



International Conference on Knowledge Management, ICKM 2016, 10-11 October 2016, Vienna, Austria

Knowledge Management Education: Five Ws and One H

Meliha Handzic^{a*}, John Edwards^b, Sandra Moffett^c, Alexeis Garcia-Perez^d, Aino Kianto^e,
Ettore Bolisani^f

^aInternational Burch University, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

^bAston University, Birmingham, United Kingdom

^cUniversity of Ulster, Londonderry, United Kingdom

^dCoventry University, Coventry, United Kingdom

^eLappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

^fUniversity of Padova, Vicenza, Italy

Abstract

This panel will discuss key aspects of knowledge management (KM) education in response to challenges posed by the necessity to improve KM as a discipline and an established professional field. Through panelists' thought-provoking presentations and interactions with the audience, the discussion will address KM education from the starting why, what, who, where and when perspectives to the end result and understanding of how to approach KM education in the future.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Peer-review under responsibility of the Organizing Committee of ICKM 2016

Keywords: knowledge management (KM); KM education; KM skills and competencies; panel;

1. Introduction

As a still emerging field of study, knowledge management (KM) is faced with many challenges. Two especially significant evolving issues are associated with education for KM. These concern people's perceptions of KM and the ways in which KM offerings are placed in education [1]. Responding to the challenge of advancing the state of the art

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +387 33 944 400; fax: +387 33 944 500.

E-mail address: mhandzic@ibu.edu.ba

by creating a shared understanding of the KM concept and its place in education, this IAKM panel (iakm.net) will address both people's perceptions and present several academic perspectives on a series of key questions concerning KM education.

2. Format

The panel format is designed as follows. Firstly, the moderator will give a brief introduction of panelists and discussion guidelines (5 min.). Next, panelists will present their positions on five "W" questions (why, what, who, where, when) of KM education (5 min. each). Then, the audience will be encouraged to participate in the questions and answers session ending with a summary vision of the ultimate "H" (how) to KM education (30 min.).

3. Topics

As previously mentioned, the panel discussion is organized around five descriptive questions about KM education, termed why, what, who, where and when, followed by a single procedural question – how? A brief description of the topics covered by each panelist is provided below.

- Why: Presenter1 will remind us of the environmental forces driving KM education [2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9].
- What: Presenter2 will emphasize the multiplicity of the required KM competencies due to its interdisciplinary nature.
- Who: Presenter3 will point to a variety of potential actors and roles having different knowledge needs within KM.
- Where: Presenter4 will identify university programs worldwide where KM is taught as an academic discipline.
- When: Presenter5 will discuss KM teaching in the different stages of a person's education, and will particularly argue the need for teaching personal KM quite early through the school system [10,11].

From the summary of panelists' ideas and their further exploration through interaction with the audience, it is expected that the discussion will result in the development of a common vision on how to approach KM education in the future.

- How: The summary of participants' common vision on how to approach KM education in the future (to be reported later on)

References

1. Hazeri A, Martin B. Responding to the challenges of KM education in the LIS sector: some academic and professional perspectives. *The Australian Library Journal* 2009; **58**:250-268.
2. Powell TH, Ambrosini V. A pluralistic approach to knowledge management practices: Evidence from consultancy companies. *Long Range Planning* 2012; **45**:209-226.
3. Drucker PF. *The age of discontinuity*. London: Heinemann; 1969.
4. Bolisani E, Handzic M (editors). *Advances in knowledge management: Celebrating twenty years of research and practice*. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2014.
5. Davenport T, DeLong D, Beers M. Successful knowledge management projects. *Sloan Management Review* 1998; **39**:43-57
6. Ruggles R. (1998). The state of the notion: Knowledge management in practice. *California Management Review* 1998; **40**:80-89.
7. Albinsson L, Curtin G, Forsgren O, Wall M. Creating and sustaining successful knowledge management in purposeful communities - summary of key experiences from pioneers. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science* 2008; **25**:615-626.
8. Yang JT. Knowledge sharing: Investigating appropriate leadership roles and collaborative culture. *Tourism Management* 2007; **28**:530-543.
9. Lam W. Successful knowledge management requires a knowledge culture: A case study. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice* 2005; **3**:206-217.
10. Pauleen D. Personal knowledge management: putting the 'person' back into the knowledge equation. *Online Information Review* 2009; **33**:221–224.
11. Smedley J. Modelling personal knowledge management. *OR Insight* 2009; **22**:221–233.