

1 **Potential role of CXCL10/CXCR3 signaling in the development of morphine**  
2 **tolerance in periaqueductal gray**

3 Wei Wang<sup>a, #</sup>, Yawen Peng<sup>a, #</sup>, Hui Yang<sup>a</sup>, Huilian Bu<sup>b</sup>, Genhua Guo<sup>a,1</sup>, Daiqiang Liu<sup>a</sup>,  
4 Bin Shu<sup>a</sup>, Xuebi Tian<sup>a</sup>, Ailin Luo<sup>a</sup>, Xuming Zhang<sup>c</sup>, Feng Gao<sup>a, \*</sup>

5 <sup>a</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong  
6 University of Science & Technology, Wuhan 430030, Hubei, China

7 <sup>b</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University,  
8 Zhengzhou 450052, Henan, China

9 <sup>c</sup> School of Life & Health Sciences, Aston University, Aston triangle, Birmingham B4  
10 7ET, United Kingdom

11 # These authors make equal contribution to this work.

12 \* Corresponding author: Feng Gao, Address: Department of Anesthesiology, Tongji  
13 Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science & Technology,  
14 No.1095 Jiefang Dadao, Wuhan 430030, Hubei, China. Tel: + 86 02783663173; Fax: +  
15 86 02783662853. E-mail: 13971587381@163.com

16 <sup>1</sup> Present address: Department of Anesthesiology, The Central People's Hospital of Ji'an  
17 City, 106 Jinggangshan Road, Ji'an 343000, PR China

18 **Abbreviated title:** Roles of CXCL10/CXCR3 in morphine tolerance

19 **Abstract**

20 Tolerance to morphine antinociception hinders its long-term use in clinical practice.

1 Interaction between neuron and microglia has been proved to play critical role in the  
2 mechanism of morphine tolerance, while CXCL10/CXCR3 signaling has been  
3 implicated in neuron-glia signaling and morphine analgesia. This study aims to  
4 investigate whether CXCL10/CXCR3 signaling in periaqueductal gray (PAG)  
5 contributes to the development of morphine tolerance by modulating neuron-microglia  
6 interaction. The results showed that the expressions of CXCR3 and CXCL10 were  
7 gradually increased in parallel with repeated morphine administration and activation of  
8 microglia. CXCR3 was co-localized with neuronal marker NeuN, while CXCL10 was  
9 derived from microglia. Microglia inhibitor minocycline significantly attenuated the  
10 expression of CXCL10, besides, both minocycline and CXCR3 inhibitor alleviated the  
11 development of morphine tolerance. Taken together, our study provided the evidence  
12 that CXCL10/CXCR3 signaling in PAG is involved in the development of morphine  
13 analgesic tolerance *via* neuron-microglia interaction.

14 **Keywords:** Morphine tolerance; Chemokine; CXCL10; CXCR3

15 **Abbreviations**

16 CXCL9, C-X-C motif chemokine 9; CXCL10, C-X-C motif chemokine 10; CXCL11,  
17 C-X-C motif chemokine 11; CXCR3, C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 3; rmCXCL10,  
18 recombinant mouse CXCL10 protein; CaMKII, Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin dependent protein  
19 kinase II; CREB, cAMP response element binding protein; GABA, gamma-  
20 aminobutyric acid; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; GFAP, glial

1 fibrillary acidic protein; Iba-1, ionized calcium-binding adapter molecule 1; IL-1 $\beta$ ,  
2 interleukin-1 beta; NeuN, neuronal nuclei; NF $\kappa$ B, nuclear factor kappa B; PAG,  
3 periaqueductal gray; TNF $\alpha$ , tumor necrosis factor alpha

4

## 5 **1. Introduction**

6 Tolerance to morphine-induced antinociceptive effect hinders its prolonged usage in the  
7 clinic. The roles of neuronal intracellular cascades including desensitization of opioid  
8 receptors, endocytosis of opioid receptor and functional changes of glutamate receptors  
9 in the mechanisms of morphine tolerance have been well investigated (Martini and  
10 Whistler, 2007; Tai *et al.*, 2007; Williams *et al.*, 2013). Accumulating evidences  
11 suggest that microglia may play an essential role in the development of morphine  
12 tolerance (Horvath *et al.*, 2010; Wang *et al.*, 2010b; Eidson and Murphy, 2013a).  
13 Microglia could be activated in response to morphine-induced neuronal changes, while  
14 microglia-derived proinflammatory factors including cytokines and chemokines, in turn,  
15 promote the neuronal sensitization (Horvath *et al.*, 2010; Wang *et al.*, 2010b). These  
16 studies indicate the importance of the interaction between neuron and microglia in the  
17 mechanism of morphine tolerance.

18 Periaqueductal gray (PAG) and its descending projections to rostral ventromedial  
19 medulla and spinal cord comprise an essential neural circuit for opioid-mediated  
20 analgesia (Basbaum and Fields, 1978). Recent studies demonstrated that opioid

1 tolerance is accompanied by activation of microglia in PAG (Eidson and Murphy,  
2 2013b), and inhibition of microglia activities could attenuate the development of  
3 morphine tolerance (Eidson and Murphy, 2013a). Although the contribution of  
4 microglia activation in PAG to morphine tolerance has been reported, little is known  
5 about the underlying mechanism of neuron-microglia interaction (Cui *et al.*, 2006).

6 Chemokines, a family of small cytokines, could directly induce chemotaxis of  
7 responsive cells. Several studies indicate that chemokine receptors, such as CXCR2,  
8 are co-expressed by opioid-containing leukocytes. Inhibiting some chemokines  
9 (CXCL1 and CXCL2/3) could substantially result in the decreased number of opioid-  
10 containing immune cells in inflammatory tissue and in consequence abolish the  
11 endogenous peripheral opioid analgesia (Brack *et al.*, 2004; Machelska, 2007), whereas  
12 some chemokines (e.g. CCL5, CXCL12, and CX3CL1) are described to be able to  
13 induce pain or decrease central analgesic effects of opioid receptor agonists in animals  
14 without inflammation (Chen *et al.*, 2007; Oh *et al.*, 2001). Chemokines could also  
15 emerge as potential modulators of neuron-microglia interaction in opioid tolerance-  
16 related neuroinflammation and chronic neuropathic pain (Biber *et al.*, 2008; Old and  
17 Malcangio, 2012). The chemokine receptor CXCR3 could be activated by its ligands  
18 including CXCL9, CXCL10 and CXCL11 (Loetscher *et al.*, 1998). Activation of  
19 CXCR3 is involved in NMDA-induced hippocampal cell death (van Weering *et al.*,  
20 2011), entorhinal cortex lesion (Rappert *et al.*, 2004) and brain ischemia (Biber *et al.*,

1 2001) by inducing neuron-microglia interaction. Previous study has reported that  
2 CXCL10 was upregulated in nervous system with neuroinflammatory pain (Müller *et*  
3 *al.*, 2010). Recently, our studies have shown that single morphine administration  
4 promoted CXCL10 expression in spinal neurons, while blocking the function of  
5 CXCL10 could enhance the effect of morphine analgesia in cancer pain animal (Ye *et*  
6 *al.*, 2014; Bu *et al.*, 2014). In addition, CXCR3 was co-localized with neuron, astrocyte  
7 and microglia in bone cancer pain model (Guan *et al.*, 2015), suggesting  
8 CXCL10/CXCR3-related neuron-microglia interaction may play a critical role in the  
9 formation of bone cancer pain and morphine analgesic effect. Activation of microglia  
10 could be responsible to neuronal changes and aggravate the development of morphine  
11 tolerance by releasing pro-nociceptive factors such as chemokines (Horvath *et al.*, 2010;  
12 Wang *et al.*, 2010b). However, the role of CXCL10/CXCR3 in the morphine tolerance  
13 in PAG remains unclear. Thus, in the present study, we hypothesized that activation of  
14 CXCL10/CXCR3 signaling might participate in the mechanism of morphine tolerance  
15 by modulating neuron-microglia interaction.

16

## 17 **2. Material and methods**

### 18 **2.1. Animals**

19 Adult male Swiss Webster mice, weighing 20-25 g, were purchased from Animal  
20 Center of Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

1 Animals were housed under a 12-hour light/dark cycle at room temperature of  $22 \pm 1$  °C  
2 and relative humidity 40-60 % with food and water available *ad libitum*. The  
3 experimental protocols were reviewed and approved by Institutional Animal Care and  
4 Use Committee of Tongji Medical College of Huazhong University of Science &  
5 Technology. All experimental protocols and animal handling procedures were carried  
6 out in accordance with the National Institutes of Health guidelines for the Care and Use  
7 of Laboratory Animals.

## 8 **2.2. Drug administration**

9 Animals were anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium (60 mg/kg, intraperitoneally). In  
10 order to facilitate injection, stainless cannula guides (0.60 mm external and 0.35 mm  
11 internal diameters) was implanted unilaterally into dorsal part of PAG (-4.6 mm  
12 posterior to bregma, +/- 0 mm lateral to the midline and -2 mm ventral to the dorsal  
13 surface of the skull) according to the previous study (Masse *et al.*, 2008). A metallic  
14 cannula dummy was placed in the cannula guides after surgery to avoid blood clots.  
15 Animals were allowed a 7-day recovery period before the following experiments.

16 The following drugs were micro-injected into PAG 30 min before morphine  
17 administration, respectively: CXCR3 inhibitor AMG487 (10 or 20 µg, 0.25 µL, diluted  
18 in 20 % 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin, once daily; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA),  
19 microglia inhibitor minocycline (10 pmol, 0.25 µL, diluted in saline, once daily; Sigma,  
20 St. Louis, MO, USA) (Wei *et al.*, 2008; Eidson and Murphy, 2013a), recombinant

1 mouse CXCL10 protein (rmCXCL10; 20 µg, 0.25 µL, diluted in saline, once daily;  
2 ProSpec-Tany TechnoGene, Rehovot, Israel).

### 3 **2.3. Chronic morphine tolerance**

4 To induce chronic morphine tolerance, mice were repeatedly administrated with  
5 morphine subcutaneously (10 mg/kg, twice daily with 12 h intervals), from day 1 (D1)  
6 to day 7 (D7) (Zhou *et al.*, 2010; Ferrini *et al.*, 2013).

### 7 **2.4. Mechanical nociceptive tests**

8 Nociceptive thresholds of mice were assessed by measuring paw withdrawal thresholds  
9 *via* von Frey filaments as described previously (Liu *et al.*, 2012; Zhou *et al.*, 2013).  
10 Briefly, behavioral tests were performed on day 0 (D0) and 30 min after morphine  
11 administration from day 1 to day 7. Mice were tested individually in a deep rectangular  
12 stainless-steel tank and allowed 15 minutes for habituation before tests. The region  
13 between foot pads in the plantar aspect of right hind paw was stimulated by a series of  
14 von Frey hairs with logarithmically incrementing forces (0.04, 0.07, 0.16, 0.4, 0.6, 1, 2,  
15 4, 6, 8, 10, 15 and 26 g). Abrupt paw withdrawal, licking, or shaking was considered as  
16 positive responses. Once a withdrawal response was elicited, the test would be repeated  
17 starting with the next descending filament until no response occurred. An interval of 10  
18 seconds was applied between the stimulations of filaments. The lowest amount of force  
19 that elicits a positive response was recorded. Three trials were performed on each  
20 animal with a time interval of 10 minutes, and the average value was considered as the

1 paw withdrawal thresholds, represented in grams (g). All behavioral tests were  
2 conducted under blind conditions.

### 3 **2.5. Real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction**

4 Total RNA was isolated from PAG with Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). RNA was  
5 used to synthesize cDNA with SuperScript Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad,  
6 CA). Real-time PCR reaction was performed with StepOne Real-time PCR System  
7 (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Specific primers  
8 for mouse CXCR3 and endogenous control mouse GAPDH were obtained from  
9 PrimerDepot (Table 1). Relative quantification of mRNA was determined by using 2<sup>-</sup>  
10  $\Delta\Delta C_t$  method (Schmittgen and Livak, 2008). Data were presented as fold changes  
11 normalized to control group.

### 12 **2.6. Western blot analysis**

13 Animals were sacrificed and total proteins of PAG tissues were extracted immediately.  
14 The tissues were homogenized in a radio immunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) lysis  
15 buffer (Beyotime, Wuhan, China) containing 1 % Phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride. The  
16 protein concentration was determined by BCA assay (Boster, Wuhan, China). After  
17 denatured by boiling in a sample buffer, 50  $\mu$ g proteins from each sample were  
18 separated on SDS polyacrylamide gel and then transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride  
19 membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) by electrophoresis. The membranes were  
20 blocked with 5 % non-fat milk in TBST (0.1 % Tween 20 in TBS) for 0.5 hour at room



1 temperature and then incubated with specific primary antibodies (Table 2) overnight at  
2 4 °C followed by HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1: 5000, Boster, Wuhan, China)  
3 or HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1: 1000, Bioeyartech, Wuhan, China) for 2  
4 hours at room temperature in TBS containing 0.05 % Tween-20. Labeled proteins were  
5 then detected by ChemiDocXRS+ chemiluminescence imaging system (Bio-Rad,  
6 Hercules, CA, USA). The protein levels were presented as density relative to that of  $\beta$ -  
7 actin.

## 8 **2.7. Immunofluorescence staining**

9 Mice were anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium and perfused through aortic cannula  
10 with 20 mL of saline, followed with 20 mL of 4 % paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes.  
11 The fixed brains were removed from cranial cavity and post-fixed in the same fixative  
12 solution overnight at 4 °C. The tissues were then embedded in paraffin and sections  
13 containing PAG were mounted on slides. Immunofluorescence staining was performed  
14 as previously described (Kong *et al.*, 2013). Briefly, slides were blocked with goat  
15 serum for 60 min, followed by incubation with specific primary antibodies (Table 2)  
16 overnight at 4 °C. Then the sections were incubated with DyLight 488-conjugated goat  
17 anti-mouse IgG (1: 100, Abbkine, Inc., Redlands, CA, USA), Cyanine 3 (Cy3)-  
18 conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1: 200, Abbkine, Inc., Redlands, CA, USA) or  
19 Cyanine 3 (Cy3)-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1: 200, Abbkine, Inc., Redlands, CA,  
20 USA) for 1 hour at room temperature. Sections were washed with PBST for 10 min and

1 mounted in 50% gelvatol (diluted with PBS) for microscopic imaging. Fluorescent  
2 images were captured using a fluorescence microscope (Leica, German).

### 3 **2.8. Statistical analysis**

4 All data were presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM. Multiple comparisons were analyzed using  
5 one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison tests. Data collected  
6 from paw withdrawal thresholds tests were analyzed by using a repeated measures two-  
7 way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's *post-hoc* test.  $P < 0.05$  was considered to be  
8 statistically significant difference.

9

## 10 **3. Results**

### 11 **3.1. CXCR3 expression in PAG was gradually increased during the development** 12 **of morphine tolerance**

13 We first examined whether morphine tolerance was successfully established after  
14 repeated morphine administration (10 mg/kg, twice daily, s.c.). The results of  
15 behavioral tests showed that paw withdrawal thresholds of morphine-treated mice  
16 decreased dramatically on day 4 as shown in Fig. 1A ( $F_{(1,72)} = 35.53$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). We  
17 then sought to investigate the time course of CXCR3 expression in PAG during the  
18 development of morphine tolerance. As shown in Fig. 1B and 1C, both the expressions  
19 of CXCR3 mRNA and protein were gradually increased along with repeated morphine  
20 administration when compared with baseline ( $P < 0.01$ ). CXCR3 immunoreactivity in

1 PAG in morphine-treated mouse was co-localized with neuronal marker NeuN, but not  
2 with microglial marker Iba-1 or astrocytic marker GFAP (Fig. 1D), indicating that  
3 CXCR3 expressions are increased in neurons in PAG.

### 4 5 **3.2. Repeated administration of morphine increased the expression of CXCL10** 6 **derived from microglia**

7 CXCL10 is one of the ligands of CXCR3 and spinal CXCL10 has been shown to be  
8 associated with morphine antinociceptive effect (Harris *et al.*, 2012), therefore, we  
9 detected the expression of CXCL10 in PAG after repeated morphine administration.  
10 The results showed that levels of CXCL10 were increased on day 3 and day 7 in  
11 morphine-treated mice when compared with those in saline-treated mice (Fig. 2A).  
12 CXCL10 immunoreactivities were predominantly co-localized with microglia marker  
13 Iba-1 in morphine-treated mice (Fig. 2B), indicating that microglia may be the major  
14 cellular source of CXCL10 in PAG. The densities of Iba-1 positive microglia in  
15 morphine-treated mice were also increased on day 5 and day 7 when compared with  
16 baseline ( $P < 0.01$ ) (Fig. 2C and 2D).

### 17 18 **3.3. The development of morphine tolerance was attenuated by inhibition of** 19 **CXCR3 activation and promoted by exogenous CXCL10**

20 To determine whether CXCR3 is involved in the development of morphine tolerance

1 directly, the CXCR3 inhibitor AMG487 or rmCXCL10 was micro-injected into PAG  
2 30 min before morphine administration, respectively. As shown in Fig. 3A, a single  
3 dose of AMG487 (10 or 20  $\mu$ g) did not affect the antinociceptive effect of morphine on  
4 the 1<sup>st</sup> day of morphine administration ( $P > 0.05$ ), but consecutive treatments with  
5 AMG487 attenuated the development of morphine tolerance ( $F_{(2,72)} = 34.65$  for  
6 AMG487 10 ug/day;  $F_{(2,72)} = 26.13$  for AMG487 20 ug/day,  $P < 0.05$ ), indicating that  
7 activation of CXCR3 is required for the development of drug tolerance. Administration  
8 of rmCXCL10 (20  $\mu$ g) could weaken morphine analgesic effect and promote the  
9 formation of morphine tolerance ( $F_{(2,72)} = 103.1$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ), which could be prevented  
10 by co-administration with AMG487 ( $F_{(3,144)} = 64.64$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 3B). These findings  
11 demonstrate that CXCL10 and CXCR3 are involved in the development of morphine  
12 tolerance.

13

#### 14 **3.4. Inhibition of microglia attenuated the expression of CXCL10 and the** 15 **development of morphine tolerance**

16 To verify that microglia-derived CXCL10 is involved in the development of morphine  
17 tolerance, microglia inhibitor minocycline (Tikka *et al.*, 2001), was intra-PAG injected  
18 to inhibit the activation of microglia (Eidson and Murphy, 2013a). The results showed  
19 that pre-treatment with minocycline (10 pmol) could prevent the development of  
20 morphine tolerance ( $F_{(2,72)} = 42.38$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ) and co-administration with rmCXCL10

1 could reverse the effect of minocycline ( $F_{(3,144)} = 28.17$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 4A).  
2 Minocycline could down-regulate the expression of CXCL10 induced by repeated  
3 morphine treatment ( $P < 0.01$ ) (Fig. 4B). Proliferation of microglia was observed in  
4 PAG during the development of morphine tolerance, however, it was inhibited by pre-  
5 treatment of minocycline, but not affected by AMG487 or rmCXCL10 ( $P < 0.01$ ) (Fig.  
6 4C and 4D). Increased expression of CXCR3 which was induced by morphine was  
7 attenuated by AMG487 ( $P < 0.01$ ) but not by minocycline ( $P > 0.05$ ) (Fig. 4E). These  
8 results provide promising evidences that activation of microglia in PAG contributes to  
9 the development of morphine tolerance may partially through increasing CXCL10  
10 expression, which might be directly correlated with the up-regulation of microglia  
11 activity during the development of morphine tolerance, however microglia-derived  
12 CXCL10 does not affect the activity of microglia and the expression of CXCR3.

13

#### 14 **4. Discussion**

15 Our study demonstrated the potential role of microglial CXCL10-neuronal CXCR3  
16 interaction in PAG in the development of morphine tolerance. On the one hand,  
17 repeated morphine treatment up-regulated the expression of CXCR3. Importantly,  
18 blocking CXCR3 slowed the development of morphine tolerance. On the other hand,  
19 morphine treatment was associated with microglia activation and subsequently  
20 increased expression of CXCL10. Inhibition of microglia activation down-regulated

1 CXCL10 expression and consequently prevented the development of morphine  
2 tolerance. Taken together, microglia-derived CXCL10 acts on neuronal CXCR3  
3 receptor, which contributed to the development of morphine tolerance in PAG.

4 Chronic morphine administration induces the activation of microglia, which increases  
5 the glia-released cytokines including chemokine (Johnston *et al.*, 2004), tumor necrosis  
6 factor alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ) and interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 $\beta$ ), resulting in the down-regulation  
7 of astrocytic glutamate transports proteins (GLT-1, GLAST) (Watkins *et al.*, 2009) and  
8 neuronal GABA (gamma-aminobutyric acid) receptors (Stellwagen *et al.*, 2005).

9 Several studies have reported that morphine binds to neuronal mu opioid receptors in  
10 PAG which are primarily located in GABAergic neurons (Chieng & Christie, 1994;  
11 Commons *et al.*, 2000). These microglia-related cytokines could induce the intracellular  
12 changes, such as the activities of mu opioid receptors in GABAergic neurons, and  
13 effectively increase the neuronal excitability that may contribute to the development of  
14 morphine tolerance. CXCR3 signaling usually functions in chemotaxis and  
15 inflammatory responses in lymphocytes or inflammatory cells under various  
16 pathological conditions (Agostini *et al.*, 2001; Sorensen *et al.*, 2002; Wenzel *et al.*,  
17 2007). Moreover, it was shown to mediate neuron-microglia interaction in acute brain  
18 injury and ischemia (Biber *et al.*, 2001; Rappert *et al.*, 2004; van Weering *et al.*, 2011).

19 The expressions and functions of CXCR3 in neurons (Xia *et al.*, 2000), microglia  
20 (Rappert *et al.*, 2004) and astrocytes (Tanuma *et al.*, 2006) in various animal models

1 have been reported previously, but the cellular localization in PAG is still unknown.  
2 Our results found that the expression of CXCR3 in PAG was increased during the  
3 development of morphine tolerance, and CXCR3 was expressed in neurons, but not in  
4 glia. Moreover, blocking CXCR3 with its antagonist effectively prevented the  
5 development of morphine tolerance. These findings provide definitive evidences that  
6 neuronal chemokine receptor in PAG plays a critical role in the mechanism of morphine  
7 tolerance.

8 It has been reported that CXCL10 in spinal cord modulated morphine antinociceptive  
9 effect through CXCR3 signaling (Ye *et al.*, 2014). The function and expression of  
10 CXCL10 have been demonstrated in microglia (Shen *et al.*, 2006), neurons (Sui *et al.*,  
11 2006) and astrocytes (Sanchez-Blazquez *et al.*, 2008) in *in vitro* studies. However, the  
12 types of neural cells which could express CXCL10 are various under different  
13 pathological conditions (Tanuma *et al.*, 2006; van Weering *et al.*, 2011). Our results  
14 showed that increased CXCL10 expression in PAG induced by morphine treatment was  
15 localized in microglia, but not in neurons or astrocytes. Interestingly, microglia  
16 chemotactic activation was found to be down-regulated by the activation of mu opioid  
17 receptors (Chao *et al.*, 1997), which means that the activity of microglia chemotaxis  
18 could be encouraged by desensitization of mu opioid receptor after repeated morphine  
19 stimulation. Consistent with this finding, the increased expression of CXCL10 was  
20 parallel with the development of morphine tolerance, and inhibiting microglia

1 activation with minocycline could not only down-regulate CXCL10 expression in  
2 microglia, but also prevent the development of morphine tolerance. These results  
3 suggested that activated microglia participate in the development of morphine tolerance  
4 by releasing CXCL10 which could activate CXCR3 and then might induce the cellular  
5 changes of signal transduction in neurons in PAG. Besides this study, most studies have  
6 identified that inhibiting microglia could attenuate the development of morphine  
7 tolerance (Wang *et al.*, 2010b; Wen *et al.*, 2011). However, a recent study reported that  
8 intra-PAG treatment with minocycline was not sufficient to attenuate morphine  
9 tolerance (Eidson and Murphy, 2013a). The reason for this discrepancy might be the  
10 different usage of minocycline in the experimental protocols. In the study of Edison LN  
11 *et al.*, minocycline was only used in the early phase of the development of morphine  
12 tolerance (from day 1 to day 3 of morphine injection). Nevertheless, the inhibitory  
13 effect of minocycline on the formation of morphine tolerance was not observed until  
14 day 4 of morphine injection in our study, which suggests that microglia may play a role  
15 in the development of drug tolerance rather than analgesic effect of single  
16 administration of morphine. Taken together, our results indicate that CXCL10/CXCR3  
17 signaling in PAG may contribute to the development of morphine tolerance by  
18 mediating neuron-microglia interaction.

19 When morphine binds to mu opioid receptor (MOR), multiple intracellular downstream  
20 pathways could be activated. The  $G\alpha$  and  $G\beta\gamma$  subunits dissociate from one another,



1 which subsequently lead to the inhibition of cyclic-adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)  
2 formation and calcium conductance to produce the analgesic effect (Ingram and  
3 Williams, 1994; Schroeder *et al*, 1991). Previous study showed that inhibiting Gi  
4 protein could partially block the algesia induced by CXCL10, indicating that Gi protein  
5 is involved in the nociceptive signaling pathway related to CXCR3 (Ye *et al*, 2014). In  
6 the present study, our results suggested that CXCL10 and its receptor CXCR3 are  
7 involved in the development of morphine analgesic tolerance via neuron-microglia  
8 interaction in PAG. However, there is still lack of direct evidence to elucidate the  
9 mechanism of CXCL10/CXCR3 downstream signaling that may contribute to the  
10 development of morphine tolerance. Activation of several kinase transcription factor  
11 cascades may be required to mediate morphine tolerance, including  
12 calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) and cAMP response  
13 element-binding protein (CREB) in neurons and p38 and nuclear factor kappa B (NFκB)  
14 in microglia because inhibitors of CaMKII and p38 pathways could reduce the increases  
15 of phosphorylated CREB and acetylated-NFκB levels and attenuate the development of  
16 tolerance (Ammon-Treiber and Hollt, 2005; He *et al.*, 2009; Sanchez-Blazquez *et al.*,  
17 2008; Wang and Burns, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2010a; Wang *et al.*, 2010b; Wang *et al.*,  
18 2011). Chronic CXCL10 exposure could increase the phosphorylation of CREB in  
19 cultured hippocampal neurons (Bajova *et al.*, 2008), suggesting that the activation of  
20 neuronal CXCR3 induced by microglial CXCL10 may play a key role in the

1 development of morphine tolerance through CaMKII/CREB signaling. In addition,  
2 p38MAPK has also been shown to participate in neuronal CREB phosphorylation  
3 (Freeland *et al.*, 2000; Ma *et al.*, 2001) and contribute to the development of morphine  
4 tolerance by facilitating microglia activation in spinal cord (Cui *et al.*, 2006; Cui *et al.*,  
5 2008). Although detailed signaling pathways of CXCL10/CXCR3 associated with  
6 morphine analgesic tolerance have not yet been studied, some kinases related to other  
7 chemokine receptors, such as Src family-kinases which were identified to be involved  
8 in the mechanism of morphine analgesia (Rivat *et al.*, 2014), should be considered in  
9 the further research to explore the intracellular mechanisms triggered by CXCR3 in  
10 morphine tolerance.

11

## 12 **5. Conclusions**

13 In summary, our study provides a novel insight into the roles of CXCL10/CXCR3 in  
14 the development of morphine tolerance in PAG and suggests the beneficial possibility  
15 of restoring morphine antinociceptive effect by inhibiting CXCR3 activation. These  
16 findings thus implicate a new clinical strategy for preventing morphine tolerance and  
17 may contribute to expanding the morphine usage in clinic.

18

## 19 **Acknowledgement**

20 We are grateful to Amgen for kindly providing AMG487 to us.

1 **Funding:** This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science  
2 Foundation of China (No. 81471143; 81171259); 2010 Clinical Key Disciplines  
3 Construction Grant from the Ministry of Health of China. None of the authors have any  
4 conflict of interest to declare.

5

6

#### References:

7 Agostini, C., Calabrese, F., Rea, F., Facco, M., Tosoni, A., Loy, M., Binotto, G.,  
8 Valente, M., Trentin, L., Semenzato, G., 2001. Cxcr3 and its ligand CXCL10 are  
9 expressed by inflammatory cells infiltrating lung allografts and mediate chemotaxis of  
10 T cells at sites of rejection. *Am J Pathol.* 158, 1703-1711.

11

12 Ammon-Treiber, S., Hollt, V., 2005. Morphine-induced changes of gene expression in  
13 the brain. *Addict Biol.* 10, 81-89.

14

15 Bajova, H., Nelson, T.E., Gruol, D.L., 2008. Chronic CXCL10 alters the level of  
16 activated ERK1/2 and transcriptional factors CREB and NF-kB in hippocampal  
17 neuronal cell culture. *J Neuroimmunol.* 195, 36-46.

18

19 Basbaum, A.I., Fields, H.L., 1978. Endogenous pain control mechanisms: review and  
20 hypothesis. *Ann Neurol.* 4, 451-462.

1

2 Biber, K., Sauter, A., Brouwer, N., Copray, S.C., Boddeke, H.W., 2001. Ischemia-  
3 induced neuronal expression of the microglia attracting chemokine Secondary  
4 Lymphoid-tissue Chemokine (SLC). *Glia*. 34, 121-133.

5

6 Biber, K., Vinet, J., Boddeke, H.W., 2008. Neuron-microglia signaling: chemokines as  
7 versatile messengers. *J Neuroimmunol*. 198, 69-74.

8

9 Brack, A., Rittner, H.L., Machelska, H., Leder, K., Mousa, S.A., Schafer, M., Stein, C.,  
10 2004. Control of inflammatory pain by chemokine-mediated recruitment of opioid-  
11 containing polymorphonuclear cells. *Pain* 112, 229–238.

12

13 Bu, H., Shu, B., Gao, F., Liu, C., Guan, X., Ke, C., Cao, F., Hinton, A.J., Xiang, H.,  
14 Yang, H., Tian, X., Tian, Y., 2014. Spinal IFN-gamma-induced protein-10 (CXCL10)  
15 mediates metastatic breast cancer-induced bone pain by activation of microglia in rat  
16 models. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 143, 255-263.

17

18 Chao, C.C., Hu, S., Shark, K.B., Sheng, W.S., Gekker, G., Peterson, P.K., 1997.  
19 Activation of Mu Opioid Receptors Inhibits Microglial Cell Chemotaxis. *J Pharmacol*  
20 *Exp Ther*. 281, 998-1004.

1

2 Chen, X., Geller, E. B., Rogers, T. J., Adler, M. W., 2007. The chemokine  
3 CX3CL1/fractalkine interferes with the antinociceptive effect induced by opioid  
4 agonists in the periaqueductal grey of rats. *Brain Res.* 1153,52-57.

5

6 Chieng, B., Christie, M.J., 1994. Inhibition by opioids acting on mu-receptors of  
7 GABAergic and glutamatergic postsynaptic potentials in single rat periaqueductal gray  
8 neurones in vitro. *Br J Pharmacol.* 113, 303-309.

9

10 Commons, K.G., Aicher, S.A., Kow, L.-M., Pfaff, D.W., 2000. Presynaptic and  
11 postsynaptic relations of  $\mu$ -opioid receptors to  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid-immunoreactive  
12 and medullary-projecting periaqueductal gray neurons. *J Comp Neurol.* 419, 532-542.

13

14 Cui, Y., Chen, Y., Zhi, J.L., Guo, R.X., Feng, J.Q., Chen, P.X., 2006. Activation of p38  
15 mitogen-activated protein kinase in spinal microglia mediates morphine antinociceptive  
16 tolerance. *Brain Res.* 1069, 235-243.

17

18 Cui, Y., Liao, X., Liu, W., Guo, R., Wu, Z., Zhao, C., Chen, P., Feng, J., 2008. A novel  
19 role of minocycline: Attenuating morphine antinociceptive tolerance by inhibition of  
20 p38 MAPK in the activated spinal microglia. *Brain Behav Immun.* 22, 114-123.

1

2 Eidson, L.N., Murphy, A.Z., 2013a. Blockade of Toll-like receptor 4 attenuates  
3 morphine tolerance and facilitates the pain relieving properties of morphine. *J Neurosci.*  
4 33, 15952-15963.

5

6 Eidson, L.N., Murphy, A.Z., 2013b. Persistent peripheral inflammation attenuates  
7 morphine-induced periaqueductal gray glial cell activation and analgesic tolerance in  
8 the male rat. *J Pain.* 14, 393-404.

9

10 Ferrini, F., Trang, T., Mattioli, T.A., Laffray, S., Del'Guidice, T., Lorenzo, L.E.,  
11 Castonguay, A., Doyon, N., Zhang, W., Godin, A.G., Mohr, D., Beggs, S., Vandal, K.,  
12 Beaulieu, J.M., Cahill, C.M., Salter, M.W., De Koninck, Y., 2013. Morphine  
13 hyperalgesia gated through microglia-mediated disruption of neuronal Cl(-)  
14 homeostasis. *Nat Neurosci.* 16, 183-192.

15

16 Freeland, K., Liu, Y.Z., Latchman, D.S., 2000. Distinct signaling pathways mediate the  
17 cAMP response element (CRE)-dependent activation of the calcitonin gene-related  
18 peptide gene promoter by cAMP and nerve growth factor. *Biochem J.* 345 Pt 2, 233-  
19 238.

20

1 Guan, X.H., Fu, Q.C., Shi, D., Bu, H.L., Song, Z.P., Xiong, B.R., Shu, B., Xiang, H.B.,  
2 Xu, B., Manyande, A., Cao, F., Tian, Y.K., 2015. Activation of spinal chemokine  
3 receptor CXCR3 mediates bone cancer pain through an Akt-ERK crosstalk pathway in  
4 rats. *Exp Neurol.* 263, 39-49.

5

6 Harris, T.H., Banigan, E.J., Christian, D.A., Konradt, C., Tait, W.E., Norose, K., Wilson,  
7 E.H., John, B., Weninger, W., Luster, A.D., Liu, A.J., Hunter, C.A., 2012. Generalized  
8 Levy walks and the role of chemokines in migration of effector CD8+ T cells. *Nature.*  
9 486, 545-548.

10

11 He, L., Kim, J.A., Whistler, J.L., 2009. Biomarkers of morphine tolerance and  
12 dependence are prevented by morphine-induced endocytosis of a mutant mu-opioid  
13 receptor. *FASEB J.* 23, 4327-4334.

14

15 Horvath, R.J., Romero-Sandoval, E.A., De Leo, J.A., 2010. Inhibition of microglial  
16 P2X4 receptors attenuates morphine tolerance, Iba1, GFAP and mu opioid receptor  
17 protein expression while enhancing perivascular microglial ED2. *Pain.* 150, 401-413.

18

19 Ingram, S.L., Williams, J.T., 1994. Opioid inhibition of I<sub>h</sub> via adenylyl cyclase. *Neuron.*  
20 13, 179-186.

1

2 Johnston, I.N., Milligan, E.D., Wieseler-Frank, J., Frank, M.G., Zapata, V., Campisi, J.,  
3 Langer, S., Martin, D., Green, P., Fleshner, M., Leinwand, L., Maier, S.F., Watkins,  
4 L.R., 2004. A role for proinflammatory cytokines and fractalkine in analgesia, tolerance,  
5 and subsequent pain facilitation induced by chronic intrathecal morphine. *J Neurosci.*  
6 *24*, 7353-7365.

7

8 Kong, F., Chen, S., Cheng, Y., Ma, L., Lu, H., Zhang, H., Hu, W., 2013. Minocycline  
9 attenuates cognitive impairment induced by isoflurane anesthesia in aged rats. *PLoS*  
10 *One.* *8*, e61385.

11

12 Liu, X., Bu, H., Liu, C., Gao, F., Yang, H., Tian, X., Xu, A., Chen, Z., Cao, F., Tian,  
13 Y., 2012. Inhibition of glial activation in rostral ventromedial medulla attenuates  
14 mechanical allodynia in a rat model of cancer-induced bone pain. *J Huazhong Univ Sci*  
15 *Technolog Med Sci.* *32*, 291-298.

16

17 Loetscher, M., Loetscher, P., Brass, N., Meese, E., Moser, B., 1998. Lymphocyte-  
18 specific chemokine receptor CXCR3: regulation, chemokine binding and gene  
19 localization. *Eur J Immunol.* *28*, 3696-3705.

20



1 Ma, W., Zheng, W.H., Powell, K., Jhamandas, K., Quirion, R., 2001. Chronic morphine  
2 exposure increases the phosphorylation of MAP kinases and the transcription factor  
3 CREB in dorsal root ganglion neurons: an in vitro and in vivo study. *Eur J Neurosci.*  
4 14, 1091-1104.

5

6 Machelska, H., 2007. Targeting of opioid-producing leukocytes for pain control.  
7 *Neuropeptides*, 41, 355-363.

8

9 Martini, L., Whistler, J.L., 2007. The role of mu opioid receptor desensitization and  
10 endocytosis in morphine tolerance and dependence. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 17, 556-564.

11

12 Masse, F., Petit-Demouliere, B., Dubois, I., Hascoet, M., Bourin, M., 2008. Anxiolytic-  
13 like effects of DOI microinjections into the hippocampus (but not the amygdala nor the  
14 PAG) in the mice four plates test. *Behav Brain Res.* 188, 291-297.

15

16 Müller, M., Carter, S., Hofer, M.J., Campbell, I.L., 2010. Review: The chemokine  
17 receptor CXCR3 and its ligands CXCL9, CXCL10 and CXCL11 in neuroimmunity – a  
18 tale of conflict and conundrum. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol.* 36, 368-387.

19

20 Oh, S.B., Tran, P.B., Gillard, S.E., Hurley, R.W., Hammond, D.L., Miller, R.J., 2001.

1 Chemokines and glycoprotein 120 produce pain hypersensitivity by directly exciting  
2 primary nociceptive neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 21, 5027–5035.

3

4 Old, E.A., Malcangio, M., 2012. Chemokine mediated neuron-glia communication and  
5 aberrant signaling in neuropathic pain states. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* 12, 67-73.

6

7 Rappert, A., Bechmann, I., Pivneva, T., Mahlo, J., Biber, K., Nolte, C., Kovac, A.D.,  
8 Gerard, C., Boddeke, H.W., Nitsch, R., Kettenmann, H., 2004. CXCR3-dependent  
9 microglial recruitment is essential for dendrite loss after brain lesion. *J Neurosci.* 24,  
10 8500-8509.

11

12 Rivat, C., Sebaihi, S., Van Steenwinckel, J., Fouquet, S., Kitabgi, P., Pohl, M., Melik  
13 Parsadaniantz, S., Reaux-Le Goazigo, A., 2014. Src family kinases involved in  
14 CXCL12-induced loss of acute morphine analgesia. *Brain Behav Immun.* 38, 38-52.  
15 doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2013.11.010.

16

17 Sanchez-Blazquez, P., Rodriguez-Munoz, M., Montero, C., de la Torre-Madrid, E.,  
18 Garzon, J., 2008. Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II supports morphine  
19 antinociceptive tolerance by phosphorylation of glycosylated phosphducin-like protein.  
20 *Neuropharmacology.* 54, 319-330.

1

2 Schmittgen, T.D., Livak, K.J., 2008. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative  
3 C(T) method. *Nat Protoc.* 3, 1101-1108.

4

5 Schroeder, J.E., Fischbach, P.S., Zheng, D., McCleskey E.W., 1991. Activation of  $\mu$   
6 opioid receptors inhibits transient high- and low-threshold  $Ca^{2+}$  currents, but spares a  
7 sustained current. *Neuron.* 6, 13-20.

8

9 Shen, Q., Zhang, R., Bhat, N.R., 2006. MAP kinase regulation of IP10/CXCL10  
10 chemokine gene expression in microglial cells. *Brain Res.* 1086, 9-16.

11

12 Sorensen, T.L., Trebst, C., Kivisakk, P., Klaege, K.L., Majmudar, A., Ravid, R.,  
13 Lassmann, H., Olsen, D.B., Strieter, R.M., Ransohoff, R.M., Sellebjerg, F., 2002.  
14 Multiple sclerosis: a study of CXCL10 and CXCR3 co-localization in the inflamed  
15 central nervous system. *J Neuroimmunol.* 127, 59-68.

16

17 Stellwagen, D., Beattie, E.C., Seo, J.Y., Malenka, R.C., 2005. Differential Regulation  
18 of AMPA Receptor and GABA Receptor Trafficking by Tumor Necrosis Factor- $\alpha$ . *J*  
19 *Neurosci.* 25, 3219.

20

1 Sui, Y., Stehno-Bittel, L., Li, S., Loganathan, R., Dhillon, N.K., Pinson, D., Nath, A.,  
2 Kolson, D., Narayan, O., Buch, S., 2006. CXCL10-induced cell death in neurons: role  
3 of calcium dysregulation. *Eur J Neurosci.* 23, 957-964.  
4  
5 Tai, Y.H., Wang, Y.H., Tsai, R.Y., Wang, J.J., Tao, P.L., Liu, T.M., Wang, Y.C., Wong,  
6 C.S., 2007. Amitriptyline preserves morphine's antinociceptive effect by regulating the  
7 glutamate transporter GLAST and GLT-1 trafficking and excitatory amino acids  
8 concentration in morphine-tolerant rats. *Pain.* 129, 343-354.  
9  
10 Tanuma, N., Sakuma, H., Sasaki, A., Matsumoto, Y., 2006. Chemokine expression by  
11 astrocytes plays a role in microglia/macrophage activation and subsequent  
12 neurodegeneration in secondary progressive multiple sclerosis. *Acta Neuropathol.* 112,  
13 195-204.  
14  
15 Tikka, T., Fiebich, B.L., Goldsteins, G., Keinanen, R., Koistinaho, J., 2001.  
16 Minocycline, a tetracycline derivative, is neuroprotective against excitotoxicity by  
17 inhibiting activation and proliferation of microglia. *J Neurosci.* 21, 2580-2588.  
18  
19 van Weering, H.R., Boddeke, H.W., Vinet, J., Brouwer, N., de Haas, A.H., van Rooijen,  
20 N., Thomsen, A.R., Biber, K.P., 2011. CXCL10/CXCR3 signaling in glia cells

1 differentially affects NMDA-induced cell death in CA and DG neurons of the mouse  
2 hippocampus. *Hippocampus*. 21, 220-232.

3

4 Wang, H.Y., Burns, L.H., 2009. Naloxone's pentapeptide binding site on filamin A  
5 blocks Mu opioid receptor-Gs coupling and CREB activation of acute morphine. *PLoS*  
6 *One*. 4, e4282.

7

8 Wang, Z., Ma, W., Chabot, JG., Quirion, R., 2010a. Calcitonin gene-related peptide as  
9 a regulator of neuronal CaMKII-CREB, microglial p38-NFκB and astroglial ERK-  
10 Stat1/3 cascades mediating the development of tolerance to morphine-induced  
11 analgesia. *Pain*. 151, 194-205. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2010.07.006.

12

13 Wang, Z., Ma, W., Chabot, JG., Quirion, R., 2010b. Morphological evidence for the  
14 involvement of microglial p38 activation in CGRP-associated development of  
15 morphine antinociceptive tolerance. *Peptides*. 31, 2179-2184.

16

17 Wang, Z., Chabot, JG., Quirion, R., 2011. On the possible role of ERK, p38 and  
18 CaMKII in the regulation of CGRP expression in morphine-tolerant rats. *Mol Pain*. 7,  
19 68. doi: 10.1186/1744-8069-7-68.

20

1 Watkins, L.R., Hutchinson, M.R., Rice, K.C., Maier, S.F., 2009. The “Toll” of Opioid-  
2 Induced Glial Activation: Improving the Clinical Efficacy of Opioids by Targeting Glia.  
3 Trends Pharmacol Sci. 30, 581-591.

4

5 Wei, F., Guo, W., Zou, S., Ren, K., Dubner, R., 2008. Supraspinal glial-neuronal  
6 interactions contribute to descending pain facilitation. J Neurosci. 28, 10482-10495.

7

8 Wen, Y., Tan, P., Cheng, J., Liu, Y., Ji, R., 2011. Role of microglia in neuropathic pain,  
9 postoperative pain, and morphine tolerance. J Formos Med Assoc. 110, 487-494.

10

11 Wenzel, J., Wiechert, A., Merkel, C., Bieber, T., Tuting, T., 2007. IP10/CXCL10 -  
12 CXCR3 interaction: a potential self-recruiting mechanism for cytotoxic lymphocytes in  
13 lichen sclerosus et atrophicus. Acta Derm Venereol. 87, 112-117.

14

15 Williams, J.T., Ingram, S.L., Henderson, G., Chavkin, C., von Zastrow, M., Schulz, S.,  
16 Koch, T., Evans, C.J., Christie, M.J., 2013. Regulation of mu-opioid receptors:  
17 desensitization, phosphorylation, internalization, and tolerance. Pharmacol Rev. 65,  
18 223-254.

19

20 Xia, M.Q., Bacskai, B.J., Knowles, R.B., Qin, S.X., Hyman, B.T., 2000. Expression of

1 the chemokine receptor CXCR3 on neurons and the elevated expression of its ligand  
2 IP-10 in reactive astrocytes: in vitro ERK1/2 activation and role in Alzheimer's disease.  
3 J Neuroimmunol. 108, 227-235.

4

5 Ye, D., Bu, H., Guo, G., Shu, B., Wang, W., Guan, X., Yang, H., Tian, X., Xiang, H.,  
6 Gao, F., 2014. Activation of CXCL10/CXCR3 Signaling Attenuates Morphine  
7 Analgesia: Involvement of Gi Protein. J Mol Neurosci. 53, 571-579.

8

9 Zhou, D., Chen, M.L., Zhang, Y.Q., Zhao, Z.Q., 2010. Involvement of spinal microglial  
10 P2X7 receptor in generation of tolerance to morphine analgesia in rats. J Neurosci. 30,  
11 8042-8047.

12

13 Zhou, Q., Wang, J., Zhang, X., Zeng, L., Wang, L., Jiang, W., 2013. Effect of  
14 metabotropic glutamate 5 receptor antagonists on morphine efficacy and tolerance in  
15 rats with neuropathic pain. Eur J Pharmacol. 718, 17-23.

16

17 **Figure 1.**

18 Enhanced expression of CXCR3 in PAG during the development of morphine tolerance.

19 **A.** Pain thresholds of mice were assessed using paw withdrawal thresholds to  
20 mechanical pressure. The decreased paw withdrawal thresholds in morphine-treated

1 mice indicated the successful establishment of morphine tolerance. Repeated  
2 measurement two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni *post-hoc* test, \*  $P < 0.05$  vs.  
3 saline.  $n = 7$  in each group. **B** and **C**. The mRNA and protein levels of CXCR3 were  
4 measured by real-time PCR and western blots, respectively. The expressions of CXCR3  
5 were gradually increased along with repeated morphine administration. One-way  
6 ANOVA followed by Bonferroni *post-hoc* test, \*\*  $P < 0.01$  vs. D0.  $n = 5$  in each group.  
7 **D**. Double immunostaining of CXCR3 and cell-specific markers in PAG. The merge  
8 showed that CXCR3 was localized in NeuN positive neurons (indicated by arrows).  
9 Scale bars: 100  $\mu\text{m}$ .

10

11 **Figure 2.**

12 Repeated morphine treatment increased microglia-derived CXCL10 production in PAG.  
13 **A**. Western blot analysis showed that repeated morphine treatment increased the  
14 expression of CXCL10 on day 3 and day 7. One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni  
15 post-hoc test, \*  $P < 0.05$  vs. saline. \*\*  $P < 0.01$  vs. saline.  $n = 5$  in each group. **B**.  
16 Double immunostaining of CXCL10 and cell-specific markers in morphine-treated  
17 mice. CXCL10 was localized in Iba-1 positive microglia (indicated by arrows). Scale  
18 bars: 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . **C** and **D**. Immunostaining of Iba-1 in morphine-treated mice. The  
19 densities of Iba-1 positive microglia significantly increased along with the development  
20 of morphine tolerance. Scale bars: 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni



1 *post-hoc* test, \*\*  $P < 0.01$  vs. D0.  $n = 5$  in each group.

2

3 **Figure 3.**

4 CXCL10 and CXCR3 participated in the development of morphine tolerance. **A.** The  
5 decreases of paw withdrawal thresholds in morphine-treated mice were attenuated by  
6 pre-treatment with AMG487 in a dose-related manner. Repeated measurement two-way  
7 ANOVA followed by Bonferroni *post-hoc* test, \*  $P < 0.05$  vs. sham. #  $P < 0.05$  vs.  
8 morphine.  $n = 7$  in each group. **B.** Pre-treatment with rmCXCL10 could decrease  
9 morphine antinociceptive effect from day 1 to day 3 and accelerate the development of  
10 morphine tolerance in mice, while co-administration of AMG487 could attenuate the  
11 effect of rmCXCL10. Repeated measurement ANOVA followed by Bonferroni *post-*  
12 *hoc* test, \*  $P < 0.05$  vs. sham. #  $P < 0.05$  vs. morphine.  $n = 7$  in each group.

13

14 **Figure 4.**

15 Inhibition of microglia activation attenuated CXCL10 expression and morphine  
16 tolerance. **A.** Intra-PAG treatment of minocycline (10 pmol) 30 min before morphine  
17 injection attenuated the development of morphine tolerance, whereas the effect of  
18 minocycline was inhibited by pre-treatment with rmCXCL10. Repeated measurement  
19 ANOVA followed by Bonferroni *post-hoc* test, \*  $P < 0.05$  vs. morphine. #  $P < 0.05$  vs.  
20 Minocycline + Morphine.  $n = 7$  in each group. **B.** Western blot analysis showed that

1 morphine treatment could increase CXCL10 expression. Pre-treatment with  
2 minocycline before morphine injection could down-regulate CXCL10 expression. One-  
3 way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni *post-hoc* test, \*  $P < 0.05$ , \*\*  $P < 0.01$  vs. saline.  
4 ##  $P < 0.01$  vs. Morphine.  $n = 5$  in each group. **C** and **D**. Immunostaining of Iba-1 in  
5 PAG. Minocycline could inhibit the expression of Iba-1 and rmCXCL10 could not alter  
6 the effect of minocycline. Scale bars: 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . One-way ANOVA followed by  
7 Bonferroni *post-hoc* test, \*\*  $P < 0.01$  vs. saline. ##  $P < 0.01$  vs. Morphine.  $n = 5$  in each  
8 group. **E**. Western blot analysis showed that morphine-induced CXCR3 expression  
9 could be attenuated by AMG487 but not by minocycline. One-way ANOVA followed  
10 by Bonferroni *post-hoc* test, \*\*  $P < 0.01$  vs. saline. ##  $P < 0.01$  vs. Morphine.  $n = 5$  in  
11 each group.