RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Pichia pastoris versus Saccharomyces cerevisiae: a case study on the recombinant production of human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor

Anh-Minh Tran^{1†}, Thanh-Thao Nguyen^{1†}, Cong-Thuan Nguyen¹, Xuan-Mai Huynh-Thi¹, Cao-Tri Nguyen¹, Minh-Thuong Trinh¹, Linh-Thuoc Tran¹, Stephanie P. Cartwright², Roslyn M. Bill² and Hieu Tran-Van^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (rhGM-CSF) is a glycoprotein that has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of neutropenia and leukemia in combination with chemotherapies. Recombinant hGM-CSF is produced industrially using the baker's yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, by large-scale fermentation. The methylotrophic yeast, Pichia pastoris, has emerged as an alternative host cell system due to its shorter and less immunogenic glycosylation pattern together with higher cell density growth and higher secreted protein yield than S. cerevisiae. In this study, we compared the pipeline from gene to recombinant protein in these two yeasts.

Results: Codon optimization *in silico* for both yeast species showed no difference in frequent codon usage. However, rhGM-CSF expressed from S. cerevisiae BY4742 showed a significant discrepancy in molecular weight from those of P. pastoris X33. Analysis showed purified rhGM-CSF species with molecular weights ranging from 30 to more than 60 kDa. Fed-batch fermentation over 72 h showed that rhGM-CSF was more highly secreted from P. pastoris than S. cerevisiae (285 and 64 mg total secreted protein/L, respectively). Ion exchange chromatography gave higher purity and recovery than hydrophobic interaction chromatography. Purified rhGM-CSF from *P. pastoris* was 327 times more potent than rhGM-CSF from S. cerevisiae in terms of proliferative stimulating capacity on the hGM-CSF-dependent cell line, TF-1.

Conclusion: Our data support a view that the methylotrophic yeast *P. pastoris* is an effective recombinant host for heterologous rhGM-CSF production.

Keywords: S. cerevisiae, P. pastoris, rhGM-CSF, Fermentation, Bioactivity, TF-1 cell

Background

Human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (hGM-CSF), a glycosylated cytokine, plays a vital role in proliferation and differentiation of granulocytes and macrophages from bone marrow progenitor cells [1].

*Correspondence: tvhieu@hcmus.edu.vn



hGM-CSF comprises 127 amino acids. The presence of 2 N- and 4 O-glycosylation sites leads to different glycoforms with molecular weights ranging from 14 to 60 kDa [3]. The glycosylation of the protein affects its



© The Author(s) 2017. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

[†]Anh-Minh Tran and Thanh-Thao Nguyen contributed equally to this work ¹ Faculty of Biology and Biotechnology, University of Science, VNU-HCM, Ho Chi Minh, Vietnam

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

pharmacokinetics, in vivo half-life, immunogenicity and cytotoxicity [3].

rhGM-CSF is produced from bacteria, baker yeast or mammalian hosts [5]. rhGM-CSF produced in *Escherichia coli* is biologically active but unstable in human plasma. It induces an immune reaction because N-formyl methionine (fMet) is its first amino acid. rhGM-CSF produced in mammalian hosts has a similar glycosylation pattern to the native human protein, but production rates are slow [6, 7]. The product from baker's yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, is glycosylated and approved by the FDA for the treatment of neutropenia and leukemia in combination with chemo- or radio-therapy for cancer or transplantation patients. The drawbacks of using *S. cerevisiae* are hyper-glycosylated products and low cell density growth.

The methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris* has emerged as an alternative host due to its shorter and less immunogenic glycans, higher density cell growth and higher secreted protein yields than *S. cerevisiae*. In this study, we show that *P. pastoris* secretes a higher yield of more active recombinant rhGM-CSF than *S. cerevisiae*.

Methods

In silico codon optimization

hGM-CSF coding sequences were from Gene Bank (Accession M11220). *In silico* codon optimization was done using DNA 2.0 software with *P. pastoris* and *S. cerevisiae* codon tables and optimized parameters [8]. The optimization evaluation was done via http://www.gcua.schoedl.de with low (<20%) frequency codon usage displayed as hatched bars and very low (<10%) frequency codon usage as white bars.

Expression of rhGM-CSF in P. pastoris and S. cerevisiae

Recombinant P. pastoris and S. cerevisiae rhGM-CSF clones were expressed as described previously [9, 10]. The expression of the rhGM-CSF was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immuno blot probed with an antibody against hGM-CSF (LifeSpan BioScience). Briefly, recombinant S. cerevisiae strain BY4742/hgm-csf was cultured in selective CSM-ura medium (6.7 g/L yeast nitrogen base with ammonium sulphate, 0.1 M sodium phosphate, supplemented with amino acids lacking uracil [11]) supplemented with 2% glucose until the OD_{600} of the culture was 0.5-1. The cells were then harvested and transferred into CSMG-ura medium (CSM supplemented with 20 g/L galactose) with shaking at 230 rpm at 30 °C. The pH 6.0 of each medium was stabilized by 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer. The sample supernatants were collected after 72 h of induction and stored for further analysis.

Recombinant *P. pastoris* X33::*hgm-csf* was grown in 10 mL BMGY medium (2% peptone, 1% yeast extract, 0.34% yeast nitrogen base, 1% ammonium sulfate, 1% glycerol, and 0.4 mg/L biotin, buffered with 1/10 volume of pH 6.0 potassium phosphate buffer) at 30°C with constant shaking at 250 rpm until the culture reached an OD_{600} of 2–4. The cells were harvested and re-suspended in 10 mL BMMY (0.5% methanol is substituted for 1% glycerol in BMGY) with the same growth conditions. For induction, methanol was added every 24 h to a final concentration of 0.5%. After 72 h, culture supernatants were collected by centrifugation for further analysis.

Fermentation of recombinant P. pastoris and S. cerevisiae

Recombinant yeast S. cerevisiae strain BY4742/hgmcsf was batch-cultured in selective CSM(-ura) medium, pH 5.0 supplemented with 2% glucose until the culture reached an OD_{600} of 0.5 to 1, and then transferred into 3.18 L YP medium to a final OD_{600} of 0.5 in a 5 L LiFlus-GX fermenting vessel system (Biotron). Others growth parameters were temperature at 30 °C, aeration rate 1.5 vvm (through a filter), and agitation speed 720 rpm. Every 24 h, 40 g/L galactose was added at a rate of 6.7 mL/h. Samples were harvested at every 4 h and analyzed. Growth of the yeast cultures was monitored by optical density measurements at 600 nm. Protein secretion in the fermented medium was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and the protein concentration was determined using Bradford's method [12]. The supernatant was stored at -20 °C for later purification.

For *P. pastoris* expression [13], a flask containing 150 mL BMGY containing 0.4 µg/mL biotin was inoculated from a frozen glycerol stock. The inoculum seed flask was grown at 30 °C, 250 rpm, and 24 h until $OD_{600} = 2-6$. A sterilized fermenter containing 2.5 L Fermentation Basal Salts medium supplemented with 4% glycerol and 11 mL PTM₁ trace salts was prepared, the pH adjusted to 5.0 with ammonium hydroxide, the temperature set to 30 °C, agitation at 750 rpm and aeration to 5.0 vvm air. The culture in the inoculum seed flask was completely transferred into the fermenter in which the batch culture was grown for 24 h, until the glycerol was completely consumed. Glycerol feeding was initiated for about 4 h at a feed rate to 18.15 mL/h 50% w/v glycerol containing 1.2% v/v PTM₁ trace salts. Methanol feeding was then initiated for 72 h at a feed rate of 100% methanol containing 1.2% v/v PTM₁ trace salts as follows: 9 mL/h for 3 h; 18 mL/h for 3 h and then 27 mL/h. The supernatant was analyzed by SDS-PAGE every 4 h and the protein concentration was determined using Bradford's method [12]. The supernatant was stored at -20 °C for later purification.

Ion exchange chromatography and hydrophobic interaction chromatography purification of recombinant rhGM-CSF

rhGM-CSF was purified using hydrophobic interaction chromatography. A HiPrep Phenyl Fast Flow column was equilibrated in buffer A [$(NH_4)_2SO_4$ 2 M, CH_3COONa 20 mM, pH 5.0]. Approximately 20 mL of filtered supernatant was loaded onto the column at 1 mL/min. The column was then washed with 10 column volumes of buffer B. The bound protein was subsequently eluted with buffer B (Na_2HPO_4 10.14 mM; KH_2PO_4 1.76 mM; NaCl 136.89 mM; KCl 2.68 mM, pH 7.4) in a step-wise manner, ranging from 5, 10, 20, 40, 60 and 100% buffer B. The target fractions were identified by SDS-PAGE. The protein was eluted maximally at 10 to 20% buffer B.

rhGM-CSF was alternatively purified using anion exchange chromatography at pH 7.5. A HiTrap Q Sepharose Fast Flow column was equilibrated in 50 mM Tris-HCl. Approximately 20 mL of filtered and desalted supernatant was loaded onto the column at 1 mL/min. The column was then washed with 10 column volumes of 50 mM Tris-HCl. The bound protein was subsequently



eluted in a step-wise manner with the NaCl concentration optimized over a range of 0-1 M NaCl in 50 mM Tris-HCl. The target fractions were identified by SDS-PAGE. The protein was eluted maximally at 0.1-0.2 M NaCl.

Bioactivity of purified rhGM-CSF

Purified rhGM-CSF from each yeast species was added to 1×10^5 cells/mL of TF-1 (a GM-CSF dependent cell line) in RPMI plus 10% FBS to obtain a final rhGM-CSF concentration ranging from 2×10^4 to 2×10^{-1} pg/mL. 100 µL of each combination was aliquoted into a 96-well plate and cultured for 72 h in a 5% CO₂ incubator. The proliferation of TF-1 cells was measured by using a CCK-8 kit at OD 450 nm according to the manufacturer's instructions. Crude supernatants from *P. pastoris* and *S. cerevisiae* served as controls. LU (Laboratory unit) was calculated as follows:

$$LU \text{ (units/mg)} = \frac{1 \times 10^9}{ED50}$$

Results

In silico optimization removes low frequency codon usage in both yeasts

In contrast to the native human sequence, both *in silico*optimized sequences show a high relative adaptiveness (Fig. 1, right column). These low (<20%, hatched bars) or very low (<10%, white bars) frequency codons were substituted for higher frequency codons. The optimization step did not introduce restriction enzyme(s) to the optimized sequences (data not show) which facilitated the subsequent cloning steps.

Differences in the expression profile of rhGM-CSF in the two yeast species

The expression profile of rhGM-CSF was analyzed using SDS-PAGE and probed with a specific antibody against hGM-CSF. The induction of *P. pastoris* with methanol led to the expression of several proteins (Fig. 2). Probing with a specific antibody showed the majority of the expressed rhGM-CSF ranged in size from 28–43 kDa with two minor bands at 17.8 and 24 kDa. In contrast, following induction of recombinant *S. cerevisiae* cells with galactose, there was no difference compared to control cells (Fig. 3). Probing with a specific antibody showed the majority of expressed rhGM-CSF ranged in size from 30 to 67 kDa.

Recombinant hGM-CSF is highly secreted from P. pastoris

Time-course expression showed an accumulation of rhGM-CSF (Fig. 4). In the last 72 h, the secreted protein/ OD_{600} ratio was dramatically increased in *P. pastoris*. The cultures grew to a very high density of 134 OD units over



the time course compared to 32 OD units for *S. cerevisiae* (Fig. 5). Consequently, this led to a higher total secreted protein yield per mL culture medium at 72 h post-induction (285 mg for *P. pastoris* vs 64 mg for *S. cerevisiae*).

Ion exchange chromatography is more efficient in recovery of rhGM-CSF than hydrophobic interaction chromatography

Recombinant hGM-CSF produced in *P. pastoris* was eluted maximally at 10–20% buffer phosphate. The purity was 85.2% and the recovery yield was 53.8% (Fig. 6







and Table 1). In contrast, using ion exchange chromatography, rhGM-CSF was eluted with 10 and 20% elution buffer; the purity ranged from 92 to 95%, and the recovery yield was 36–56% (Fig. 6 and Table 1). Collectively, ion exchange chromatography was more efficient in recovery of rhGM-CSF than hydrophobic interaction chromatography. A similar result was also obtained for rhGM-CSF produced in *S. cerevisiae* (data not shown and Table 1).

Recombinant hGM-CSF produced from *P. pastoris* shows high bioactivity on a GM-CSF-dependent cell line

The purity of a sample strongly affects its bioactivity. Thus to precisely evaluate bioactivity, rhGM-CSF produced from both yeasts was only tested with a purity above 80%. These samples were evaluated using a GM-CSF-dependent cell line, namely TF-1. The response curves showed a typical sigmoidal cytokine response. To compare the responses, effective dose 50 (ED₅₀) (pg/mL) and laboratory unit (LU) (units/mg) were calculated from each curve as shown in Table 2. The ED₅₀ of purified rhGM-CSF increased on going from protein



X33::hgm-csf induced with methanol or S. cerevisiae BY4742/pYES2hgm-csf induced with galactose was monitored over 72 h time course with 4 h intervals. Data are representative of three independent experiments

Sample	GM-CSF from <i>P.</i> pastoris (IEC) 10%	GM-CSF from <i>P.</i> pastoris (IEC) 20%	GM-CSF from <i>P.</i> pastoris (HIC)	GM-CSF from <i>S.</i> <i>cerevisiae</i> (IEC) 10%	GM-CSF from <i>S.</i> <i>cerevisiae</i> (IEC) 20%	GM-CSF from S. cerevisiae (HIC)
Purity (%)	92.7	95.2	85.2	95.6	64.22	42.9
Purification yield (%)	56.9	35.9	53.8	21.0	30.8	0.5

Table 1 Purification yield of rhGM-CSF from P. pastoris and S. cerevisiae using IEC with % elution buffer or HIC

Table 2 Bioactivity of purified rhGM-CSF from P. pastoris and S. cerevisiae using IEC or HIC

	ED50 (pg/mL)	LU (units/mg)	Response curve range (OD unit)
GM-CSF from <i>P. pastoris</i> (IEC)	84.5	11.8 × 10 ⁶	0.26
GM-CSF from <i>P. pastoris</i> (HIC)	198.7	5.0×10^{6}	0.32
GM-CSF from <i>P. pastoris</i> (crude)	1876	0.53×10^{6}	0.06
GM-CSF from S. cerevisiae (IEC)	27,880	0.36×10^5	0.49
GM-CSF from S. cerevisiae (crude)	37,238	0.27×10^5	0.05

produced using *P. pastoris* and IEC, *P. pastoris* and HIC, *S. cerevisiae* and IEC to *S. cerevisiae* and HIC. Notably, purified rhGM-CSF from *P. pastoris* using IEC had higher purity than protein from *P. pastoris* using HIC (Fig. 7).

Discussion

Four publications describe rhGM-CSF expression in three different yeast systems, including the well-known yeasts *S. cerevisiae*, *P. pastoris* and *Yarrowia lipolytica* [14–17], but none conducts a head-to-head comparison. In the present study, we used an *in silico*-optimized synthetic hGM-CSF gene in two yeast expression vectors.

The expression patterns were similar to those previously published [14, 15, 17].

Using fed-batch fermentation, we obtained yields of rhGM-CSF of 9.5 and 180.3 mg/L from *S. cerevisiae* and *P. pastoris* respectively. The latter is higher than has been previously described [17], possibly because we used single-copy rather than high-copy integration. All published protocols on the purification of rhGM-CSF combine two different purification steps to get above 95% purity [14–17]. In our study, we used a one-step procedure to obtain greater than 95% purity.

The activity of purified rhGM-CSF from *S. cerevisiae* on TF-1 cells was 0.36×10^5 LU/mg, which is lower than that of the commercially available drug Leukine (rhGM-CSF).







The discrepancy could be due to the glycosylation moiety added to rhGM-CSF [3] which in turn could have an effect on its bioactivity. The drug Leukine contains a non-glyco-sylated rhGM-CSF in contrast to our purified, glycosylated rhGM-CSF. Purified rhGM-CSF from *P. pastoris* had an activity of 1.2×10^7 LU/mg. This activity is almost equal to the standard sample announced by WHO [18] and is two times higher than that of Leukine. Compared to previous data [17], our purified rhGM-CSF is 15 times less active. When compared with our *E. coli*-derived rhGM-CSF (non-glycosylated), our data show that rhGM-CSF is 1.5 times lower than that of *E. coli* origin (unpublished data). With the same purity, rhGM–CSF from *S. cerevisiae* was 327 times less active than that from *P. pastoris*.

Conclusion

In this study, we have been able to compare the pipeline from gene to recombinant protein between *S. cerevisiae* and *P. pastoris*. Our results suggest that rhGM-CSF expressed in *P. pastoris* is more active than the same protein from *S. cerevisiae*. To our knowledge, the data presented here are the first head-to-head comparison of *S. cerevisiae* and *P. pastoris* as host cells for rhGM-CSF production.

Additional files

Additional file 1. Results of fed-batch fermentation process of *P. pastoris* X33::hgmcsf and S. cerevisiae BY4742/pYES2-hgmcsf.

Additional file 2. Results of bioactivity analysis of purified hGM-CSF derived from *P. pastoris* X33::*hgmcsf* and *S. cerevisiae* BY4742/ pYES2-*hgmcsf*.

Abbreviations

rhGM-CSF: recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor; FDA: Food and Drug Administration; TF-1: human erythroleukemic cell

line; SDS-PAGE: sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; OD: optical density; LU: laboratory unit; ED50: effective dose 50; IEC: ion exchange chromatography; HIC: hydrophobic interaction chromatography; WHO: World Health Organization.

Authors' contributions

AMT and TTN initiated the study, prepared the recombinant clones and participated in the data analysis and interpretation. TCN and MTT carried out the fermentations. XMH performed the expression analysis. AMT and CTN performed the purification. TTN and HTV conducted bioassay evaluation. TLT participated in the experimental design. SC and RB participated in the experimental design, and helped to draft the manuscript. HTV participated in the study design, coordinated the data analysis and interpretation, and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to the final version of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Faculty of Biology and Biotechnology, University of Science, VNU-HCM, Ho Chi Minh, Vietnam. ² School of Life and Health Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham, UK.

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Toshio Kitamura, Institute of Medical Science, University of Tokyo for providing the TF-1 cell line.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they no competing interests.

Availability of supporting data and materials

Underlying data is contained in Additional files 1 and 2. This data has also been deposited at Figshare: https://figshare.com/articles/Data_of_Fig_5/4762642 and https://figshare.com/articles/Data_of_Fig_7/4762636.

Funding

Research funding was from the Science and Technology Research Program through the Department of Science and Technology Hochiminh City (Grant Number: 77/2013/HĐ-SKHCN). The British Council supported a visit of HTV to Aston University. The funding bodies have no role in the design, collection, analysis, interpretation and reporting of data or in writing the manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 30 September 2016 Accepted: 27 March 2017 Published online: 04 April 2017

References

- Metcalf D. The granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factors. Science. 1985;229(4708):16–22.
- Grabstein KH, Urdal DL, Tushinski RJ, Mochizuki DY, Price VL, Cantrell MA, Gillis S, Conlon PJ. Induction of macrophage tumoricidal activity by granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor. Science. 1986;232(4749):506–8.
- Ghosh PK, Bhardwaj D, Karnik R. Human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor: the protein and its current & emerging applications. Indian J Biotechnol. 2007;6(4):435–41.
- Nicola AN. GM-CSF. In: Oppenheim JJ, Feldmann M, Durum SK, editors. Cytokine reference: a compendium of cytokines and other mediators of host defense, vol. 1. 1st ed. New York: Academic Press; 2001. p. 900–5.
- Dorr RT. Clinical properties of yeast-derived versus Escherichia coliderived granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor. Clin Ther. 1993;15(1):19–29.
- Armitage JO. Emerging applications of recombinant human granulocytemacrophage colony-stimulating factor. Blood. 1998;92(12):4491–508.
- 7. Bollati-Fogolin M, Forno G, Nimtz M, Conradt HS, Etcheverrigaray M, Kratje R. Temperature reduction in cultures of hGM-CSF-expressing

CHO cells: effect on productivity and product quality. Biotechnol Prog. 2005;21(1):17–21.

- Villalobos A, Ness JE, Gustafsson C, Minshull J, Govindarajan S. Gene Designer: a synthetic biology tool for constructing artificial DNA segments. BMC Bioinform. 2006;7:285–92.
- Lien PTK, Truong DT, Hieu TV. Cloning and expression of human granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (hGM-CSF) in *Pichia pastoris*. J Sci Technol Dev. 2013;16(3):13–21.
- Minh TA, Thao NT, Cartwright SP, Bill RM, Hieu TV. Cloning, expression and isolation of recombinant protein hGM-CSF in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Biotechnol. 2015;13(2A):643–9.
- Darby RAJ, Cartwright SP, Dilworth MV, Bill RM. Which yeast species shall I choose? Saccharomyces cerevisiae versus Pichia pastoris (review). In: Bill RM, editor. Recombinant protein production in yeast—methods and protocols. Berlin: Springer Science; 2012. p. 14.
- 12. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem. 1976;72:248–54.
- 13. Invitrogen. Pichia fermentation process guidelines. Carlsbad: Invitrogen Corporation; 2002.

- Miyajima A, Otsu K, Schreurs J, Bond MW, Abrams JS, Arai K. Expression of murine and human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factors in *S. cerevisiae*: mutagenesis of the potential glycosylation sites. EMBO J. 1986;5(6):1193–7.
- Bhatacharya P, Pandey G, Mukherjee KJ. Production and purification of recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) from high cell density cultures of *Pichia pastoris*. Bioprocess Biosyst Eng. 2007;30(5):305–12.
- Gasmi N, Lassoued R, Ayed A, Tréton B, Chevret D, Nicaud JM, Kallel H. Production and characterization of human granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor (hGM-CSF) expressed in the oleaginous yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2012;96(1):89–101.
- Srinivasa Babu K, Pulicherla KK, Antony A, Meenakshisundaram S. Cloning and expression of recombinant human GMCSF from *Pichia pastoris* GS115-A progressive strategy for economic production. Am J Ther. 2014;21(6):462–9.
- Mire-Sluis AR, Das RG, Thorpe R. The international standard for granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) evaluation in an international collaborative study. J Immunol Methods. 1995;179(1):127–35.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

• Maximum visibility for your research

