

Genetic analysis of dyslexia candidate genes

in the European cross-linguistic NeuroDys cohort

Jessica Becker^{1,2,*}, Darina Czamara^{3,4,*}, Tom S Scerri^{5,6}, Franck Ramus⁷, Valéria Csépe⁸, Joel B Talcott⁹, John Stein¹⁰, Andrew Morris⁵, Kerstin U Ludwig^{1,2}, Per Hoffmann^{1,2,11}, Ferenc Honbolygó⁸, Dénes Tóth⁸, Fabien Fauchereau^{12,13}, Caroline Bogliotti⁷, Stéphanie Iannuzzi^{14,15,16}, Yves Chaix^{14,15}, Sylviane Valdois^{17,18}, Catherine Billard¹⁶, Florence George¹⁹, Isabelle Soares-Boucaud^{20,21}, Christophe-Loïc Gérard²², Sanne van der Mark²³, Enrico Schulz^{23,24}, Anniëk Vaessen²⁵, Urs Maurer^{23,26}, Kaisa Lohvansuu²⁷, Heikki Lyytinen²⁷, Marco Zucchelli²⁸, Daniel Brandeis^{23,29,30,31}, Leo Blomert^{25,#}, Paavo H T Leppänen²⁷, Jennifer Bruder³², Anthony P Monaco⁵, Bertram Müller-Myhsok^{3,4}, Juha Kere^{28,33}, Karin Landerl³⁴, Markus M Nöthen^{1,2}, Gerd Schulte-Körne³², Silvia Paracchini^{5,35,*}, Myriam Peyrard-Janvid^{28,*}, Johannes Schumacher^{1,*}

¹ Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

² Department of Genomics, Life & Brain Center, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

³ Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Munich, Germany

⁴ Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Munich, Germany

⁵ Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

⁶ Walter and Eliza Hall Institute for Medical Research, Melbourne, Australia

⁷ Laboratoire de Sciences Cognitives et Psycholinguistique, Ecole Normale Supérieure, CNRS, EHESS, Paris, France

⁸ Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience and Psychology, Research Centre of Natural Sciences of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences Budapest, Budapest, Hungary

⁹ School of Life and Health Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham, United Kingdom

¹⁰ Department of Physiology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

¹¹ Division of Medical Genetics, University Hospital and Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

- 1 ¹² Human Genetics and Cognitive Functions, CNRS URA 2182, Institut Pasteur, Paris,
2 France
- 3 ¹³ Sorbonne Paris Cité, Université Paris Diderot, Paris, France
- 4 ¹⁴ Unité de Neurologie Pédiatrique, Hôpital des Enfants, Toulouse, France
- 5 ¹⁵ Inserm U825, Hôpital Purpan, Toulouse, France
- 6 ¹⁶ Centre de Référence sur les Troubles des Apprentissages, Hôpital Bicêtre, Paris,
7 France
- 8 ¹⁷ Laboratoire de Psychologie et NeuroCognition UMR 5105 CNRS, Université Pierre
9 Mendès France, Grenoble, France
- 10 ¹⁸ Centre référent pour le diagnostic des troubles du langage et des apprentissages,
11 Département de pédiatrie, CHU Nord, Grenoble, France
- 12 ¹⁹ Centre de Référence des Troubles d'apprentissage, CHU Timone, Marseille, France
- 13 ²⁰ Centre de Référence pour les Troubles des Apprentissages, Hospices Civils de Lyon,
14 Hôpital E. Herriot, Lyon, France
- 15 ²¹ Centre Hospitalier Le Vinatier, Bron, France
- 16 ²² Service de Psychopathologie de l'enfant et de l'adolescent, Hôpital Robert Debré,
17 APHP, Paris, France
- 18 ²³ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University of Zurich, Zurich,
19 Switzerland
- 20 ²⁴ Technische Universität München (TUM), Munich, Germany
- 21 ²⁵ Department of Cognitive Neuroscience, Faculty of Psychology and Neuroscience &
22 Maastricht Brain Imaging Institute (M-BIC), Maastricht University, Maastricht, The
23 Netherlands
- 24 ²⁶ Institute of Psychology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
- 25 ²⁷ Finnish Center of Excellence in Learning and Motivation Research, Department of
26 Psychology, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland
- 27 ²⁸ Department of Biosciences and Nutrition, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden
- 28 ²⁹ Zurich Center for Integrative Human Physiology (ZIHP), Zurich, Switzerland
- 29 ³⁰ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Central Institute
30 of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim/Heidelberg University, Mannheim,
31 Germany

1 ³¹ Neuroscience Center Zurich, University of Zurich and ETH Zurich, Zurich,
2 Switzerland

3 ³² Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy,
4 Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Munich, Germany

5 ³³ Molecular Medicine Program, Biomedicum, University of Helsinki, and Folkhälsan
6 Institute of Genetics, Helsinki, Finland

7 ³⁴ Department of Psychology, University of Graz, Graz, Austria

8 ³⁵ School of Medicine, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, United Kingdom

9

10 * These authors contributed equally to this study.

We would like to express our deepest condolences on the loss of our colleague and friend
Leo Blomert, who passed away in 2012.

11 **Correspondence**

12 Johannes Schumacher

13 Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn

14 Sigmund-Freud-Strasse 25

15 D-53105 Bonn

16 Germany

17 Phone: +49 (0)228 287 51028

18 Fax: +49 (0)228 287 51011

19 Email: johannes.schumacher@uni-bonn.de

20

21 **Running title:** Association study of dyslexia candidate genes

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 Dyslexia is one of the most common childhood disorders with a prevalence of around 5-
3 10% in school age children. While an important genetic component is known to play a
4 role in the aetiology of dyslexia we are far from understanding the molecular
5 mechanisms leading to the disorder. Several candidate genes have been implicated in
6 dyslexia, including *DYX1C1*, *DCDC2*, *KIAA0319*, and the *MRPL19/C2ORF3* locus,
7 each with reports of both positive and no replications. We generated a European cross-
8 linguistic sample of school-age children – the NeuroDys cohort – that includes more
9 than 900 individuals with dyslexia, sampled with homogenous inclusion criteria across
10 eight European countries, and a comparable number of controls. Here, we describe
11 association analysis of the dyslexia candidate genes/locus in the NeuroDys cohort. We
12 performed both case-control and quantitative association analyses of single markers and
13 haplotypes previously reported to be dyslexia-associated. While we observed
14 association signals in samples from single countries, we did not find any marker or
15 haplotype which was significantly associated with either case-control status or
16 quantitative measurements of word-reading or spelling in the meta-analysis of all eight
17 countries combined. Like in other neurocognitive disorders, our findings underline the
18 need for larger sample sizes in order to validate possibly weak genetic effects.

19

20 **Keywords:** dyslexia, word-reading, spelling, association study, candidate genes

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Developmental dyslexia is a specific developmental disorder that affects about 5-10%
3 of school-aged children.^{1,2} It is characterized by a severe reading disorder (RD) and
4 spelling problems, which interferes with academic achievement or activities of daily
5 living that require reading skills.³ These difficulties cannot be attributed to unimpaired
6 general intelligence, gross neurological deficits, or uncorrected visual or auditory
7 problems.^{4,5} A multifactorial aetiology is most likely, caused by interactions between
8 genetic and environmental factors.⁶ Studies have repeatedly indicated that first degree
9 relatives of affected individuals have a 30-50% risk of developing the disorder.^{6,7}

10 Genetic linkage studies of dyslexia have identified several loci which may contribute to
11 the disorder.^{8,9} In addition, at some of these loci, association studies or translocation
12 breakpoint mapping have led to the identification of genetic variants associated with
13 disease risk.¹⁰

14 *DYX1C1* (dyslexia susceptibility 1 candidate 1, MIM 608706) on chromosome 15q21.3
15 was identified as a candidate gene by breakpoint mapping of a translocation co-
16 segregating with dyslexia in one Finnish family.¹¹ Furthermore, two putative functional
17 variants in *DYX1C1* were found to be dyslexia-associated in a population sample of
18 Finnish origin.¹¹ Other groups also found *DYX1C1* associations in their dyslexia
19 sample¹², but also reported an opposite allelic trend with their association findings.^{13,14}
20 It has been speculated that this may be due to a different haplotype structure between
21 samples and populations. *DYX1C1* has also been associated with reading and spelling
22 ability in a large unselected group of adolescents from Australia.¹⁵ Furthermore, it has
23 been shown that dyslexia-associated variants within the promoter region of *DYX1C1*¹⁶
24 influence the binding affinity of transcription factor complexes.¹⁷

1 Two genes have been reported to be associated with dyslexia within the linkage region
2 on chromosome 6p22.2: *DCDC2* (Doublecortin domain-containing protein 2, MIM
3 605755)¹⁸⁻²⁰ and *KIAA0319* (MIM 609269).^{21,22} Independent replications have been
4 reported for both genes: *DCDC2*²³⁻²⁷ and *KIAA0319*.²⁷⁻³¹ The role of *KIAA0319* in
5 dyslexia was also supported by the identification of a single variant associated with
6 dyslexia and affecting the gene expression of *KIAA0319*.^{30,32} In addition, two
7 independent studies have identified an interaction between single nucleotide
8 polymorphisms (SNPs) within *DCDC2* and *KIAA0319*.^{31,33} A recent brain imaging
9 study found support for effects on white matter structure in overlapping regions of
10 human brains for the three dyslexia candidate genes *DYX1C1*, *DCDC2*, and
11 *KIAA0319*.³⁴

12 On chromosome 2p12, a locus close to the genes *MRPL19* and *C2ORF3* (also named
13 *GCF2*) has been shown to be associated with dyslexia in two independent samples of
14 Finnish and German origin.³⁵ However, until now these associations have not been
15 replicated in independent dyslexia samples²⁴ but the same genetic variants have been
16 found to be associated with measures of general cognitive abilities.³⁶

17 Conducting association studies of cognitive phenotypes is plagued with challenges, such
18 as the variability in both the initial ascertainment and subsequent phenotypical
19 assessment of the samples.^{37,38} To address this issue the NeuroDys Consortium
20 embarked in a large sample collection across eight different European countries
21 applying the same inclusion and exclusion criteria for phenotypic characterisation³⁹ and
22 collected 958 cases and 1,150 controls. In the present study, this sample was used to
23 explore the contribution of the dyslexia candidate genes in such a cross-linguistic
24 cohort. On the basis of existing replication studies, we chose 19 SNPs within the

1 dyslexia candidate genes *DYX1C1*, *DCDC2*, *KIAA0319*, and within the
2 *MRPL19/C2ORF3* locus (Table 1) and performed case-control and quantitative (*i.e.*
3 word-reading and spelling) association analyses of single markers and haplotypes.

4

5 **SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

6 **Subjects**

7 All parents of children participating in this study gave their written informed consent for
8 participation. The same inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied in all partner
9 countries.

10 **Inclusion and exclusion criteria for all participants:**

- 11 • Age between 8 and 12 years.
- 12 • At least 1 ½ years of formal reading instruction.
- 13 • An age-appropriate scaled score of at least 7 on WISC Block Design, and
14 of at least 6 on WISC Similarities (standardized tests of non-verbal and
15 verbal intelligence respectively with a population mean=10 and SD=3⁴⁰).
- 16 • An attention scale score within the 95th percentile of the age-appropriate
17 norm, either from the Child Behavior Check-List⁴¹ or from the Conners
18 questionnaire⁴² from the parents.
- 19 • The following exclusion criteria from the parental questionnaire: hearing
20 loss; uncorrected sight problems; language of the test not spoken by at
21 least one parent since birth; test language not being the child's school
22 language; child missed school for any period of 3 months or more;

1 formal diagnosis of ADHD (attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder);
2 medication for epilepsy or behavioural problems.

3 **Inclusion criterion for the dyslexia cases:**

- 4 • More than 1.25 SD below grade level on a standardized word-reading
5 test.

6 **Inclusion criterion for the controls:**

- 7 • Less than 0.85 SD below grade level on a standardised word-reading test.

8 The NeuroDys cohort is composed of 958 dyslexia cases and 1,150 controls from eight
9 different European countries: Austria, France, Germany, The Netherlands, Switzerland,
10 Finland, Hungary, and the United Kingdom (Table 2).

11

12 **Phenotypes**

13 **Dyslexia:** On top of common inclusion and exclusion criteria (see above), children were
14 classified according to word-reading ability; dyslexic (case) if below -1.25 SD or
15 control if above -0.85 SD.

16 **Word-reading:** With the exception of English, word-reading accuracy and word-
17 reading speed were assessed by presenting word lists under a speeded instruction
18 (“Read as quickly as possible without making mistakes”). Both accuracy and speed
19 were recorded, and converted into a composite word-reading fluency measure (number
20 of words correctly read per minute), then into Z-scores based on age or grade-
21 appropriate norms for each language. In English, reading was not timed and therefore
22 this measure reflects word-reading accuracy only.

1 **Spelling:** Standardized spelling tests were given by each contributor. All tests required
2 the spelling of single words dictated in sentence frames and the number of spelling
3 errors were counted. Grade specific Z-scores were calculated based on age or grade-
4 appropriate norms for each language.

5

6 **Genotyping**

7 Samples were genotyped for 19 SNPs using the Sequenom MassARRAY system
8 (Sequenom, San Diego, USA) in one of three laboratories. The United Kingdom (UK)
9 samples were genotyped at the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics (Oxford,
10 UK), the Finnish samples were genotyped at the mutation analysis facility (MAF) of the
11 Karolinska Institutet (Stockholm, Sweden) while the remaining six sample sets (from
12 Austria, France, Germany, Hungary, Switzerland, and The Netherlands) were genotyped
13 at the Life & Brain Center (Bonn, Germany). For all sample sets independently, SNPs
14 with a minor allele frequency (MAF) <1% and a call rate <95% were excluded. All
15 SNPs were in Hardy-Weinberg-Equilibrium (HWE, $p>0.01$) and individuals with a call
16 rate <85% were excluded. After these quality control measures, 15 of the 19 SNPs
17 genotyped remained in common for all eight sample sets (Supplementary Table 1 and
18 Supplementary Table 2).

19

20 **Statistical analyses**

21 Tests for heterogeneity were conducted using Genepop (<http://genepop.curtin.edu.au/>).
22 Association analyses for single markers as well as for haplotypes were performed using
23 PLINK (<http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/~purcell/plink/>). Z-score based meta-analysis was

1 calculated in R (<http://www.r-project.org/>). Haplotypes were selected based on
2 previously published positive associations, *i.e.* rs917235-rs714939 (G-G), rs1000585-
3 rs917235-rs714939 (G-G-G), and rs917235-rs714939-rs6732511 (G-G-C) for the
4 *MRPL19/C2ORF3* locus³⁵ and rs793862-rs807701 (A-C) for the *DCDC2* locus.¹⁹
5 Correction for multiple testing was performed using the Bonferroni method. The
6 correction based on 19 single markers and four haplotypes – analysed for three traits
7 (case-control, word-reading, spelling) – results in a significance threshold of $p=0.00072$
8 ($= 0.05/69$ tests).

9

10 **RESULTS**

11 We performed a genetic heterogeneity analysis of all sample sets included in the study,
12 in order to assess whether we could analyse the whole data set as a single sample or as a
13 meta-analysis. For this, we tested at each locus if alleles were drawn from the same
14 distribution in all eight populations. This analysis revealed significant inter-population
15 differences between the eight sample sets but with no significant differences in allele
16 frequencies for the sample sets from Central Europe (“CE” sample, Supplementary
17 Table 3). We therefore performed a case-control analysis in each of the eight sample
18 sets separately, followed by a meta-analysis across the “CE” samples (580 cases and
19 625 controls from Austria, France, Germany, Switzerland, and The Netherlands) and a
20 meta-analysis across all samples from the NeuroDys cohort (“All” sample: 958 cases
21 and 1,150 controls, Table 2).

22 **Case-control association study**

23 **SNPs:** In the single marker case-control analysis of each separate sample set, several
24 SNPs reached nominal significance ($p<0.05$). These included two SNPs from *DYX1C1*

1 tested in the Dutch sample and one SNP from *DCDC2* tested in the Hungarian sample
2 (Supplementary Table 4). However, none of these SNPs withstood correction for
3 multiple testing. In the meta-analysis of the “CE” and “All” samples, no single SNP
4 reached nominal association (Table 3).

5 **Haplotypes:** Furthermore, we tested if any previously reported haplotypes showed
6 association using the case-control status. Only the rs793862-rs807701 haplotype from
7 the *DCDC2* locus showed nominal association in the Hungarian sample set
8 (Supplementary Table 5). However, this association did not withstand correction for
9 multiple testing. In the “CE” and “All” sample, none of the tested haplotypes showed
10 association with dyslexia (Table 4).

11 **Quantitative trait association study**

12 In a second step, we performed a quantitative trait analysis using two measurements –
13 word-reading and spelling – for all cases of the eight single samples sets separately.
14 Subsequently, we performed a meta-analysis for the quantitative traits across the cases
15 from the “CE” (N=580) and the “All” (N=958) samples.

16 **SNPs:** For some of the genotyped SNPs, we observed nominal associations with word-
17 reading or spelling in single sample sets (Supplementary Table 6 and Supplementary
18 Table 8). However, only one marker within *DYX1C1* – associated with spelling –
19 withstood correction for multiple testing (rs3743205, $p=2.98 \times 10^{-04}$, $p_{\text{corrected}}=0.0206$;
20 Supplementary Table 8) in the Switzerland sample set. The meta-analysis across the
21 “CE” cases resulted in one nominal association between a *DYX1C1* SNP and the
22 quantitative trait word-reading (Table 3). For spelling, four markers within *KIAA0319*
23 showed nominal association. However, none of these associations withstood correction

1 for multiple testing (Table 3). In the “All” sample, we did not observe association for
2 the trait word-reading and spelling (Table 3).

3 **Haplotypes:** The haplotype association analysis using the quantitative trait word-
4 reading in each sample set separately revealed four nominally significant haplotypes -
5 three of them in the German sample and one in the Hungarian sample. However, none
6 of the haplotypes withstood correction for multiple testing (Supplementary Table 7).
7 Furthermore, we observed three nominally significant associations with haplotypes in
8 the spelling analysis: two haplotypes in the German set and the third haplotype in the set
9 from The Netherlands. Again, none of them remained significant after Bonferroni
10 correction (Supplementary Table 9). The haplotype analysis using the quantitative traits
11 revealed no significant association in the “CE” or “All” samples (Table 4).

12

13 **DISCUSSION**

14 In the present study we conducted a candidate gene association analysis in the
15 NeuroDys cohort which is composed of 958 individuals with dyslexia and 1,150
16 controls from Austria, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Switzerland, The
17 Netherlands, and the UK. Participants to the study were recruited using consistent
18 ascertainment criteria across all countries.³⁹ To our knowledge, this study represents the
19 first cross-linguistic genetic association analysis in dyslexia. We tested 19 SNPs and
20 four haplotypes previously reported to be associated with dyslexia. The markers were
21 located in the dyslexia candidate genes *DYX1C1*, *DCDC2*, *KIAA0319*, and the
22 *MRPL19/C2ORF3* locus. Although we observed several nominal associations in
23 samples from individual countries (Supplementary Table 4-9), none of them were

1 significantly associated with dyslexia or any quantitative phenotypes (*i.e.* word-reading
2 and spelling) in the whole NeuroDys cohort (“All” sample, Table 3 and Table 4).

3 Different reasons may be causing this lack of association. Firstly, the samples included
4 were of different ethnic origin and different SNPs or haplotypes may contribute to
5 disease or trait risk in divergent populations. This may be particularly true for the
6 Finnish sample, where differences in the genomic architecture compared to other
7 European populations have been previously reported.^{43,44} Even for samples from Central
8 Europe, population-specific haplotypes may exist.^{45,46} Secondly, it is possible that the
9 genetic risk associated with dyslexia is language-dependent. However, this hypothesis
10 seems rather unlikely for the samples from Austria, Germany, and Switzerland as these
11 populations are using the same language (*i.e.* German) and we failed to find any
12 association withstanding multiple testing correction restricting our analyses to these
13 samples (data not shown).

14 Nevertheless, even if the susceptibility to dyslexia is not language-dependent, the
15 necessary adaptation of the common ascertainment scheme and of the test battery to
16 each language’s properties and to each local environment may have introduced some
17 heterogeneity. In addition, environmental factors – in particular pre-school
18 (nursery/kindergarden) education and teaching methods applied in schools – are
19 different between countries. Thirdly, one limitation of this study is that we have not
20 included measures which cover the whole spectrum of dyslexia related traits.^{38,47}

21 Previous association studies have reported an association between some of the herein
22 reported genes and phonological processing, orthographic awareness, auditory memory,
23 and rapid naming.³⁸ The missing analysis of relevant subtypes, quantitative measures, or
24 the severity of dyslexia could be a further factor for the lack of association in this study.

1 Fourthly, it is quite possible that the samples used in this study were underpowered to
2 replicate the associations that have been observed previously. It is a known
3 phenomenon that the genetic effect of SNP associations is often overestimated in initial
4 studies (winner's curse). If *DYX1C1*, *DCDC2*, *KIAA0319*, or the *MRPL19/C2ORF3*
5 locus harbour common risk variants contributing to dyslexia, the use of an
6 underpowered case-control sample seems to be the most likely explanation for our
7 replication failure.

8 Despite all the above mentioned general causes to our failure in replicating the
9 associations previously reported, gene-specific factors might also be a cause. For
10 example, studies have shown that *KIAA0319* appears to be more relevant in controlling
11 general reading^{27,28} abilities and association with this phenotype is more likely to be
12 detected by quantitative trait analysis. However, we failed to detect any association
13 using quantitative trait analysis but it has to be noted that our sample was selected for
14 representing the lower tail of the reading distribution and therefore is not optimal for
15 testing quantitative traits such as general reading skills. Another example concerns
16 *DYX1C1*, which was originally implicated in the aetiology of dyslexia in a Finnish
17 dyslexia family by breakpoint mapping. It is possible that this gene represents a genuine
18 dyslexia risk gene and that common risk variants in *DYX1C1* are contributing to the
19 phenotype, as supported also by associations with reading and spelling in an unselected
20 adolescent cohort from Australia.¹⁵ However, it might be also possible that high-
21 penetrance mutations in *DYX1C1* or in the other dyslexia candidate genes are only
22 present in some familial cases. In this case, a deep sequencing approach in families with
23 dyslexia would be more appropriate in order to find an enrichment of such high-
24 penetrance private mutations.

1 Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have been successful in mapping risk genes
2 for many complex traits including neuropsychiatric disorders. It has become clear that
3 the success of these studies largely depends on sample sizes, for example a sample size
4 of several thousand individuals seems to be the requirement for achieving significant
5 associations.^{48,49} A GWAS on such a large dyslexia sample would provide an
6 appropriate approach to identify the still unknown dyslexia risk variants. Therefore we
7 conclude that efforts should focus in collecting samples of adequate size by applying
8 similar ascertainment criteria across different countries as we have done with the
9 NeuroDys Consortium.

10

11 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

12 We are grateful to all the participants that took part in the study as well as all
13 psychologists recruiting and testing those participants. The NeuroDys Consortium was
14 funded by the EU [Neurodys,018696]. The work conducted at the WTCHG was
15 supported by Wellcome Trust grants [076566/Z/05/Z];[075491/Z/04], the work in
16 Zurich partly by an SNSF grant [32-108130]. MAF (Mutation Analysis core Facility) at
17 the Karolinska Institute, Novum, Huddinge, is to be thanked. SP is a Royal Society
18 University Research Fellow. The French part of the project was funded by Agence
19 Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-06-NEURO-019-01 GENEDYS) and Ville de Paris.
20 Darina Czamara was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (German
21 Research Foundation) within the framework of the Munich Cluster for Systems
22 Neurology (EXC 1010 SyNergy).

23

1 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

2 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

1 REFERENCES

- 2 1 Katusic SK, Colligan RC, Barbaresi WJ, Schaid DJ, Jacobsen SJ: Incidence of
3 reading disability in a population-based birth cohort, 1976-1982, Rochester,
4 Minn. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2001; **76**: 1081-1092.
- 5 2 Shaywitz SE, Shaywitz BA, Fletcher JM, Escobar MD: Prevalence of reading
6 disability in boys and girls. Results of the Connecticut Longitudinal Study. *Jama*
7 1990; **264**: 998-1002.
- 8 3 Shaywitz SE, Fletcher JM, Holahan JM *et al*: Persistence of dyslexia: the
9 Connecticut Longitudinal Study at adolescence. *Pediatrics* 1999; **104**: 1351-
10 1359.
- 11 4 Dilling H, Mombour W, Schmidt MH: Internationale Klassifikation psychischer
12 Störungen, Klinisch-diagnostische Leitlinien. *Bern: Huber* 2008; **ICD-10**
13 **Kapitel V (F)**.
- 14 5 American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental
15 disorders, Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association, 2000, vol 4th
16 ed., text revision.
- 17 6 Fisher SE, DeFries JC: Developmental dyslexia: genetic dissection of a complex
18 cognitive trait. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2002; **3**: 767-780.
- 19 7 Barry JG, Yasin I, Bishop DV: Heritable risk factors associated with language
20 impairments. *Genes Brain Behav* 2007; **6**: 66-76.
- 21 8 Williams J, O'Donovan MC: The genetics of developmental dyslexia. *Eur J*
22 *Hum Genet* 2006; **14**: 681-689.
- 23 9 Schumacher J, Hoffmann P, Schmal C, Schulte-Körne G, Nothen MM: Genetics
24 of dyslexia: the evolving landscape. *J Med Genet* 2007; **44**: 289-297.
- 25 10 Scerri TS, Schulte-Körne G: Genetics of developmental dyslexia. *Eur Child*
26 *Adolesc Psychiatry* 2009.
- 27 11 Taipale M, Kaminen N, Nopola-Hemmi J *et al*: A candidate gene for
28 developmental dyslexia encodes a nuclear tetratricopeptide repeat domain
29 protein dynamically regulated in brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003; **100**:
30 11553-11558.
- 31 12 Marino C, Citterio A, Giorda R *et al*: Association of short-term memory with a
32 variant within DYX1C1 in developmental dyslexia. *Genes Brain Behav* 2007; **6**:
33 640-646.
- 34 13 Scerri TS, Fisher SE, Francks C *et al*: Putative functional alleles of DYX1C1 are
35 not associated with dyslexia susceptibility in a large sample of sibling pairs from
36 the UK. *J Med Genet* 2004; **41**: 853-857.
- 37 14 Wigg KG, Couto JM, Feng Y *et al*: Support for EKN1 as the susceptibility locus
38 for dyslexia on 15q21. *Mol Psychiatry* 2004; **9**: 1111-1121.
- 39 15 Bates TC, Lind PA, Luciano M, Montgomery GW, Martin NG, Wright MJ:
40 Dyslexia and DYX1C1: deficits in reading and spelling associated with a
41 missense mutation. *Mol Psychiatry* 2009; **15**: 1190-1196.
- 42 16 Dahdouh F, Anthoni H, Tapia-Paez I *et al*: Further evidence for DYX1C1 as a
43 susceptibility factor for dyslexia. *Psychiatr Genet* 2009; **19**: 59-63.
- 44 17 Tapia-Páez I, Tammimies K, Massinen S, Roy AL, Kere J: The complex of
45 TFII-I, PARP1, and SFPQ proteins regulates the DYX1C1 gene implicated in
46 neuronal migration and dyslexia. *Faseb J* 2008; **22**: 3001-3009.

- 1 18 Meng H, Smith SD, Hager K *et al*: DCDC2 is associated with reading disability
2 and modulates neuronal development in the brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
3 2005; **102**: 17053-17058.
- 4 19 Schumacher J, Anthoni H, Dahdouh F *et al*: Strong genetic evidence of DCDC2
5 as a susceptibility gene for dyslexia. *Am J Hum Genet* 2006; **78**: 52-62.
- 6 20 Deffenbacher KE, Kenyon JB, Hoover DM *et al*: Refinement of the 6p21.3
7 quantitative trait locus influencing dyslexia: linkage and association analyses.
8 *Hum Genet* 2004; **115**: 128-138.
- 9 21 Francks C, Paracchini S, Smith SD *et al*: A 77-kilobase region of chromosome
10 6p22.2 is associated with dyslexia in families from the United Kingdom and
11 from the United States. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004; **75**: 1046-1058.
- 12 22 Cope N, Harold D, Hill G *et al*: Strong evidence that KIAA0319 on
13 chromosome 6p is a susceptibility gene for developmental dyslexia. *Am J Hum*
14 *Genet* 2005; **76**: 581-591.
- 15 23 Lind PA, Luciano M, Wright MJ, Montgomery GW, Martin NG, Bates TC:
16 Dyslexia and DCDC2: normal variation in reading and spelling is associated
17 with DCDC2 polymorphisms in an Australian population sample. *Eur J Hum*
18 *Genet* 2010; **18**: 668-673.
- 19 24 Newbury DF, Paracchini S, Scerri TS *et al*: Investigation of dyslexia and SLI
20 risk variants in reading and language-impaired subjects. *Behav Genet* 2011; **41**:
21 90-104.
- 22 25 Ludwig KU, Schumacher J, Schulte-Korne G *et al*: Investigation of the DCDC2
23 intron 2 deletion/compound short tandem repeat polymorphism in a large
24 German dyslexia sample. *Psychiatr Genet* 2008; **18**: 310-312.
- 25 26 Wilcke A, Weissfuss J, Kirsten H, Wolfram G, Boltze J, Ahnert P: The role of
26 gene DCDC2 in German dyslexics. *Ann Dyslexia* 2009; **59**: 1-11.
- 27 27 Scerri TS, Morris AP, Buckingham LL *et al*: DCDC2, KIAA0319 and CMIP are
28 associated with reading-related traits. *Biol Psychiatry* 2011; **70**: 237-245.
- 29 28 Paracchini S, Steer CD, Buckingham LL *et al*: Association of the KIAA0319
30 dyslexia susceptibility gene with reading skills in the general population. *Am J*
31 *Psychiatry* 2008; **165**: 1576-1584.
- 32 29 Luciano M, Lind PA, Duffy DL *et al*: A haplotype spanning KIAA0319 and
33 TTRAP is associated with normal variation in reading and spelling ability. *Biol*
34 *Psychiatry* 2007; **62**: 811-817.
- 35 30 Dennis MY, Paracchini S, Scerri TS *et al*: A common variant associated with
36 dyslexia reduces expression of the KIAA0319 gene. *PLoS Genet* 2009; **5**:
37 e1000436.
- 38 31 Harold D, Paracchini S, Scerri T *et al*: Further evidence that the KIAA0319 gene
39 confers susceptibility to developmental dyslexia. *Mol Psychiatry* 2006; **11**:
40 1085-1091, 1061.
- 41 32 Paracchini S, Thomas A, Castro S *et al*: The chromosome 6p22 haplotype
42 associated with dyslexia reduces the expression of KIAA0319, a novel gene
43 involved in neuronal migration. *Hum Mol Genet* 2006; **15**: 1659-1666.
- 44 33 Ludwig KU, Roeske D, Schumacher J *et al*: Investigation of interaction between
45 DCDC2 and KIAA0319 in a large German dyslexia sample. *J Neural Transm*
46 2008; **115**: 1587-1589.

1 34 Darki F, Peyrard-Janvid M, Matsson H, Kere J, Klingberg T: Three Dyslexia
2 Susceptibility Genes, DYX1C1, DCDC2, and KIAA0319, Affect Temporoparietal
3 Parietal White Matter Structure. *Biol Psychiatry* 2012; **72**: 671-676.

4 35 Anthoni H, Zucchelli M, Matsson H *et al*. A locus on 2p12 containing the co-
5 regulated MRPL19 and C2ORF3 genes is associated to dyslexia.: *Hum Mol*
6 *Genet*, 2007, vol 16, pp 667-677.

7 36 Scerri TS, Darki F, Newbury DF *et al*: The dyslexia candidate locus on 2p12 is
8 associated with general cognitive ability and white matter structure. *PLoS One*
9 2012.

10 37 Paracchini S, Scerri T, Monaco AP: The genetic lexicon of dyslexia. *Annu Rev*
11 *Genomics Hum Genet* 2007; **8**: 57-79.

12 38 Skiba T, Landi N, Wagner R, Grigorenko EL: In search of the perfect
13 phenotype: an analysis of linkage and association studies of reading and reading-
14 related processes. *Behav Genet* 2011; **41**: 6-30.

15 39 Landerl K, Ramus F, Moll K *et al*: Predictors of developmental dyslexia in
16 European orthographies with varying complexity. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*.
17 2013.

18 40 Wechsler D: Wechsler intelligence scale for children fourth edition. 2003.

19 41 Achenbach T: Child Behavior Check-List., 2001.

20 42 Conners CK: Rating scales for use in drug studies with children. 1973.

21 43 Lao O, Lu TT, Nothnagel M *et al*: Correlation between genetic and geographic
22 structure in Europe. *Curr Biol* 2008; **18**: 1241-1248.

23 44 Novembre J, Johnson T, Bryc K *et al*: Genes mirror geography within Europe.
24 *Nature* 2008; **456**: 98-101.

25 45 Nelis M, Esko T, Magi R *et al*: Genetic structure of Europeans: a view from the
26 North-East. *PLoS One* 2009; **4**: e5472.

27 46 Salmela E, Lappalainen T, Fransson I *et al*: Genome-wide analysis of single
28 nucleotide polymorphisms uncovers population structure in Northern Europe.
29 *PLoS One* 2008; **3**: e3519.

30 47 Schulte-Korne G, Ziegler A, Deimel W *et al*: Interrelationship and familiarity of
31 dyslexia related quantitative measures. *Ann Hum Genet* 2007; **71**: 160-175.

32 48 Ripke S, Sanders AR, Kendler KS *et al*: Genome-wide association study
33 identifies five new schizophrenia loci. *Nat Genet* 2011; **43**: 969-976.

34 49 C4D-Genetics-Consortium: A genome-wide association study in Europeans and
35 South Asians identifies five new loci for coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet*