TiO$_2$ Nanofoam-Nanotube Array for Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering
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ABSTRACT: By tuning the anodic voltage and the electrochemical reaction time, we have synthesized a serial of TiO$_2$ nanofoam-nanotube array structures via a two-step anodic oxidation process. The produced nanofoam-nanotube array demonstrated a remarkable Raman scattering enhancement. The maximum enhancement factors are $2.3 \times 10^5$ for methylene blue. Factors such as nanotube pore size, nanofoam and solute concentration have been investigated. The Raman scattering enhancement is attributed to the existence of the nanofoam structure, which enables multiple laser scattering among the periodic voids and allows for the occurrence of Raman scattering. The proposed simple and inexpensive approach can promote the use of TiO$_2$ materials for SERS applications in chemistry, biology and nanoscience.

Semiconductor-based surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) has attracted much attention for its potential application in label-free detecting of biomolecule, trace sensing of chemicals and in situ monitoring of chemical reactions$^{1,2}$. Compared with metal-based SERS, which usually use coinage metals (Au, Ag or Cu), semiconductor-based SERS has advantages of low cost and good biocompatibility. On the other hand, semiconductor-based SERS generally has a poor sensitivity: the enhancement factor (EF) is in range of $10 \sim 10^3$, inferior to that of coinage metals ($10^5 \sim 10^9$). A large number of studies have been carried out to improve EF values of semiconductors, for example, by controlling the band gap, the morphology, the particle size and stoichiometry$^{3,4}$. Titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) is one of the most investigated semiconductors for SERS applications. Zhao et al. investigated SERS performance of TiO$_2$ substrate since 2008$^{,5}$, reporting that particle size, surface defects, and morphologies have a significant impact on the enhanced Raman scattering of TiO$_2$.

In 2013, Alessandri proposed to use cavities to enhance semiconductor Raman scattering performance$^{6}$. He prepared TiO$_2$ shell-based spherical resonators by the atomic layer deposition (ALD) method. Those resonators show a remarkable enhancement of Raman scattering. The enhancement is ascribed to the synergistic effects of the high refractive index of shell layer, the multiple light scattering through the spheres, and the geometrical factors. Inspired by this approach, Zhang et al. developed a casting and calcination process to prepare TiO$_2$ inverse opals, achieving a good SERS performance, EF $\sim 10^{4.9}$. Those progresses have clearly demonstrated that manipulating porous characteristics can improve TiO$_2$ SERS performance. However, their methods for producing TiO$_2$ nanopores, such as the ALD and the template synthesis method, are complex and cost expensive. It is of great interest to develop simple, reproducible and scalable methods to produce cavity-based TiO$_2$ materials for SERS applications.

TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays can be synthesized by anodic oxidation processes$^{10}$, the length, the pore size and the thickness of TiO$_2$ nanotube can be easily controlled by the oxidation voltage and the electrochemical reaction time. For example, a two-step anodic oxidation method was recently developed to produce an ultralong (~ 30 μm) TiO$_2$ nanotube array$^{11}$. With a small voltage, the first anodic oxidation produces the initial TiO$_2$ nanotube array, which serves as a protective layer for nanotube growth. In general, the protective layer will complete dissolve in the electrolyte solution during the second anodic oxidation.

It is worth pointing out that TiO$_2$ nanotube array has been adopted as a supporter to gold or silver to improve their SERS performance$^{12,13}$. However, the array itself has not been reported to demonstrate promising SERS performance. In this work, we propose a modified two-step anodic oxidation process to synthesize TiO$_2$ nanofoam-nanotube array structures. Our produced arrays show excellent enhanced Raman scattering performance for adsorbed Methylene Blue (MB) and cytochrome C.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** A schematic diagram for TiO$_2$ nanofoam-nanotube array synthesis via a two-step anodic oxidation process.
TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays with different morphologies were synthesized by the two-step anodic oxidation. A schematic diagram for the synthesis process is shown in Figure 1 and the characterizations are present in Figure 2. In Figure 2, “S10-80” denotes the sample synthesized by: first step, 10 voltage oxidation, for 30 min, which is the default oxidation time unless mentioned otherwise; second step, 80 voltage oxidation, for 30 min. According to this nomenclature, “S10-100-60” is the sample produced by 10 voltage oxidation, for 30 min (step 1), and 100 voltage oxidation, for 60 min (step 2). Two sets of samples have been synthesized and tested, namely, group A: S10-80, S10-100, S10-120; group B: S10-100-60, S10-100-90, S10-100-180. The SEM images of Figure 2(a) and 2(b) reveal that, for group A, the S10-100 sample is composed of two distinguishable parts, the top nanofoam structure (thickness, ~100 nm) and the bottom nanotube array (diameter, ~300 nm). The nanofoam and nanotube are interconnected, achieving the enhanced Raman scattering, similar to the mechanism of TiO$_2$ inverse opal structure by Zhang and Co-workers. For a lower 2nd oxidation voltage, S10-80, neither the nanofoam nor the nanotube array has fully grown. When the 2nd oxidation voltage is increased to 120 V, during the 30 min oxidation, the top nanofoam layer completely dissolves in the electrolyte solution and the nanotube array remain. It is worth emphasizing that the oxidation time is a critical parameter. As shown by group B, by using a same 2nd oxidation voltage but a longer oxidation time, that is, from 30 min to 60, 90, and 180 min, respectively, the top nanofoam layer also disappears and none of group B shows a promising SERS performance for Methylene Blue (10$^{-3}$ M), as illustrated in Figure 2(c). The EF is calculated with respect to the Raman scattering peak at 1624 cm$^{-1}$. The EF value for group B is about 1000, which is in accordance with that of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles. It implies that the slightly enhanced Raman scattering of TiO$_2$ nanotube array is due to the charge transfer mechanism. On the other hand, the S10-100 sample of TiO$_2$ nanofoam-nanotube array shows a remarkable SERS performance. The EF is 2.3×10$^3$, as far as we are aware of, the best reported result for non-plasmon TiO$_2$ SERS substrate. It is worth noting that the S10-80 sample, without a fully developed TiO$_2$ nanofoam, shows a poor SERS performance. We report that the existence and the structure of TiO$_2$ nanofoam significantly affect the SERS performance.

XRD and UV-vis characterizations have been carried out to investigate the Raman enhanced mechanism for these samples. XRD patterns for samples S10-80, S10-100 and S10-120 are shown in Figure S1. All samples consist of anatase crystal phase and titanium metal phase. UV-vis absorption spectra for all samples are shown in Figure 2(d), where S10-100 has a better light absorption behavior than others. This further suggests that the enhanced Raman scattering of S10-100 is due to the top nanofoam structure: multiple laser scattering among the periodic voids and more opportunities for the occurrence of Raman scattering.

**Pore size effect**

The aforementioned group A samples have different pore sizes of the nanotube array. In order to understand whether the pore size itself plays a significant role, various TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays have been synthesized and examined, as illustrated in Figure 3. It is important to note that none of those samples has the nanofoam layer, and that the 1st and 2nd oxidations are 4 hr and 8 hr, respectively. A longer oxidation time is beneficial to grow longer TiO$_2$ nanotubes. As shown in Figure 3, when the 2nd oxidation voltage increases from 10 V to 80 V, the corresponding TiO$_2$ pore size goes from 16 nm to 197 nm. But for the broad pore size range, all samples demonstrate regular SERS performance according to the MB Raman peak at 1624 cm$^{-1}$. The EF value is around 5.3×10$^3$. Therefore, the pore size is not the dominating factor for the high EF value (2.3×10$^3$) of the S10-100 sample.

**Nanofoam effect**

In order to confirm the critical role of the nanofoam layer for the SERS performance, we modified the oxidation condition, i.e., using a larger voltage for the first oxidation, and a smaller voltage for the second oxidation. A serial of TiO$_2$ nanotube arrays have been synthesized with or without the nanofoam layer. For the three new samples in Figure 4, only the T60-10 has the nanofoam-nanotube array structure, demonstrating an excellent SERS performance, EF~1.0×10$^4$. When the first oxidation voltage increases to 80 V, the produced T80-10 sample no longer has the nanofoam layer, but an ordered TiO$_2$ nanotube double array. If the first oxidation voltage further increases to 100 V, only ordered single TiO$_2$ nanotube layer is observed of the T100-10 sample. With this set of samples, we further confirm that the
nanofoam layer is critical for SERS performance, and that the nanofoam-nanotube array is very promising yet easy to synthesize.

\[ \text{Figure 5. SERS of methylene blue with different concentrations on S10-100 sample.} \]

It was reported that probe molecule adsorption on semiconductors can enhance the Raman intensity of phonon modes of the semiconductor substrate, due to interband and charge-transfer resonances\(^1\). We compare Raman modes of S10-100 sample without and with different MB concentrations. The results are shown in Figure 5. The Raman peaks (142, 394, 516 and 636 cm\(^{-1}\)) of pristine S10-100 sample are characteristic Raman modes of anatase TiO\(_2\). The intensities of those Raman modes are almost unchanged upon MB adsorption, suggesting that the SERS occurred on S10-100 sample is not because of the charge-transfer mechanism. Instead, the enhancement is probably due to the light-matter coupling, similar to what was reported about TiO\(_2\) photonic microarray by Zhang et al\(^2\). In addition, Figure 5 reveals that at low MB concentrations, 10\(^{-4}\) and 10\(^{-5}\) M, there is almost no Raman scattering enhancement at 1628 cm\(^{-1}\). This is because MB molecules can adsorb on the outer wall or enter the inner space of TiO\(_2\) nanotube. Therefore, the excellent SERS performance is only observed at reasonably high MB concentrations, such as 10\(^{-3}\) M in this work. Future work is needed to improve the sensitivity at low concentrations.

\[ \text{Figure 6. SERS of cytochrome c (5×10^{-4} M) adsorbed on TiO_2 samples with (S10-100) or without the nanofoam layer (S10-80 and S11-120).} \]

In order to further demonstrate the potential of the TiO\(_2\) nanofoam-nanotube array, the detection of cytochrome c (Cyt c) has been also tested. As a water-soluble heme protein, Cyt c has been studied for both structural and dynamic properties. Figure 6 shows that the intensities for characteristic modes at 1362 cm\(^{-1}\), 1496 cm\(^{-1}\), 1551 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1626 cm\(^{-1}\) are small on the glass substrate. When Cyt c gets adsorbed on TiO\(_2\) samples, those Raman modes are enhanced considerably. The best performance is still from the S10-100 sample where a nanofoam-nanotube array structure exists.

\[ \text{Figure 7. The schematic diagram for TiO_2 nanotube array SERS performance with or without TiO_2 nanofoam top layer.} \]

In summary, TiO\(_2\) nanofoam-nanotube array samples have been synthesized by a simple two-step anodic oxidation process. Those samples demonstrate excellent SERS performance for both methylene blue and cytochrome c. For methylene blue, the enhanced factor could reach 2.3×10\(^5\), which is the best reported Raman scattering enhancement so far for TiO\(_2\) semiconductors. The enhancement is due to the existence of the nanofoam structure, which is similar to the cavity-enhanced Raman scattering mechanism, as illustrated in Figure 7. The simple and inexpensive approach can promote the use of TiO\(_2\) materials for SERS applications in chemistry, biology and nanoscience.
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