectivist and Constructivist Methods' in Denzin,
47 N.K. & Lincoln, Y.S. (Eds) *Handbook of Qualitative Research*. Second Edition.. Sage,
48 London.
49
50
51
52 Davis, I. (2005) The Biggest Contract – Business & Society, *The Economist* (Print Edition)
53 28th May, Vol. 375, Issue 8428, pp.69-71
54
55 Dingwell, R.G. (1980). Ethics and ethnography. *Sociological Review* Vol.28, No.4, pp.871-
56 911.
57
58 Eccles, R.G. and Nohria R. (Eds) (1992) *Networks and Organisation. Structure, form and*
59 *action*, Boston, Mass. Harvard Business School Press.
60

- 1
2 Ferraro, F. Pferrer, J. and Sutton, J. (2005) Economic language and assumptions: how
3 theories can become self-fulfilling prophecies. *Academy of Management Review*. Vol. 30,
4 No.1. pp.8-24.
5
6
7 Friedman, M. The Social Responsibility of Business is to increase its Profits, *The New*
8 *York Times Magazine*, 13 Sept 1970
9
10 Gentiles, M. (2009) Bloomberg Business Week. Viewpoint Business Schools: A Failing
11 Grade on Ethics February 5
12 http://www.businessweek.com/bschools/content/feb2009/bs2009025_129477.htm
13 (accessed 24th January 2010)
14
15
16 Gibbs, P. & Costley, C. (2006) Work-based learning; discipline, field or discursive space or
17 what? *Research in Post-Compulsory Education*, Vol.11, No.3, pp.341–350.
18
19
20 Giddens, A. (1991) *The Consequences of Modernity*, Polity, Cambridge.
21
22
23 Hawken, P. (1994) *The Ecology of Commerce*, Harper Business, New York.
24
25 HEA (2007) Higher Education Academy UK, Employable Graduates for Responsible
26 Employers (Cade, Student Force)
27 [http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/ourwork/teachingandlearning/alldisplay?type=projects&newid](http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/ourwork/teachingandlearning/alldisplay?type=projects&newid=esd/esd_employable_graduates&site=york)
28 [=esd/esd_employable_graduates&site=york](http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/ourwork/teachingandlearning/alldisplay?type=projects&newid=esd/esd_employable_graduates&site=york) (accessed 7th January, 2011)
29
30
31 Holland, R. (1999) Reflexivity. *Human Relations*, Vol.52, No.4, pp.463-484.
32
33
34 Klein, J.L. (2004) Prospects for Transdisciplinarity. *Futures*, Vol. 36, No.4, pp.515–526
35
36
37 Lee, R.M., (1993) *Doing Research on Sensitive Topics*. Sage, London
38
39
40 Max Neef, M. A. (2004) Foundations of transdisciplinarity. *Ecological Economics*, Vol.53,
41 No.1, pp.5-16.
42
43
44 Moon, J.A. (2004) *A Handbook of Reflective and Experiential Learning*, RoutledgeFalmer,
45 London.
46
47
48 Nicolescu, B. (2002) *Manifesto of Transdisciplinarity* Tr. Karen-Claire Voss, State
49 University of New York Press, New York.
50
51
52 Orr, D. (1994) *Earth in Mind*, Island Press, Washington DC.
53
54
55 UN (1987) *Our Common Future* (Brundtland Report), Oxford University Press, Oxford.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1
2 Principles of Responsible Management Education (PRME) United Nations Global
3 Compact <http://www.unprme.org/> (accessed 12th January 2011)
4

5
6 Ramadier, T. (2004) Transdisciplinarity and its challenges: the case of urban studies.
7 *Futures*, Vol.36, No.4, pp423-439.
8

9 Rokeach, M. (1973) *The Nature of Human Values*, John Wiley, New York.
10

11 Scanlon, J. M. & Chernomas, W. M. (1997) Developing the reflective teacher, *Journal of*
12 *Advanced Nursing*, Vol. 25, No.6, pp1138–1143
13

14
15 Schirator, T. & Webb, J. (2003) Bourdieu's Concept of Reflexivity as Metaliteracy. *Cultural*
16 *Studies*, Vol.17, No. 3/4, pp.539-552.
17

18
19 Sen, A. (1987) *On Ethics and Economics*, Blackwell, Oxford.
20

21 Sterling, S. (2004) "Higher Education, Sustainability, and the Role of Systemic Learning"
22 in Corcoran, P.B. & A.E.J.Wals (Eds) *Higher Education and the Challenge of*
23 *Sustainability*. Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
24

25
26 Times Higher Education (2005) 'Did poor teaching lead to crash? - Business Academics
27 are accused of ignoring social and political questions (Print Edition), 25th September 2008.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60