



In search of the next growth episode: How firms catalyse and sustain periods of high growth

International Small Business Journal:
Researching Entrepreneurship
2022, Vol. 40(6) 671–683
© The Author(s) 2022



Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/02662426221108631
journals.sagepub.com/home/ishb



Simon Raby

Mount Royal University, Canada

Mark Hart

Aston University, UK

Brian Harney

Dublin City University, Ireland

Abstract

This is an introductory article to the special issue *In Search of the Next Growth Episode: How Firms Catalyse and Sustain Periods of High Growth*. The article reviews alternative streams of research on firm growth, including ‘random growth’, ‘responsive growth’ and ‘resourceful growth’. The themes of, and contributions to, the Special Issue are presented. Finally, we identify a number of directions for future research, including the importance of unpacking the drivers and causes of high growth episodes (HGEs), amplifying the role of the leader in research on firm growth, while questioning the desired outcomes and consequences of growth. We assert that there is still much to learn about firm growth. Our hope is that this special issue inspires new approaches and an enlarged understanding in this domain.

Keywords

high growth, SMEs, scaling, growth episodes, theory building

‘Ambition is the path to success. Persistence is the vehicle you arrive in’

Bill Bradley

Introduction

Ever since smaller firms became heralded as significant job creators (Birch, 1979), scholars and policy makers have become preoccupied with a subset of so-called ‘high growth firms’ (HGFs)

Corresponding author:

Simon Raby, Bissett School of Business, Mount Royal University, 4825 Mt Royal Cir SW, Calgary, AB T3E6K6, Canada.
Email: sraby@mtroyal.ca

(Anyadike-Danes et al., 2015). HGFs are characterised as having at least 10 employees, achieving 20% growth on average per annum, for a minimum period of 3 years (OECD, 2007). By this definition, HGFs are rare; accounting for around six per cent of firms in developed and developing economies (Goswami et al., 2019; Kidney et al., 2017). More recent commentary advances that there is no such thing as a HGF, but rather firms that have ‘High Growth Episodes’ (HGEs) (Hart et al., 2021). Despite this, myths about high growth continue to persist, with respect to the type of firms that achieve it (start-up), the typical sectors where they originate (high-tech), the mode of growth presented (linear) and the assistance required (VC) (Brown et al., 2017). We present a number of these ‘stylized facts’ in Table 1. Although often portrayed as small, growing firms are actually larger than typically conceptualised. In their study of UK firms over a 15-year period, Anyadike-Danes and Hart (2015) identify that firms with greater than five employees are more likely to survive over the long run. Indeed, commentators also point to the misconception of having to start anew, with ‘a significant minority [of firms experiencing high growth] emerging from existing firms that are undertaking a period of organisational change such as a management buy-out (MBO), management buy-in (MBI), and inter-generational change in family businesses’ (Brown et al., 2017: 36). We also know that leaders of firms achieving growth tend to be older and more experienced (Sinha et al., 2021).

Firms encountering growth cannot, and should not, be equated to ‘high tech’ firms, with high growth outcomes achieved by firms across a diversity of sectors and contexts (Goswami et al., 2019). In their article on entrepreneurship in the US, Aldrich and Ruef (2018) lament the myopic focus on unicorns and gazelles, pointing to the ‘infinitesimally small’ chance that any entrepreneurial venture starts life with a significant amount of venture backed financing. Rather than focus on the ‘black swans’ of the entrepreneurial world, the authors recommend a more representative and inclusive approach. The reality is that firm growth is not linear or deterministic as many of the early models would have us believe. Firms ‘bounce’ in and out of the OECD high growth classification (Capasso et al., 2013). This is to say, growth is not persistent or sustainable (Coad et al., 2017), but occurs in episodes (Garnsey et al., 2006; Hart et al., 2021), which existing definitions do not adequately capture (Anyadike-Danes et al., 2015). Most growth episodes are ‘one hit wonders’, with only 10–15% of firms achieving a second growth episode (Erhardt, 2019; Goswami et al., 2019). To survive, however, firms need to continue to find ways to catalyse new episodes of growth (Hart et al., 2021).

Since Wright & Stigliani’s annual review article titled ‘Entrepreneurship & Growth’ the *International Small Business Journal* has provided an important platform to progress the collective conversation on firm growth. Our optimistic view in this article is that we actually know quite a lot about growth and our collective work continues to uncover new important insights. Indeed, we

Table 1. HGF stylized facts

Characteristic	Rhetoric	Reality
Size	Startup	Established
Age	New	Existing and experienced
Type	High Tech	All sectors
Composition	Homogenous	Regional variation
Funding	VC-backed	Organic
Trajectory	Linear	Irregular

Source: Adapted from Goswami et al., (2019), among other authors.

assert that we are far from being at a dead end, but rather at a new prosperous juncture. Although growth is evidently not predictable, we conjecture that the probability of a firm catalysing and sustaining a period of high growth can be increased. To date, understanding has been limited by privileging growth and growth ambition as the end rather than the beginning of analysis. Contemporary research reveals specific types of fast-growing firms that are worthy of further inquiry. For example, Anyadike-Danes et al. (2015) identify a group of persistent outperformers or ‘extraordinary prolific job creators’ (EPJCs) that provide an oversized contribution to growth. Studies on hyper growth firms (Minola et al., 2017), fast growth firms (Du and Bonner, 2017) and scale-ups (Piaskowska et al., 2021) are also yielding new important insights. Scholars have also begun to point to the ordering of events, with profits playing a foundational platform for future growth episodes (Ben-Hafaïedh and Hamelin, 2022; Coad et al., 2017). Equally there have been calls for greater incorporation of the human side of growth and its consequences, including an acknowledgement of the tensions, trade-offs and challenges that are inherent to growth, not just for top management but for all those employees and stakeholders also invested in growth.

Perspectives on growth

Alternative streams of research have developed on growth, each aiming to provide explanatory richness as to the way firms achieve growth. We explore these streams here, including ‘random growth’, ‘responsive growth’ and ‘resourceful growth’. We provide an overview of these perspectives in Table 2. This exploration leads us into the focus for this Special Issue.

Random growth – The gambler

A stream of research, founded on Gibrat’s Law, positions growth as a random shock. Firm growth rates are independent of size at the start of a period. The analogy of the entrepreneur as a gambler is presented in the literature, with winning seen as a largely random process (Coad et al., 2013, 2014). Coad et al. concede ‘Gambler’s Ruin’ is a first step: ‘which applies most clearly to the newest and smallest firms’ (2013: 628). Survival and growth are dependent on the stock of resources present at start-up or accumulated through wins. Chance plays a large role (Storey, 2011). In this sense, growth is not about strategy per se, so much as having the resources to sustain one’s operations until the next

Table 2. Three perspectives on growth – accumulate, adapt and/or apply?

	Random	Responsive	Resourceful
Description	Growth as a ‘random walk’	Growth as a ‘dynamic state’	Growth as a ‘resource allocation’
Illustrative Theories	→ Gambler’s Ruin (1909) → Gibrat’s Law (1931)	→ Dynamic Capabilities (1990) → Complex Adaptive Systems (1994)	→ Theory of Firm Growth (1959) → Resource-Based View (1994) → Resource Orchestration (2007)
Burning Questions	How can we <i>accumulate</i> resources to weather the randomness inherent in growth?	How can we <i>adapt</i> to the tensions between the environment and our business model?	How can we <i>apply a portfolio of</i> resources to achieve a competitive advantage?

growth opportunity presents itself. Sustained growth, akin to achieving four heads in a consecutive coin toss, is very much the exception rather than the rule. This approach is not without its criticism. Indeed, it is the contention of [Anyadike-Danes and Hart \(2015\)](#) that a random walk is rather too limiting as an account of even the early years of firm growth, and that a rather 'richer' stochastic process may better account for the substantial heterogeneity in firm performance. They propose as an alternative starting point a non-stationary first order Markov chain with size-band dependent transitions. Although the complexity of models continues to incorporate a greater number of factors, the extent to which the data and methods can deliver the necessary explanatory power appears compromised (e.g. see conversation: [Derbyshire and Garnsey, 2014](#); [Coad et al., 2015](#)). The views of both parties would appear, without either using the term, to accept that firm growth is describable as a stochastic process. Apparently, the difference between them lies in the description of the 'mechanism' producing randomness. Presenting growth as a random process suggests there being an absence of cause and agency ([Derbyshire and Garnsey, 2014](#)). This perspective is the antithesis of growth as a strategic pursuit under a degree of control by the entrepreneur. However, the plausible reality is that the process of growth is not an either/or; it is beset with chance *and* strategy ([Stam, 2010](#)).

Responsive growth – the revolutionary

An alternative prospect is that firms are chaotic systems that experience long periods of stability, or stasis, until a change occurs. ([Derbyshire and Garnsey, 2014](#)). These changes, or 'shocks', catalyse firm evolution ([Greiner, 1972](#); [Tushman and Romanelli, 1985, 1994](#)). The challenge with operationalising research in this stream comes from the ability to identify a firm's unique starting position, including the resources it has access to, and the environment in which it competes. The inconvenient truth: each firm experiences path dependency. The notion that firms are on different tracks competes with the intuitive appeal that firms experience a set of predictable and definable development stages ([Levie and Lichtenstein, 2010](#)).

In their review, [Levie and Lichtenstein \(2010\)](#) signal a death knell for stage of growth models and aim to reframe the debate by introducing the notion of 'dynamic states'. A dynamic state is the matching achieved between a firm's business model and its customer base, with resources configured to take advantage of a particular state. However, as a firm's environment changes, the leaders of the firm have agency to decide how they respond. These scholars posit that viewing firms as open, complex adaptive systems ([Derbyshire and Garnsey, 2014](#); [Levie and Lichtenstein, 2010](#)) offers potential for the development of research with greater explanatory power. This line of inquiry raises the stakes for developing research methods that could help unpack the initial starting points for firms, and the way large change events, whether internally or externally oriented, become meaningful and influence their growth path. It also opens up discussion about opportunity identification and the nature of the structure-agency dynamic.

Resourceful growth – the resource orchestrator

Although [Levie and Lichtenstein \(2010\)](#) did not reach consensus on stages that firms experience, they did identify a number of internal reorientations that firm's fashion in response to growth, such as leadership and management, structure, strategy and systems. It was [Penrose \(1959\)](#) who first drew attention to the role of firm-level capabilities; their combination and orientation being essential to taking advantage of market opportunities. In this sense, managers in firms have agency and versatility in how they internally orient resources in the firm, and which opportunities they pursue ([Nason and Wiklund, 2008](#)). This is to say that firms not only start from different places, operate in

different environments, they can also adopt different strategies (Wright and Stigliani, 2013). Although early work centred on the characteristics of entrepreneurs, the emphasis has moved to resource orchestration and the dynamics of experience. A range of resources have since been identified as influencing firm growth trajectories.

Leaders can also choose to sustain a particular size, as we see in lifestyle businesses, or drive firm growth. This line of research refers to the motivations, intentions, attitudes and ambitions of owner-managers and key decision-makers in small firms (LeBrassuer et al., 2003; Wiklund et al., 2003; Raby et al., 2018) that can serve as enablers and barriers to growth (Doern, 2009; Hansen and Hamilton, 2011). For example, owner-manager attitudes and beliefs can influence the form of outcomes sought (Wiklund et al., 2003). The capabilities of managers and the orientation of their work role (O’Gorman et al., 2005) have also been found to support or undermine firm growth. Managerial capabilities have been found to vary with growth intensity (Barbero et al., 2011), the volatility of a firm’s environment (Bamiatzi and Kirchmaier, 2014; Battisti and Deakins, 2017; Hilmerson, 2014) and the ability to balance the dualities of strategy and tactics (Volery et al., 2015). There is also evidence that the way in which people are organised, for example, through the adoption of people management practices, can support and influence growth outcomes (Gilman et al., 2015; Gilman and Raby, 2013; Lai et al., 2017). Beyond ambition and the management of human capital, a firm can attain growth via a number of alternative drivers or routes to growth (Senderovitz et al., 2016), including the positioning in the marketplace (Hewitt-Dundas et al., 1997; O’Gorman, 2001; Senderovitz et al., 2016), the pursuit of internationalisation (Chetty and Campbell-Hunt, 2003; Hilmerson, 2014) combined with innovation (Ardito et al., 2021; Love and Roper, 2015), external financing (Fraser et al., 2015) and accessing business advice (Gregson et al., 2018). Although important ground has been covered by these studies, we still lack definitive answers on the relative importance of each strategy, with research often focusing on individual drivers rather than their interplay (Demir et al., 2017). This is akin to flying a plane by only looking at the fuel gauge.

Introducing the special issue articles

It has now been 30 years since Gibb and Davies (1990) lamented that there was ‘no comprehensive theory of small and medium enterprise development’ (pp. 26). Although progress has been made, we assert that a renewed focus is required. To be frank the theory of firm growth is in a rather unsatisfactory state and has reached somewhat of an impasse. As noted earlier, one view is that firm growth, certainly in a firm’s early years, can be regarded as essentially ‘random’. The alternative view is that a firm’s characteristics and its strategic choices can have a systematic effect on its growth. Navigating a pathway between such competing perspectives, to better embrace the realities of growth episodes, is a sine qua non for progress. This Special Issue sought to address this impasse and encourage scholars to continue their shift in emphasis from which firms grow, to who grows them and how they grow (Wright and Stigliani, 2013). This called for a broad palette of contexts and understandings. We invited scholars to submit high quality articles that advanced theoretical knowledge and empirical evidence on the mechanisms and processes that catalyse and sustain growth. We particularly encouraged approaches that delivered greater explanatory power including, yet not limited to, longitudinal, qualitative, ethnographic, contextually-sensitive and in-depth explorations of the micro processes of growth. In so doing, this issue builds upon a rich and active conversation in the *International Small Business Journal* on small firm growth, building on a previous Special Issue on SME growth (Wright et al., 2015) and the recent Annual Review Article (Hart et al., 2021).

The following questions were used to encourage submissions for this Special Issue, drawing attention to who, how, where and when growth occurs:

- How should we define ‘growth’, ‘scaling’ and how do they differ? (Piaskowska et al., 2021)
- What are the orientations, mechanisms and strategies that lead a firm to catalyse and sustain growth episodes? (Dosi et al., 2020; Esteve-Pérez et al., 2020)
- How can we deal with the duality of randomness and strategy to explain firm growth (Stam, 2010)?
- How do we take account of a wider array of factors that influence growth (Wright and Stigliani, 2013)?
- How do we account for the diversity in trajectories firms take as they grow? (Anyadike-Dane et al., 2015; McKelvie and Wiklund, 2010)
- How do entrepreneurs’ cognitive processes shape growth (Wright and Stigliani, 2013) including the psychological dimension (e.g. the influence and interplay of confidence and failure) and the process by which managers help firms develop dynamic capabilities (Koyak et al., 2015)?
- How do entrepreneurs undertake resource orchestration to access and configure resources to achieve growth (Wright and Stigliani, 2013)?
- How do support infrastructures and ecosystems enable and facilitate growth? (Goswami et al., 2019)

It was encouraging to receive 30 submissions from North and South America, Europe, the UK, Africa, the Middle East and China, indicating a global interest in firm growth from a broad range of country contexts. In total, 50% of papers were desk rejected, due to a misalignment with the specific questions of the Special Issue, embryonic theoretical development or the deployment of inappropriate or limited methods. Of the remaining papers sent out for double-blind review, taking into account the perspectives of reviewers and the editorial team, four were ultimately deemed most appropriate to make a major contribution to the theme of the Special Issue. We have outlined the accepted articles in Table 3.

The first paper *Consequences of growth* by Freel and Gordon is motivated by reflections on the episodic nature of growth. In order to examine the limited evidence of ‘growth persistence’, the authors unpack the consequences of growth episodes and how they inform subsequent growth efforts. Understanding is framed using a micro-foundational and behavioural lens which draws attention to the individual behaviours and the experience of entrepreneurs. Drawing on qualitative data from a larger mixed-method project, the authors explore insights on growth consequences from six purposeful case studies representing a diverse range of sectors. Each company was identified as having experienced high growth episodes following their participation in a growth-oriented leadership development programme. Although all the case study firms had persistent growth aspirations, they enjoyed mixed fortunes since their initial high growth episode, but nonetheless continued to trade profitably. In-depth case interviews are complemented by archival data (including development plan workbooks, third party observations of board meetings, and management accounts), as well as sales and profit metrics over time, thereby providing a holistic overview of the consequences of growth for each firm. Focusing on ‘revealed patterns’ emerging from the data, the analysis highlights the relative nature of growth and growth intent, and that the challenge of growth should be understood in the service of income satisfaction versus income or growth maximisation. This is in turn framed by entrepreneurial aspirational level, itself set through an iterative process of social comparison. A behavioural focus also identifies experiences of the growth challenges associated with rapid growth as having a powerful effect on entrepreneurs’ subsequent desires for future growth. Allied with this is an appreciation of the changing skills and strategies which determined the ability and motivation of entrepreneurs to seek out opportunity and to structure their organisations accordingly. This involves a more dynamic appreciation of changing human resource

Table 3. Special issue articles.

Authors	Title	Country	Approach	Focus
Freel and Gordon	On the Consequences of Firm Growth	UK	Qualitative	Consequences of growth episodes and how they inform subsequent growth efforts
Wolbers and Pillutia	Mid-Size Firm Growth: The Process and Empirical Examination of Key Drivers	US	Qualitative	How management configure and reconfigure resources and relationships to facilitate organic growth in medium sized firms
Aldieri, Sena and Concetto	High Growth Episodes Among R&D Intensive Firms: Evidence for US, EU and Japan	US, EU and Japan	Quantitative	Triggers of high growth episodes among R&D intensive firms
Brumana, Minola, Baù and De Massis	High Growth in Private Firms: The Role of Family Control and Slack Resources	Germany, UK, France, Spain and Italy	Quantitative	Effects of family control and related nuances (i.e., degree of family ownership and presence of a family CEO) on SME growth

requirements as a consequence of growth. Overall, the paper positions a call for a more balanced focus on the consequences rather than the causes of growth.

The second paper *Mid-Size Firm Growth: The Process and Empirical Examination of Key Drivers* by Wolbers and Pillutia explores the neglected category of medium sized firms. In examining growth as a process, the authors draw on resource orchestration bringing together theories of venture growth, social and human capital and their interaction. Specifically, they outline how management configures and reconfigures various ‘intensities’ of resources (namely human capital, routine capabilities and dynamic capabilities) and relationships (social, relational and network) to facilitate organic growth. Case studies were selected by means of purposeful sampling of US manufacturing firms. The first stage used commercial banking categories as a proxy for the level of complexity and episodes of organic growth faced by mid-sized firms within various growth revenue bands. The second stage involved qualitative interviews with the CEOs of 12 privately held manufacturing firms sustained by several Fortune 500 original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), with four firms representing each of three revenue categories. Interviews were open ended allowing respondents to self-select substantive points related to growth and resources. The findings offer detailed descriptions related to the growth and transition of mid-size firms across a number of states. Propositions are presented based on the case insights, including the finding that medium-sized firms will exhibit distinguishable configurations of resources and relationships across growth states and that the reconfiguration of relationships and resources will precede the transition of firms from one growth state to the next. The results also reveal important ‘reactive variables’, that is, those that change in response to growth. Overall, the resource and relationship model serves to capture distinct configurations of resource and relationships across the growth states for mid-sized enterprises, and thereby offers pragmatic validity as a tool for further exploring growth.

The third paper *High growth episodes among R&D intensive firms: Evidence for Europe, US and Japan* by Aldieri, Sena and Vinci explores the triggers of high growth episodes among R&D

intensive firms. The paper examines whether inter-industry R&D spillovers are positive contributors to the likelihood of high growth episodes, and whether this relationship is contingent on the absorptive capacity of firms. Adding a geo-spatial consideration, the paper also explores whether the acquisition of foreign patents triggers high growth episodes among a subset of R&D intensive firms. The authors tests key hypotheses via a dataset sourced from the EU R&D investment scoreboards of R&D intensive manufacturing firms reporting data between 2002 and 2017. The scoreboards contain data on net sales, annual R&D expenditure, number of employees and annual capital expenditure. Firms were located in three geographical areas, namely Europe, US and Japan. Data on patents registered by firms included in the scoreboards was sourced from the database of patents compiled by the OECD between 2002 and 2017. The paper follows a recognised procedure to identify high growth episodes, leaving a dataset covering 238 high growth episodes, over 825 firms, across the three regions. The analysis reveals that high-tech R&D intensive firms can experience high growth episodes through inbound open innovation. Importantly, firm level absorptive capacity conditions the relationship between R&D spillover and the likelihood of high growth episodes. Opening up insights into temporal effects, external knowledge acquisition, as proxied by the relative share of foreign patents, is shown to trigger short high growth episodes, as opposed to R&D spillovers which trigger more extended high growth episodes. Overall, the paper moves understanding beyond the firm level unit of analysis to consider knowledge spillovers and network effects on firm growth.

The fourth paper by Brumana, Minola, Baù and De Massis takes a behavioural perspective to examine *Under which circumstances do family SMEs achieve high growth?* The authors argue that overlooking the nuances of family control has constrained understanding of high-growth trajectories, ignoring the heterogeneous nature of family SMEs. To redress this deficiency, the paper adopts a behavioural agency model (BAM) to explore key decision makers point of reference, specifically the extent to which the growth preferences are affected by family-related motives and interests, including socio-economic wealth. Hypotheses elaborate on the distinctive elements of family SMEs to explore the direct effect of family involvement on family SMEs' high growth, while also examining the impact of governance dynamics, including the degree of family ownership and presence of a family CEO. The final hypothesis explores further nuance in the form of slack resources as an organisational contingency informing growth. The sample consists of cross-sectoral, independent privately-held SMEs located in the five largest European economies: Germany, Great Britain, France, Spain and Italy. An extensive, longitudinal dataset was created including financial information for the years 2004–2019 using the Orbis database yielding a sample of 39,631 firms (278,013 year-observations). Hypotheses were tested by means of pooled rare event logistic regression (RELRL). The findings reveal that being a family SME negatively affects the likelihood of experiencing high growth relative to non-family SMEs. Interestingly, while the presence of a family CEO reduces the probability of growth, the opposite is true with respect to familial control. Explanation comes in the form of the likely desire for greater intergenerational transfer and sustainability in the context of more extensive familial control. Highlighting the merit of exploring further contingency variables, the availability of high- and low-discretion slack resources moderates these relationships. Overall, this paper highlights the significance of governance configurations on high growth, showing that different nuances of family control and the availability and type of excess resources matter for the high growth of family SMEs.

The next growth episode: Future research directions

Overall, the papers in this special issue provide an enlarged understanding of growth episodes, moving beyond the traditional contexts of young or high-tech firms. Contributions drew on a

diversity of sectors (manufacturing, R&D) and contexts (family firm, SMEs) represented with useful evidence of purposeful and logical sampling. The articles demonstrate the merits of various methodologies including approaches which are pragmatic and phenomenon driven, through to those engaging with extensive database and a priori categorisations. What emerges across the papers is a multi-faceted and relative appreciation of growth, not least one which suggests growth as the beginning versus the end of analysis. This opens up a pathway to explore growth consequences, path dependencies, dynamic decision making, management processes, failures and challenges, in contrast to linear modelling and normative truisms. The papers also point to theoretical lenses likely to further shape understanding, enabling better incorporation of the process and actors informing growth episodes. This includes behavioural perspectives, human resource management, absorptive capacity and resource orchestration. Important also is further representation beyond 'traditional' country contexts, with greater scope for institutional comparisons, direct exploration of key events, specific policy interventions and holistic assessments of firm responses. As any Special Issue should, the papers also prompt some critical questions and guidance for further research.

First, we assert that scholars double down on their efforts to unpack high growth episodes (Hart et al., 2021). These transitory rare 'narrow windows' can provide researchers with a unique opportunity to unpack the factors that cause and sustain high growth episodes (Aldrich and Ruef, 2018). Research methods need to be capable of unpacking the drivers and causes of growth episodes, such that they can discover new theories (Demir et al., 2017). The ability to predict when a firm will grow continues to be a grand challenge, characterised by a complex relationship between firm-level capabilities, growth outcomes and the performance of an economy (Coad et al., 2014). Critical incident approaches such as Event Systems Theory (Morgeson et al., 2015) may hold merit, while appreciating the paradoxical tensions and vicious cycles (Es-Sajjade et al., 2021) inherent in growth will also be important.

Second, growth is pursued by the leader, not the firm in isolation. It is not possible, or warranted to discard or reduce the role of the leader in entrepreneurship and growth research. There is value in distinguishing between the different types of entrepreneurs who lead different types of ventures (Anyadike-Danes et al., 2015; Welter et al., 2017) the capabilities they possess (Koyak et al., 2015) and the paradoxical tensions that require resolution to embrace a high growth trajectory (Es-Sajjade et al., 2021). Critical also are the broader dynamics of human capital and social relations within and across firms, including critical decisions relating to hiring, delegation, diversification, building and accessing appropriate talent.

Third, we are all responding, in our own ways, to crises like the coronavirus Pandemic (Turner and Akinremi, 2020) and the effects of climate change (Kesidou and Ri, 2021). The world in which we live is inherently unpredictable, which means growth is inextricably affected by context (Greene and Rosiello, 2020) and crisis (Doern et al., 2019, 2021). Entrepreneurial ventures can provide an important milieu to inform how we can shift tracks from our current unsustainable global growth trajectory. How is it that some SMEs and entrepreneurs manage low growing or no growing companies? How do SMEs remain resilient while creating and sustaining value? (Wishart, 2018) How do leaders enhance productivity through mental health and wellbeing in the workplace? (Wishart et al., 2021) What does sustainable growth and frugal innovation look like? Addressing such questions could lay a path to a more prosperous, sustainable world.

Returning to the opening quotation, ambition may well be the path to success, but both ambition and success are variable and contingent. We still have much to learn about the requirements, foundations and conditions of possibility informing persistence, resistance and resilience as firms, managers and employees navigate the causes, contingencies and consequences of high growth. We hope this special issue inspires new approaches and an enlarged understanding in a domain often hindered by superficial commentary and the dangerous allure of bright shiny objects and mythical status.

Acknowledgements

The authors are listed in reverse alphabetical order. All authors contributed equally to this article and to editing the Special Issue. Thanks to Susan Marlow for her generous feedback on an earlier version of this editorial, to Valerie Thorne for her exemplary assistance and to all the authors and reviewers without whom this Special Issue would not have been possible.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Simon Raby  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7902-1386>

Mark Hart  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0402-4128>

References

- Aldrich HE and Ruef M (2018) Unicorns, gazelles and other distractions on the way to understanding real entrepreneurship in the United states. *Academy of Management Perspectives* 32(4): 458–472.
- Anyadike-Danes M, Hart M and Du J (2015) Firm dynamics and job creation in the United Kingdom: 1998–2013. *International Small Business Journal* 33(1): 12–27.
- Anyadike-Danes M and Hart M (2015) All grown up? The fate after 15 years of a quarter of a million UK firms born in 1998. *Journal of Evolutionary Economics* 28: 45–76.
- Ardito L, Raby S, Albino V and Bertoldi B. (2021) The duality of digital and environmental orientations in the context of SMEs: Implications for innovation performance. *Journal of Business Research* 123: 44–56.
- Bamiatzi VC and Kirchmaier T (2014) Strategies for superior performance under adverse conditions: A focus on small and medium-sized high-growth firms. *International Small Business Journal* 32(3): 259–284.
- Barbero JL, Casillas JC and Feldman HD (2011) Managerial capabilities and paths to growth as determinants of high-growth small and medium-sized enterprises. *International Small Business Journal* 29(6): 671–694.
- Battisti M and Deakins D (2017) The relationship between dynamic capabilities, the firm's resource base and performance in a post-disaster environment. *International Small Business Journal* 35(1): 78–98.
- Ben-Hafäïedh C and Hamelin A (2022) Questioning the growth dogma: a replication study. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. Online ahead of print. DOI: [10.1177/2F10422587211059991](https://doi.org/10.1177/2F10422587211059991)
- Birch DL (1979) *The Job Generation Process*. MIT Program on Neighborhood and Regional Change. Cambridge, MA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Brown R, Mawson S and Lee N (2017) *High Growth Firms in Northern Ireland: A Strategic Appraisal and Policy Assessment*. Belfast, Northern Ireland: Final Report for Department for the Economy.
- Capasso M, Cefis E and Frenken K (2013) On the existence of persistently outperforming firms. *Industrial and Corporate Change* 23(4): 997–1036.
- Chetty S and Campbell-Hunt C (2003) Explosive international growth and problems of success amongst small and medium-sized firms. *International Small Business Journal* 21(1): 5–27.
- Coad A, Frankish J, Roberts RG, et al. (2013) Growth paths and survival chances: an application of Gambler's Ruin theory. *Journal of Business Venturing* 28: 615–632.
- Coad A, Daunfeldt S-O, Holz W, et al. (2014). High-growth firms: introduction to the special section. *Industrial and Corporate Change* 23(1): 91–112.
- Coad A, Frankish J, Roberts RG, et al. (2015) Are firm growth paths random? A reply to Firm Growth and the illusion of randomness. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights* 3: 5–8.

- Coad A, Cowling M and Siepel J (2017) Growth processes of high-growth firms as a four-dimensional chicken and egg. *Industrial and Corporate Change* 26(4): 537–554.
- Demir R, Wennberg K and McKelvie A (2017) The strategic management of high-growth firms: a review and theoretical conceptualization. *Long Range Planning* 50: 431–456.
- Derbyshire J and Garnsey E (2014) Firm growth and the illusion of randomness. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights* 1-2: 8–11.
- Doern R (2009) Investigating barriers to SME growth and development in transition environments: a critique and suggestions for developing the methodology. *International Small Business Journal* 27(3): 275–305.
- Doern R, Williams N. and Vorley T. (2019) “Special issue on entrepreneurship and crises: business as usual? An introduction and review of the literature”, *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 31(5–6): 400–412.
- Doern R. (2021) “Knocked down but not yet out and fighting to go the distance: Small business responses to an unfolding crisis in the initial impact period”, *Journal of Business Venturing Insights*, 15: 1–10.
- Dosi G, Grazzi M, Moschella D, et al. (2020) Long-term firm growth: an empirical analysis of US manufacturers 1959–2015. *Industrial and Corporate Change* 29(2): 309–332.
- Du J and Bonner K (2017) *Fast-Growth Firms in the UK: Definitions and Policy Implications*. Birmingham, UK: Enterprise Research Centre. Research Paper 63.
- Erhardt EV (2019) Measuring the persistence of high firm growth: choices and consequences. *Small Business Economics* 56: 451–478.
- Esteve-Pérez S, Pieri F and Rodriguez D (2020) One swallow does not make a summer: episodes and persistence in high growth. *Small Business Economics* 58: 1517–1544.
- Es-Sajjade A, Pandza K and Volberda H (2021) Growing pains: paradoxical tensions and vicious cycles in new venture growth. *Strategic Organization* 19(1): 37–69.
- Fraser S, Bhaumik SK and Wright M (2015) What do we know about entrepreneurial finance and its relationship with growth? *International Small Business Journal* 33(1): 70–88.
- Garnsey EW, Stam E and Heffernan P (2006) New firm growth: exploring Processes and Paths. *Industry and Innovation* 13(1): 1–20.
- Gibb A and Davies L (1990) In pursuit of frameworks for the development of growth models of the small business. *International Small Business Journal* 9(1): 15–31.
- Gilman MW, Raby SO and Pyman A (2015) The contours of employee voice in SMEs: the importance of context. *Human Resource Management Journal* 25(4): 563–579.
- Gilman MW and Raby SO (2013) National context as a predictor of high-performance work system effectiveness in small-to-medium-sized enterprises (SMEs): a UK–French comparative analysis. *International Journal of Human Resource Management* 24(2): 372–390.
- Goswami AG, Medvedev D and Olafsen E (2019) *High-Growth Firms: Facts, Fiction, and Policy Options for Emerging Economies*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Greene F.J. and Rosiello A. (2020) A commentary on the impacts of 'Great Lockdown' and its aftermath on scaling firms: What are the implications for entrepreneurial research? *International Small Business Journal*, 38(7): 583–592.
- Gregson G, Mazdeh H, Raby S and Saunders, C (2018) *Business Support and SME Performance: Exploratory Analysis of the Longitudinal Small Business Survey 2015 and 2016*. Birmingham, UK: Enterprise Research Centre. Research Paper 70.
- Greiner LE (1972) Evolution and revolution as organizations grow. *Harvard Business Review* 50(4): 37–46.
- Hansen B and Hamilton RT (2011) Factors distinguishing small firm growers and non-growers. *International Small Business Journal* 29(3): 278–294.
- Hart M, Prashar N and Ri A (2021) From the cabinet of curiosities: the misdirection of research and policy debates on small firm growth. *International Small Business Journal* 39(1): 3–17.

- Hewitt-Dundas N, McFerran B and Roper S (1997) Competitor knowledge among small firms: a pilot examination of fast growing northern ireland companies. *International Small Business Journal* 15(2): 76–82.
- Hilmerson M (2014) Small and medium-sized enterprise internationalization strategy and performance in times of market turbulence. *International Small Business Journal* 32(4): 386–400.
- Kesidou E. and Ri A. (2021) “Drivers and Performance Outcomes of Net Zero Practices from UK SMEs”, Research Paper 95, Enterprise Research Centre.
- Kidney R, Harney B and O’Gorman C (2017) Building to grow or growing to build: insights from Irish high-growth SMEs (HGSMEs). *Irish Journal of Management* 36(2): 65–77.
- Koyak O, Mole K, Lockett A, et al. (2015) Entrepreneurial leadership capabilities and firm growth. *International Small Business Journal* 33(1): 89–105.
- Lai Y, Saridakis G and Johnstone S (2017) Human resource practices, employee attitudes and small firm performance. *International Small Business Journal* 35(4): 470–494.
- Levie J and Lichtenstein BB (2010) A terminal assessment of stages theory: introducing a dynamic states approach to entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 34(2): 317–350.
- LeBrasseur R, Zanibbi L and Zinger TJ (2003) Growth momentum in the early stages of small business start-ups. *International Small Business Journal* 21(3): 315–324.
- Love JH and Roper S (2015) SME innovation, exporting and growth: a review of existing evidence. *International Small Business Journal* 33(1): 28–48.
- McKelvie A and Wiklund J (2010) Advancing firm growth: a focus on growth mode instead of growth rate. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 34(2): 261–288.
- Minola T., Cogliati G.M., Cassia L. and Paleari S. (2017) Are hyper-growth firms inherently different? Preliminary evidence from a sample of fast-growing European SMEs. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Venturing*. 9(2): 122–159.
- Morgeson FP, Mitchell TR and Liu D (2015) Event system theory: an event-oriented approach to the organizational sciences. *Academy of Management Review* 40(4): 515–537.
- Nason RS and Wiklund J (2008) An assessment of resource-based theorizing on firm growth and suggestions for the future. *Journal of Management* 44(1).
- OECD (2007) *Eurostat-OECD Manual on Business Demography Statistics*. Paris, France: OECD.
- O’Gorman C (2001) The sustainability of growth in small- and medium-sized enterprises. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research* 7(2): 60–75.
- O’Gorman C, Bourke S and Murray JA (2005) The nature of managerial work in small growth-oriented businesses. *Small Business Economics* 25: 1–16.
- Penrose E (1959) *The Theory of the Growth of the Firm*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Piaskowska D, Tippmann E and Monaghan S (2021) Scale-up modes: profiling activity configurations in scaling strategies. *Long Range Planning* 54.
- Raby S, Gregson G and Levallet N (2018) Growth under conditions of market volatility: the influence of a leader’s growth mindset. *Academy of Management Global Proceedings* Vol. Tel Aviv.
- Senderovitz M, Klyver K and Steffens P (2016) Four years on: are gazelles still running? A longitudinal study of firm performance after a period of rapid growth. *International Small Business Journal* 34(4): 391–411.
- Sinha KK, Saunders C, Raby, S and Dewald J (2021) The moderating role of previous venture experience on breadth of learning and innovation and the impacts on SME performance. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research* 28(2): 346–367.
- Stam E (2010) Growth beyond Gibrat: firm growth processes and strategies. *Small Business Economics* 35: 129–135.
- Storey D (2011) Optimism and chance: the elephants in the entrepreneurship room. *International Small Business Journal* 29(4): 303–321.

- Turner J. and Akinremi T. (2020) “The business effects of pandemics - a rapid literature review”, ERC Insight Paper, Enterprise Research Centre.
- Tushman ML and Romanelli E (1985) Organizational evolution: a metamorphosis model of convergence and reorientation. *Research in Organizational Behavior* 7: 171–222.
- Tushman M and Romanelli E (1994) Organization transformation as punctuated equilibrium: an empirical test. *Academy of Management Journal* 37(5): 1141–1166.
- Volery T, Mueller S and Siemens B (2015) Entrepreneur ambidexterity: a study of entrepreneur behaviours and competencies in growth-oriented small and medium-sized enterprises. *International Small Business Journal* 33(2): 109–129.
- Welter F, Baker T, Audretsch DB, et al. (2017) Everyday entrepreneurship - a call for entrepreneurship research to embrace entrepreneurial diversity. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 41(3): 311–321.
- Wiklund J, Davidsson P and Delar F (2003) What do they think and feel about growth? An expectancy-value approach to small business managers’ attitudes toward growth. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 27(3): 247–270.
- Wishart (2018) “Business resilience in an SME context: a literature review”, ERC Research Report, Enterprise Research Centre.
- Wishart M, Roper S. and Belt V. (2021) “Workplace mental health and wellbeing in Midlands firms before and during the COVID-19 pandemic”, ERC Insight Paper, Enterprise Research Centre.
- Wright M and Stigliani I (2013) Entrepreneurship and growth. *International Small Business Journal* 31(1): 3–22.
- Wright M, Roper S, Hart M, et al. (2015) Joining the dots: building the evidence base for SME growth policy. *International Small Business Journal* 33(1): 3–11.

Author Biographies

Simon Raby is Associate Professor in Innovation and Entrepreneurship at the Bissett School of Business (Canada), Associate Director of the Institute for Innovation and Entrepreneurship, and Director of Growth Compass - a centre of excellence that delivers evidence-based insights and intelligence on business growth and scaling. Simon is the Academic Director for the Growth Catalyst and Innovation Accelerator executive education programs. His research focuses on business growth, innovation, leadership and HRM.

Mark Hart is Professor of Small Business and Entrepreneurship at Aston Business School (UK), Deputy Director of the Enterprise Research Centre, Associate Director of the Aston Centre for Growth, and is one of the Programme Directors and Academic Lead of the national Goldman Sachs 10,000 Small Businesses programme. His research focuses on business growth and productivity, business dynamism and growth ambition. In this area, he has published extensively in international peer reviewed journals. He also manages the GEM UK national team for GEM Global which is the only international source of annual evidence on entrepreneurial attitudes, activity and aspiration.

Brian Harney (BA (Hons), MBS, PhD (Cambridge), MCIPD) is Professor in Strategy & HRM at Dublin City University Business School. Brian’s research focuses on the intersection of Strategy and HRM, with a particular focus on SMEs, growth and knowledge-intensive sectors. His research has received over 15 Best Paper awards and is widely published. Brian is the author of several books including *Strategy and Strategists* (Oxford University Press) and edited collections *The Global Case Book* (Routledge), *Reframing HRM in SMEs* (Palgrave Macmillan) and *Strategic HRM: Research and Practice in Ireland* (Blackhall). Brian is Co-Director of DCU’s SME management development programmes and serves on a government SME Management Skills Steering Group and implementation task force.