UNIVERSITY^{of} BIRMINGHAM

The University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Effects of sucrose detection threshold and weight status on intake of fruit and vegetables in children

Fogel, Anna; Blissett, Jacqueline

DOI: 10.1016/j.appet.2014.09.003

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Fogel, A & Blissett, J 2014, 'Effects of sucrose detection threshold and weight status on intake of fruit and vegetables in children' Appetite, vol 83, pp. 309-316. DOI: 10.1016/j.appet.2014.09.003

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Appetite. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Appetite, Volume 83, December 2014, DOI: 10.1016/j.appet.2014.09.003.

Eligibility for repository checked March 2015

General rights

When referring to this publication, please cite the published version. Copyright and associated moral rights for publications accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners. It is a condition of accessing this publication that users abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

· You may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

• Users may download and print one copy of the publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

- If a Creative Commons licence is associated with this publication, please consult the terms and conditions cited therein.
- Unless otherwise stated, you may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document infringes copyright please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Effects of sucrose detection threshold and weight status on intake of fruit and vegetables in children.

Author: Anna Fogel, Jackie Blissett

PII:	S0195-6663(14)00444-9
DOI:	http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2014.09.003
Reference:	APPET 2273

To appear in: Appetite

 Received date:
 27-2-2014

 Revised date:
 29-8-2014

 Accepted date:
 4-9-2014



Please cite this article as: Anna Fogel, Jackie Blissett, Effects of sucrose detection threshold and weight status on intake of fruit and vegetables in children., *Appetite* (2014), http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2014.09.003.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1	EFFECTS OF SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND WEIGHT STATUS ON
2	INTAKE OF FRUIT AND VEGETABLES IN CHILDREN.
3	
4	
5	Anna Fogel, MSc and Jackie Blissett, Ph.D.
6	
7	School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, UK
8	S
9	
10	
11	
12	All correspondence to Miss Anna Fogel
13	School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston,
14	Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK
15	<u>a.fogel@bham.ac.uk</u> Tel: +44 (0)121 414 6245
16	
17	
18	This work was supported by a grant from Internationale Stiftung für
19	Ernährungsforschung und Ernährungsaufklärung (International Foundation for
20	the Promotion of Nutrition Research and Nutrition Education).

21	
22	
23	
24	Highlights
25	
26	• We measured sucrose detection threshold (SDT) and BMI centile of children
27	 Their effects on 24 hour intake of fruit and vegetables were analysed
28	Children with moderate SDT consumed the most non-astringent fruit
29	Children with high SDT consumed the most cruciferous vegetables
30	 Weight had no effect on intake of fruit and vegetables
31	S
32	Abstract
22	Past research on the relationship between taste consitivity and fruit and
22	rast research on the relationship between taste sensitivity and nuit and
34	vegetable (FV) intake in children has focused on sensitivity to bitter taste. The
35	effects of sensitivity to sweet taste on intake of FV have never been investigated.
36	Furthermore, the effects of children's weight on intake of FV are inconclusive.
37	This study measured the effects of Sucrose Detection Threshold (SDT) and weight
38	status on intake of FV in children. The participants of this study were 99 children
39	between 5-9 years old. Parents reported their own and their children's 24 hour
40	intake of FV and completed a measure of children's sensory sensitivity. Children
41	completed the triangle test with suprathreshold concentrations of sucrose
42	ranging between 0.2%- 1.6%, in 0.2% increments. Two MANCOVAs showed that,
43	controlling for parental intake and children's sensory sensitivity, there was a
44	main effect of SDT on intake of fruit (p< 0.05), which was exclusive to non-
45	astringent fruit (p<0.05), and cruciferous vegetables (p<0.01). Weight status had

46	no effect on intake of FV. Mechanisms behind the effects of SDT are discussed in
47	the context of past research on bitter taste sensitivity.
48	Keywords
49	Children, fruit, vegetables, weight, sucrose detection threshold
50	Abbreviations
51	FV- fruit and vegetables; SDT- Sucrose Detection Threshold; SSP- Short Sensory
52	Profile
53	S
54	Effects of sucrose detection threshold and weight status on intake of fruit and
55	vegetables in children.
56	1. Introduction
57	Research consistently shows that consumption of fruit and vegetables
58	(FV) among children and adults is too low (for a review see Krolner, Rasmussen,
59	Brug, Klepp, Wind & Due, 2011), yet a diet rich in FV has been linked to reduced
60	prevalence of cancer (Maynard, Gunnell, Emmett, Frankel & Davey Smith, 2003).
61	One of the main determinants of dietary choices is the flavour of food (Prescott,
62	Bell, Gillmore, Yoshida et al., 1997). It is therefore not surprising that FV are the
63	most commonly rejected group of products by children (Cooke, Carnell & Wardle,
64	2006), as they are naturally low in palatable fats and in the case of vegetables also
65	low in sweet carbohydrates with some degree of bitterness which makes them a
66	relatively unattractive food option. This additionally prevents flavour learning
67	thus increasing predisposition for future rejection.

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

68	Past research has shown that both environmental and physiological
69	factors affect consumption of FV in children. Important environmental
70	contributors include exposure to tastes in infancy (Birch, Gunder, Grimm-Thomas
71	& Laing, 1998), parental FV consumption (Gibson, Wardle & Watts, 1998), socio-
72	economic status of parents and home availability (Rasmussen, Krølner, Klepp,
73	Lytle, Brug, Bere & Due, 2006). There are also some physiological contributors
74	that have been linked to consumption of FV (for a full review on intrinsic and
75	extrinsic influences of FV consumption, see Blissett & Fogel, 2013). An important
76	individual difference affecting FV consumption is children's sensory processing.
77	Children who are particularly sensitive to sensory stimuli such as odour, colour,
78	or texture are more likely to reject FV that are characterised by intense or
79	unusual flavour, scent, colour or lumpy texture, due to the differences in
80	acceptance thresholds for external stimulation (Dunn, 1997). Coulthard and
81	Blissett (2009) showed that parental reports of children's sensory sensitivity are
82	related to children's consumption of FV. In their study, children who were the
83	most sensitive to taste and smell were also less likely to consume adequate
84	portions of FV. Possibly, those most sensitive children are more likely to detect
85	changes in flavour of foods and reject the product if it departs from an internally
86	stored prototype of what particular product should taste like. Smith, Roux,
87	Naidoo and Venter (2005) showed that children who have atypical sensitivity in
88	the tactile domain known as 'Tactile Defensiveness', had a lower preference for
89	vegetables compared to non tactile defensive peers, they ate fewer vegetables
90	and rejected vegetables based on their texture. Typicality of colour and departure
91	from the known and accepted colour of FV was also shown to affect preference
92	and acceptance of vegetables (Poelman & Delahunty, 2011), showing the impact

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

of visual/auditory sensitivity on acceptance of FV. Atypical sensitivity in
visual/auditory, taste/smell and tactile domains should therefore be taken into
account when analysing the potential effects of flavour specific sensitivity on
intake of FV.

Past research on sensitivity to taste and intake of FV has been mainly 97 focused on sensitivity to bitter taste, which is measured as the ability to detect a 98 bitter tasting compound 6-n-propylthiouracil (PROP) or its predecessors 99 propylthiouracil (PTU) and phenylthiocarbamide (PTC). Past studies showed that 100 bitter taste sensitivity can predict intake of bitter tasting FV in children (e.g. Bell 101 & Tepper, 2006; Keller, Steinmann, Nurse & Tepper, 2002), as FV contain 102 different degrees of bitter alkaloids that affect the degree to which humans 103 104 perceive them as bitter (Drewnowski & Carneros, 2000). At the same time there are individual differences in the perceived intensity of bitterness of FV due to the 105 polymorphic nature of genes responsible for bitter taste recognition (Duffy, 106 Hayes & Barthoshuk, 2010). People sensitive to the bitter alkaloids should be 107 more likely to reject bitter FV, as the detected bitterness would negatively affect 108 109 palatability of those products (Duffy, Hayes, Davidson, Kidd, Kidd & Bartoshuk, 2010). The Brassicaceae family of vegetables (cruciferous vegetables) is the 110 group that contains the highest degree of bitter alkaloids, so sensitivity to bitter 111 compounds should have the highest impact on acceptance of this specific family 112 within the vegetable group. However, data showing the link between bitter taste 113 sensitivity and FV consumption is inconclusive, since several studies failed to 114 show that FV intake differs by bitter taste sensitivity status, both within the 115 general FV group (Feeney, O'Brien, Scannell, Markey & Gibney, 2014) and 116 specifically in the cruciferous vegetables family (Baranowski, Baranowski, 117

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

Watson, Jago et al., 2011). Within the fruit range, fruit with astringent properties
would be most likely to be affected by bitter taste sensitivity. Fruit rich in
phenolic compounds, which contribute to bitterness and astringency, would be
more likely to be rejected given the universal predisposition to dislike bitter or
sour flavours (Birch, 1999), and even more so by people sensitive to bitter
flavours.

An alternative explanation for individual differences in FV intake that 124 has not been thoroughly researched is that the degree of FV sweetness is likely to 125 affect how palatable they are and in this way affect acceptance. Individual 126 differences in sensitivity to sweet flavour may help explain variation in FV intake, 127 especially since bitter taste sensitivity cannot be used to explain intake of non-128 129 bitter FV (those that lack the bitter alkaloids). Sensitivity to sweet taste requires particular research attention due to the suggested polymorphic connection 130 between transduction mechanisms of bitter and sweet compounds (e.g. Fushan, 131 Simons, Slack & Drayna, 2010; Looy & Weingarten, 1992). Gustducin is thought to 132 be involved in transmitting of both bitter and sweet compounds, which suggests 133 134 that a similar mechanism may be involved in their detection, which leads to a question of the role of sweet taste sensitivity in the acceptance of FV (Fushan et 135 al., 2010). Past studies focused on both detection (lowest concentration of tastant 136 detected) and recognition thresholds (lowest concentration of tastant recognised 137 as particular flavour e.g. sweet). Low detection/recognition thresholds are 138 indicative of high sensitivity to the flavour. There is evidence for a link between 139 phenotypic sensitivity to sweet and to the bitter taste. Hong, Chung, Kim and 140 Chung et al. (2004) demonstrated that participants who were blind to the taste of 141 the bitter chemical PTC (hence showed low bitter taste sensitivity) had a 142

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

143	significantly higher sucrose detection threshold (SDT) and sucrose recognition
144	thresholds, which both were indicative of low sensitivity to the sweet
145	compounds, thus showing a positive link between the two types of sensitivity.
146	Chang, Chung, Kim, Chung et al. (2006) showed further support for the link
147	between bitter and sweet taste sensitivity using PROP as the bitter tastant and
148	demonstrated that PROP non-tasters (indicative of low sensitivity to bitter taste)
149	had a higher SDT (indicative of low sensitivity to sweet taste) compared to PROP
150	tasters. Given the link between the sweet and bitter taste sensitivity we propose
151	that sensitivity to sweet taste might affect intake of FV, which would be
152	particularly evident in children, who in the past have been shown to have higher
153	liking for sweet products than adults (Mennella, 2008). Since children also show
154	high rejection rates of FV, the role of individual differences in SDT in intake rates
155	of FV should be analysed.
156	Children's intake of FV has also been analysed in the context of child's

weight status, however the information is rather limited and findings 157 inconclusive (Field, Gillman, Rosner, Rockett & Colditz, 2003; for review see 158 Dietary Guidelines for Americans DGAC, 2010). Miller, Moore and Kral (2011) 159 showed that in a group of 5-6 year old children, overweight/obese children 160 consumed fewer portions of FV than their healthy weight peers. Similarly, Lorson, 161 Mergal-Quinonez and Taylor (2009) demonstrated that in a sample of 3040 162 children between 2-11 years old, the overweight children consumed less fruit 163 than the healthy weight or at risk of overweight children, but no differences in 164 vegetable intake were found. Contrary, to those findings Field et al. (2003) did 165 not find an association between FV intake and change in BMI in a sample of 166 14,918 children between 9-14 years old. It is important to point out that those 167

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

168	studies differed in employed objectives and methodologieswhich provides an
169	explanation for why the findings are inconsistent. More specifically, past research
170	showed that intake of FV in children differs by age, ethnicity, gender and
171	household income (Lorson et al., 2009), which makes comparison of the results
172	of the studies on the effects of weight status on intake of FV difficult. Different
173	measures of FV intake may yield different results, particularly comparing
174	parental reports and data collected empirically (e.g. skin carotenoid status) or
175	observational results in naturalistic settings. Also differences in applied
176	definitions of portion sizes, inclusion of different FV into the count (e.g. potatoes,
177	fruit juice, vegetable juice or pulses) all may contribute to inconsistent reports of
178	intake of FV among healthy weight and overweight children.
179	Interestingly, recent findings suggest that the relationship between SDT, weight
180	status and food intake may warrant investigation. For example, it has been
181	demonstrated that SDT can be affected by leptin levels in healthy weight but not
182	overweight adults (Yoshida, Niki, Jyotaki, Sanematsu et al., 2013). Consequently,
183	SDT might affect dietary choices differently in healthy weight individuals
184	compared to overweight/obese individuals. A study by Ettinger, Duizer and
185	Caldwell (2012) also showed that overweight adult women might have higher
186	detection threshold for sucrose compared to normal weight women, but this
187	finding requires further research. For this reason it would be interesting to look
188	at SDT levels and their possible effects on FV intake in the context of children's
189	weight status, as the analysed effects of SDT on FV intake may differ in healthy
190	weight and overweight/obese individuals.

191 Studies so far have not investigated whether individual detection192 thresholds for sweet compounds are related to FV intake in children and whether

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

this relationship varies by weight status. In addition there is limited evidence for 193 differences in FV intake in healthy weight and overweight/obese children. It is 194 possible that individuals who have a high detection threshold for sweet 195 compounds (indicative of low sensitivity) perceive the flavour of FV differently to 196 those with lower SDT (higher sensitivity), which might be reflected in their FV 197 intake. Hypothetically, their subjective perception of FV flavour pleasantness may 198 differ from children with low SDT who possibly could easily detect sweetness in 199 FV, especially in the non-bitter or non-astringent family. Past studies which 200 examined the relationship between FV intake and weight in children are 201 inconclusive and there are no data on the relationship between weight and FV 202 intake in the context of individual SDT. The aim of this study was to test whether 203 204 children's individual SDT are linked to intake of fruit and vegetables, and more specifically fruit with astringent properties and cruciferous vegetables. To make 205 it possible to compare with the previous studies, cruciferous vegetables were 206 analysed separately, as past studies on bitter taste sensitivity were often focused 207 on this particular family of vegetables (e.g. Baranowski et al., 2011; Glanville & 208 209 Kaplan, 1965; Drewnowski & Carneros, 2000). Fruit with astringent properties were also analysed separately as they differ in sensory properties from non-210 astringent fruit. Further, we aimed to investigate whether weight status is related 211 to intake of FV, and whether possible effects of SDT on intake of FV differ in 212 healthy weight and overweight/obese children, while controlling for sensitivity 213 in taste/smell, visual/auditory and tactile domain, as well as parental 214 215 consumption of FV.

216 **2. Method**

217 **2.1** Participants

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

218	Initially 108 parents and their children were recruited to the study,
219	however because of the absence from school, lack of consent form, underlying
220	medical conditions (e.g. diabetes) or uncompleted documents, only 99 children
221	(50 boys and 49 girls) completed the study. Children were recruited from 4
222	primary schools from affluent areas of Birmingham, UK (top 5% of the most
223	affluent areas in the UK, as measured by the Index of Multiple Deprivation Rank
224	IMDR, 2010). The mean age of the sample was M=7.21 (SD=1.3) years old. The
225	majority of the children were White British (n=90), and the remaining 9 children
226	were of Asian (n=5) or Mixed origin (n=4). The paper measures collected in this
227	study were completed by mothers (n=88), fathers (n=9) or the grandparent
228	(n=2). Parental mean age was 38.16 (SD= 9.24) years old. Children whose parents
229	reported their illnesses affecting nose or throat within the 4 weeks prior to data
230	collection were tested at least 3 weeks after the reported illness date $(n=3)$.
231	Participants who were ill on the day of testing were excluded from the study
232	(n=1). The children were tested in the school setting.

233

2.2 Materials and Measures

234

2.2.1 Sucrose Detection Threshold

235	Sucrose solutions were prepared at the University of Birmingham
236	food laboratory from standard sugar and distilled water, by diluting an
237	appropriate amount of sugar in distilled water and mixing until the sugar was
238	completely dissolved. The concentration of sugar in the solution was then
239	confirmed with the use of a refractometer (Mettler Quick-Brix 60 Meter) on two
240	occasions. The solutions were served at room temperature (22°C) in white non-
241	opaque paper cups (10 ml per serving). The following sucrose concentrations

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

were used to establish the children's SDT: 0%, 0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%, 0.8%, 1.0%,
1.2%, 1.4% and 1.6%. Those concentrations were chosen after an initial pilot
study that showed that these concentrations could differentiate between children
with various SDT.

246

2.2.2. Sensory sensitivity

To assess general sensory sensitivity of a child, parents were asked 247 to complete the Short Sensory Profile questionnaire (SSP; Dunn, 1999). This 248 contains 38 items that evaluate sensitivity in 7 domains, but for the purpose of 249 250 this study only 3 domains previously related to dietary preferences (Coulthard & Blissett, 2011; Smith et al., 2005) were assessed: Tactile (e.g. Reacts emotionally 251 or aggressively to touch), Taste/Smell (e.g. Will only eat certain tastes), 252 Visual/Auditory (e.g. Holds hands over ears to protect ears from sound) sensitivity. 253 The responses range from always to never on a 5 point Likert scale. This measure 254 255 has been previously used in studies examining children's eating behaviours (e.g. Farrow & Coulthard, 2012; Smith, Roux, Naidoo & Venter, 2005). 256

257

2.2.3 Fruit and vegetables

FV consumption over the past 24 hours was reported by the parents who completed a measure designed specifically for this study. Parents were given an extensive list of FV available in the local supermarkets (the list included 63 fruit and 59 vegetables). They were asked to mark which products they and their children consumed over the past 24 hours, as well as provide information about the portion size (what constituted a portion was clearly stated next to each product). FV were then split into sub-groups. Fruit count included all fruit

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

265	without fruit juice. Fruit was further split into astringent fruit group and non-
266	astringent fruit group. Astringent fruit contained fruit with astringent and irritant
267	properties due to high content of tannins (berries, sharon fruit, pomegranate),
268	naringin and hesperidin (lemons and limes) and ascorbic acid (kiwis and
269	pineapple). Vegetable count included all vegetables listed, except for potatoes
270	which were not included in the analyses. Vegetables were further split into
271	cruciferous vegetables and non-cruciferous vegetables.

272 **2.3 Procedure**

273 Schools which agreed to participate in the study distributed the full 274 information and questionnaire packs among the pupils. Parents who consented to 275 participate returned the completed questionnaires back to school (the return rate 276 was 24%) and their child was tested within 7 days. Children were asked not to 277 eat or drink anything other than water for 1 hour prior to the study. All children 278 were tested in the morning hours before lunch.

The method for establishing the SDT was adapted after Zhang, Zhang, 279 Wang, Zhan et al. (2008). The child was asked to sip and spit three liquids during 280 281 each round. Each round consisted of two presentations of water and a solution. In each round, one of the liquids was the sucrose solution (S) and two of the liquids 282 283 were distilled water (W). The order of the presentation of liquids in each round was randomized and was recorded (WWS, WSW, SWW). The solutions were 284 285 presented in increasing concentrations. The cups had random numbers written on them, to aid children's memory when recalling the different tasting solution. 286 The participant was asked to rinse their mouth with each one out of the three 287 liquids and spit it out to the bowl. The participant was asked to indicate which 288

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

one of the three liquids was different from the other two. If the participant could 289 not make the distinction they were requested to guess, since there was a 290 291 possibility that they were not consciously aware that they could taste the 292 difference. Then the participant was asked to rinse their mouth with water and spit it out twice. The inter-trial interval was approximately 60 seconds. The 293 procedure was repeated for all of the remaining concentrations. The test was 294 stopped when the child identified the correct solution on three consecutive trials. 295 Individual SDT was established as the middle solution correctly identified by the 296 child, or as the highest possible when the child correctly identified only the last 297 solution presented. The middle correctly identified solution was used as a SDT 298 measure to control for the first correctly identified solution occurring by chance. 299 300 The middle solution identified during the three rounds was therefore thought to be a more reliable indicator of SDT. The participant was weighed in light clothing 301 without the shoes using standard kitchen scales (accurate to 0.1 kg) and height 302 was measured using the stadiometer (Seca Leicester Portable height measure) at 303 the end of the experiment. 304

305 **3. Results**

306

3.1 Sucrose detection threshold

The median SDT in the sample was 1.0% (SD=0.37). SDTs were not normally distributed (KS; p<0.05). Past studies on bitter taste sensitivity using PROP tastant have divided the participants into three classes: non-tasters, tasters and super-tasters, despite PROP sensitivity being a continuous variable (Anliker & Barthoshuk, 1991; Baranowski et al. 2012; Bell & Tepper, 2006; Catanzaro, Chesbro & Velkey, 2013; Duffy et al., 2010). For comparative reasons,

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

313	participants in this study also were divided into three classes based on
314	suprathreshold sucrose detection levels. Children were classified as having low
315	(0.4 and 0.6%; n=35), moderate (0.8-1.2%; n=36) and high SDT (1.4 and 1.6%;
316	n=28). There was no relationship between SDT and children's age (Spearman's
317	rho; r=-0.16, p>0.05) and there were no gender differences in SDT (Mann
318	Whitney U; U= 1169.50; p>0.05). Children with the different level of SDT did not
319	differ in weight (ANOVA; F(2,96)= 0.93, p>0.05).

320 3.2 Fruit and Vegetable consumption

Data on FV intake was collected from both weekend (27.5%) and week days 321 (72.5%). There were no differences in the number of portions of fruit or 322 vegetables consumed between the children whose mother reported weekend and 323 weekday intake (Mann-Whitney U; U=846.5, p>0.05 for fruit; U=804.0, p>0.05 for 324 vegetables). The range of reported portions of FV consumed by children over the 325 24 hour period was between 0-28 portions. This unusually high range was an 326 indication of possible parental over-reporting, so outliers who scored more than 327 3 SD from the median have been excluded from the analyses (n=3). Baranowski et 328 al., (2012) dealt with over-reporters by excluding participants who scored more 329 than 1.4SD from the mean, however due to the smaller sample of this study the 330 331 exclusion criteria were less restrictive. After removing the outliers from the upper range, the range of reported intake of FV was 0-17 portions. Data for fruit 332 and/or vegetable consumption of children and parents did not meet assumptions 333 of normality (KS; p<0.05). Mean values and SE of children's and parents' reported 334 intake of FV over the 24 hour period after exclusion of over-reporters and 335

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

relationship between parental and child's intake (Spearman's rho) are presentedin Table 1.

338

339 **3.3 Weight**

340	Weight data of two children were not available for analyses because children did
341	not consent to being weighed. Based on their height and weight, children's BMI z-
342	scores were calculated using British 1990 Child Growth Reference Chart (UK90;
343	M=0.17, SE=0.12) and were shown to be normally distributed (KS; p>0.05). BMI
344	z-scores were later converted to the corresponding BMI centiles (M= 52.09, SE=
345	3.04) to allow a split into two categories, healthy weight ($n=77$) and
346	overweight/obese (n= 19). The groups were split based on the BMI centile cut
347	offs as recommended by National Obesity Observatory (NOO, 2011) at 85^{th}
348	centile indicating overweight and above 95^{th} centile indicating obese. For the
349	purpose of these analyses overweight ($n=16$) and obese ($n=3$) children were
350	classified as one group, which will be referred to as Overweight. There were no
351	underweight children in this sample.

352

3.4 Short Sensory Profile

Data from SSP were used to assess sensitivity of children across the three
domains. Sensory sensitivity in various domains was correlated with SDT, BMI
centile and FV intake. Sensitivity to taste and visual/auditory stimuli was
correlated with several subdivisions of FV intake. There were no relationships

with SDT or BMI centile. Data are summarised in Table 2.

358 **3.5 SDT, Weight and FV**

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

359	Two two-factor Multivariate Analyses of Covariance were conducted to test for
360	the effects of SDT and Weight status on the reported intake of FV, while
361	controlling for sensory sensitivity in taste/smell and visual/auditory domains, as
362	well as for parental consumption of FV. One analysis focussed on overall fruit and
363	vegetable intake and the second analysis examined subdivisions of FV
364	consumption (astringent/non astringent fruit, cruciferous/non-cruciferous
365	vegetables). MANCOVAs were used despite non-normal distribution of data as
366	other assumptions were not violated. Box's M test indicated that there was no
367	violation of the assumption of homogeneity of the variance-covariance matrices
368	(p>0.05) and assumptions of multicollinearity have not been violated, hence it
369	was deemed appropriate to use MANCOVA to test the hypotheses.
370	The first MANCOVA was conducted with two dependent variables; fruit and
371	vegetable intake, controlling for parental intake and taste sensitivity. The results
372	are summarised in Table 3. Using Pillai's trace, the effect of SDT on the dependent
373	variables missed the level of significance, V=0.11, F(4,158)=2.31 p=0.06. Separate
374	univariate ANOVAs on the outcome variables revealed significant effects of SDT
375	on intake of fruit but not vegetables.
376	Bonferroni post-hoc analysis showed that children with moderate SDT
377	consumed significantly more fruit (M= 4.60) than children with low SDT (M=2.77;

p=0.042). The difference in fruit intake between children with moderate and high

SDT was not significant (M=3.17, p=0.135). Also, there was no difference in fruit

intake between children with low and high SDT (p=1.000; see Fig 1).

Using Pillai's trace there was not a significant effect of weight status on the

dependent variables, V=0.01, F(2,78)=0.38, P=0.679. Separate ANOVAs showed

383	that there were no effects of weight status on intake of fruit or vegetables. The
384	interaction of SDT with weight status also did not influence FV intake at
385	multivariate level (Pillai's trace; V=0.07, F(4,158)=1.48, p=0.212). Separate
386	ANOVAs showed that interaction of SDT with weight status had no effect on
387	intake of fruit or vegetables.
388	
389	The second MANCOVA analysis included 4 dependent variables of subgroups of
390	FV: astringent fruit, non-astringent fruit, cruciferous vegetables and non-
391	cruciferous vegetables. Parental FV intake and taste and AV sensitivity were
392	controlled for. The results are summarised in Table 4.
393	Using Pillai's trace, there was a significant effect of SDT on the dependent
394	variables, V=0.22, F(8, 152)=2.33, p=0.022. Separate univariate ANOVAs on the
395	outcome variables revealed significant effects of SDT on intake of cruciferous
396	vegetables and non-astringent fruit. There were no effects of SDT on non-
397	cruciferous vegetables and astringent fruit intake.
398	Bonferroni post-hoc analysis showed that children with high SDT (M=0.98)
399	consumed significantly more cruciferous vegetables than children with low SDT
400	(M=0.13; p=0.006). The difference in cruciferous vegetables intake between
401	children with moderate (M= 0.36) and high SDT missed significance (p= 0.07).
402	Also, there was no difference in cruciferous vegetables intake between children
403	with low and moderate SDT (p=1.00; see Fig 2).
404	Bonferroni post-hoc analysis further showed that children with moderate SDT
405	consumed the most non-astringent fruit (M=3.81), compared to children with low

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

406	(M=2.38) and high SDT	(M=2.60). However, the difference	ces were not significant.
-----	-----------------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------------

407 The difference between children with moderate and low SDT missed significance

408 at p=0.07 level (see Fig 3).

- 409 Using Pillai's trace, there was not a significant effect of weight status on the
- 410 dependent variables, V=0.03, F(4,75)=0.64, p=0.637. Separate ANOVAs showed
- that there were no effects of weight status on any of the dependent variables.
- 412 The interaction of weight status and SDT also did not influence the dependent
- variables at the multivariate level, V=0.10, F(8, 152)=0.97, p=0.460. Separate
- 414 ANOVAs did not show effects of the interaction on the dependent variables.
- 415 However, the interaction of weight status and SDT on the intake of non-astringent
- 416 fruit missed significance at p=0.058 level.
- 417

418 4. Discussion

The aim of this study was to test if individual SDT and weight status 419 affect FV intake in children. We also wanted to explore possible interactions 420 between SDT and weight status on FV intake, whilst controlling for parental FV 421 422 intake and children's sensory sensitivity. The results showed that when controlling for taste/smell and visual/auditory sensitivity and parental FV intake, 423 424 individual SDT had an effect on the intake of non-astringent fruit and cruciferous vegetables. General intake of vegetables, non-cruciferous vegetables or astringent 425 fruit was not affected by SDT. Weight status had no effect on the number of 426 portions of fruit or vegetables consumed. Weight status and SDT did not interact 427 to affect FV intake. 428

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

429 **4.1 Fruit**

430	There was a main effect of SDT on the intake of fruit. Surprisingly,
431	children with moderate SDT consumed the most fruit and significantly more than
432	the children with low SDT, while the difference between children with moderate
433	and children with high SDT just missed significance. Children with moderate SDT
434	were reported to consume almost twice as many portions of fruit as children with
435	low SDT. Further analysis revealed that the difference in intake of fruit is
436	exclusive to non-astringent fruit. This finding is unexpected and mechanism
437	behind it is unclear.
438	As evident from the results, children who could easily detect sweet
439	compounds were reported to consume the smallest number of fruit, and

specifically, non-astringent fruit, and had a similar mean intake level to children 440 with high SDT. There are reasons to believe that two different mechanisms are 441 442 responsible for fruit acceptance in children with low and high SDT as the theoretical framework currently does not offer an explanation for why children at 443 the two opposite ends of SDT spectrum would show similar patterns of non-444 astringent fruit intake. Bartoshuk (2000) in a review paper showed that 11 out of 445 16 studies reported an association between detection of sweet and bitter 446 compounds, and she concluded that the results of the 5 remaining studies could 447 be explained by methodological shortcomings in the use of psychophysical 448 449 measures. Given the common transduction mechanisms of sweet and bitter tasting compounds (Zhang et al., 2003) it was expected that children with low 450 SDT would be the most sensitive to fruit with astringent properties. 451 Consequently, the possible increased sensitivity to bitter compounds among 452

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

453	children with low SDT would have an inhibitory effect on acceptance and further
454	intake of fruit, and in particular astringent fruit. Past studies demonstrated that
455	individuals sensitive to bitter tasting PROP could distinguish between different
456	degree of bitterness and astringency in products rich or poor in the astringent
457	tannins. Further, PROP sensitive participants had a lower acceptance level for
458	foods with various degrees of bitter tasting polyphenols in foods (Dinehart,
459	Hayes, Bartoshuk, Lanier & Duffy, 2006). Laaksonen, Ahola and Sandell (2013)
460	further demonstrated that individuals with the bitter tasting genotype disliked
461	juices from astringent tasting fruit significantly more than the individuals without
462	the bitter tasting genotype. Surprisingly, in the present study there were no
463	differences in the astringent fruit intake among children with different levels of
464	SDT. On the contrary, SDT showed effects on intake of non-astringent fruit.
465	Perhaps, the astringent properties of fruit were equally aversive to all children,
466	irrespective of their SDT. This would explain why the average intake of the
467	astringent fruit in the sample was almost 3 times smaller than the intake of non-
468	astringent fruit. Interestingly, the effects of SDT were evident in the non-
469	astringent fruit group. This might be attributable to the larger variance of the
470	level of sweetness in the non-astringent fruit group, or alternatively by the level
471	of sweetness which is not overshadowed by the unpleasant astringent properties.
472	SDT might affect intake of fruit only when universally aversive properties such as
473	astringency are absent.

An alternative interpretation is that children with high SDT might be affected by a different inhibitory mechanism. We might speculate that children with high SDT might require a higher level of sweetness than that found in fruit in order to find fruit palatable and satisfying, and consequently may show a lower

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

478	intake rate. Looy and Weingarten (1992) in their study on PROP sensitivity and
479	hedonic responses to sweet tastants showed that PROP nontasters were almost
480	always sweet likers and PROP tasters tended to be sweet dislikers. Perhaps
481	children with high SDT, who based on past research would tend to be PROP
482	nontasters, would require higher concentration of sweetness to find fruit
483	palatable and even fruit within the non-astringent group would not offer the
484	optimal level of sweetness that would be palatable to children with high SDT.
485	However, the relationship between detection thresholds for tastants and their
486	perceived intensity is not completely understood. Keast and Roper (2007)
487	showed that subjects who could detect the bitter tasting PROP at lower
488	concentrations showed higher perceived intensity of PROP at higher
489	concentrations. Those results were not repeated for other bitter tastants though.
490	It suggests that the relationship between tastant detection threshold and
491	perceived intensity is not a linear function. A similar mechanism might be present
492	in detection threshold for sucrose and consequently higher SDT might show an
493	inverse relationship with perceived intensity of sweetness, which might be
494	further related to experiences of intensity of sweetness in fruit. This hypothesis
495	would explain why children with high SDT showed lower intake of fruit
496	compared to children with moderate SDT (although the difference just missed
497	significance). Since the effect was present only for non-astringent fruit we might
498	speculate that perceived intensity of sweetness has an effect on intake only in
499	absence of aversive stimulus such as astringency.

Alternatively, environmental effects of diet on SDT might explain the
lower intake of fruit in children with high SDT. Possibly, high SDT is a result of a
diet rich in sweet carbohydrates. Increased exposure to highly sweetened

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

product might increase detection threshold for sweet compounds. Lacey, Stanley, 503 Crutchfield and Crisp (1977) showed that SDT is affected by calorific intake and 504 carbohydrate-deprived diet. In their study on patients with Anorexia Nervosa 505 506 they demonstrated that anorexic patients and healthy controls did not differ in SDT but both anorexic patients and controls had lower SDT and demonstrated 507 higher sensitivity to sweet flavours if they were on low calorie diet. Children with 508 Moderate SDT, who based on past studies might be likely to able to detect 509 bitterness but not find it intensely aversive, might not be affected by either of 510 those mechanisms, and would perhaps show an increased intake of fruit due to 511 the lack of inhibitory mechanisms aiding fruit rejection. Moderate SDT might be 512 optimal for fruit acceptance, unless food has aversive astringent or irritant 513 514 properties, in which case they would be less likely to be accepted irrespective of SDT. We might also speculate that SDT might affect acceptance of fruit not only in 515 terms of quantity, but also in terms of the fruit type. Possibly, SDT might affect 516 preference or liking of sweet carbohydrate rich fruit or fruit juice, but this would 517 not be evident from an examination of the number of portions consumed and 518 would require further analysis of the different types of fruit consumed, and 519 perhaps a different experimental design, which was not the goal of this study. As 520 no studies to date have looked at the relationship between children's SDT and 521 fruit intake, unfortunately the results cannot be discussed in the context of past 522 findings. 523

524

4.2 Vegetables and cruciferous vegetables

When vegetables were considered as the total of the reported portionsconsumed, intake did not vary by weight or SDT, and the two factors did not

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

interact to affect intake. When only a subgroup of cruciferous vegetables was 527 528 analysed there was an effect of SDT on intake, which provides support for the previously discussed common transduction mechanisms for sweet and bitter 529 compounds. In the present study children with high SDT consumed more 530 cruciferous vegetables than children with low SDT. This finding suggests that 531 children with low SDT, who are likely to be bitter tasters, might reject the bitter 532 tasting cruciferous vegetables, as the bitterness would make them unpalatable. 533 Past studies on the relationship between cruciferous vegetables intake and bitter 534 taste sensitivity in children are inconclusive (Baranowski et al., 2012; Bell & 535 Tepper, 2006; Keller et al., 2002). The relationship between intake of vegetables 536 and SDT has never been analysed, so again those findings cannot be analysed in 537 538 the context of past research on SDT. However, Dineheart, Hayes, Bartoshuk, Lanier and Duffy (2006) in their study on the adult population demonstrated that 539 vegetable bitterness and sweetness were independent predictors of preference 540 and intake of the sampled products. In addition, they showed that those who 541 tasted PROP as more bitter tasted the vegetables as most bitter and least sweet, 542 543 showing an inverse relationship between the two perceived flavour intensities that would separately contribute to intake. In accordance with Dineheart et al. 544 (2006) children with Low SDT might perceive cruciferous vegetables as more 545 bitter, but at the same time they might also perceive them as least sweet, which 546 547 would affect the acceptance and intake in two independent but additive ways. The results of this study show that intake of cruciferous vegetables in children is 548 affected by SDT. Further studies are needed to assess whether bitter taste 549 sensitivity and SDT are independent predictors of cruciferous vegetables intake, 550

or whether they are inter-dependent. SDT did not affect intake of vegetables in

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

general, however this is not surprising given that children might compensate for 552 low intake of cruciferous vegetables by an increased intake of the accepted and 553 liked vegetables such as non-bitter carrots (Bell & Tepper, 2006; Lakkakula, 554 Geaghan, Znovec, Pierce & Tuuri, 2010; Peracchio, Henebery, Sharafi, Hayes & 555 Duffy, 2012). Bell and Tepper (2006) demonstrated that non-bitter vegetable 556 intake (such as carrots) was independent of bitter taste sensitivity in pre-school 557 children, but significant differences were found for the bitter tasting vegetables 558 (e.g. olives and broccoli). The results of the present study show a similar pattern 559 with regards to SDT, as intake of non-bitter vegetables was not affected by SDT, 560 but significant differences were found for the cruciferous family. 561

562 **4.3**

4.3 Limitations

The main limitation of this study was the reliance on parental report of child FV 563 intake and the resulting apparent tendency for parents to over-report their 564 565 children's FV intake. The number of portions reported departed from the national data, which might be due to the measure used. Parents might have misjudged the 566 number of portions consumed or despite instruction, were not aware that partial 567 portions e.g. $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{3}$, could be reported. A novel measure of FV intake in the form 568 of a food frequency questionnaire was developed as it allowed us to get detailed 569 information about the different forms of consumed products (raw and processed 570 FV were listed separately). Also, listing of the FV was supposed to act as a 571 572 memory aid. The portions were listed next to each item which was supposed to help the parents report the actual intake, however it likely resulted in over-573 reporting. The reports of FV intake might therefore reflect the variety of FV 574 consumed rather than the actual portions. The most extreme cases of over-575

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

576	reporters were excluded from the analyses as has been done in previous studies.
577	It should be pointed out that past studies have showed that parents can
578	accurately report FV intake of their children. Linneman, Hessler, Nanney, Steger-
579	May et al. (2004) demonstrated that parents misjudged intake of fruit juice and
580	raisins from cereal, but provided an accurate account of all other FV consumed. It
581	is also possible that data were reported accurately and the sample had an
582	unusually high level of health consciousness (there was low variability in the
583	sample, who was predominantly white British from the affluent areas of
584	Birmingham). Possibly, only extremely health conscious parents agreed to
585	participate in the study, which was advertised as a study on 'Fruit & Veg', hence
586	there might be an issue of self-selection bias. Furthermore, data on intake of
587	other foods could have been collected to place FV intake in the context of other
588	foods and to estimate the proportion of FV intake in the entire diet. Future
589	projects will aim at establishing the effects of SDT on intake of foods from all
590	groups. Another limitation might be the methodology of collecting SDT data.
591	Ideally repeating of the SDT procedure for confirmation of the initial result would
592	increase reliability, however due to the length of the whole test this was not
593	practically possible when working with this age group.

594

4.4 Conclusions

595 This was the first study to look at the relationships between SDT, weight status 596 and FV intake in children. The results showed that weight status was not related 597 to intake of FV. SDT affected intake of fruit and cruciferous vegetables. Further 598 analyses showed that effects of SDT on fruit intake were exclusive to non-599 astringent fruit. Children with moderate SDT consumed more non-astringent

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

600	fruit than children with low or high SDT, but the differences missed significance
601	in the post hoc analyses. The exact mechanism behind this is unclear, but it is
602	possible that SDT affects intake of fruit only in the absence of aversive stimulus
603	such as astringency. Children with high SDT consumed more cruciferous
604	vegetables than children with low SDT, in a similar pattern that bitter taste
605	sensitivity showed in some of the past studies. Future studies should focus on the
606	effects of SDT on intake of FV and general intake of foods.
607	Acknowledgments
608	This work was supported by a grant from Internationale Stiftung für
609	Ernährungsforschung und Ernährungsaufklärung (International Foundation for
610	the Promotion of Nutrition Research and Nutrition Education). We thank the
611	schools for accommodating data collection and volunteers who participated in
612	the study.
613	N. C.
614	References
615	Anliker, J. A., Bartoshuk, L., Ferris, A. M., & Hooks, L. D. (1991). Children's food
616	preferences and genetic sensitivity to the bitter taste of 6-n-propylthiouracil
617	(PROP). The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 54(2), 316-320.
618	Baranowski, T., Baranowski, J. C., Watson, K. B., Jago, R., Islam, N., Beltran, A., et al.
619	(2011). 6-n-Propylthiouracil taster status not related to reported cruciferous
620	vegetable intake among ethnically diverse children. Nutrition Research, 31(8),

621 594-600.

- 622 Bartoshuk, L. M. (2000). Comparing sensory experiences across individuals:
- 623 recent psychophysical advances illuminate genetic variation in taste perception.
- 624 *Chemical Senses*, 25(4), 447-460.
- Bell, K. I. & Tepper, B. J. (2006). Short-term vegetable intake by young children
- 626 classified by 6-n-propylthoiuracil bitter-taste phenotype. *The American Journal of*
- 627 *Clinical Nutrition*, 84(1), 245-251.
- Birch, L. L. (1999). Development of food preferences. *Annual Review of Nutrition*,
 19, 41–62.
- 630 Birch, L. L., Gunder, L., Grimm-Thomas, K., & Laing, D. G. (1998). Infants'
- consumption of a new food enhances acceptance of similar foods. *Appetite*, 30(3),283-295.
- Blissett, J. & Fogel, A. (2013). Intrinsic and extrinsic influences on children's
- 634 acceptance of new foods. *Physiology & Behavior*, 121, 89-95.
- 635 Catanzaro, D., Chesbro, E. C., & Velkey, A. J. (2013). Relationship between food
- preferences and PROP taster status of college students. *Appetite*, 68(0), 124-131.
- 637 Chang, W., Chung, J., Kim, Y., Chung, S., & Kho, H. (2006). The relationship between
- 638 phenylthiocarbamide (PTC) and 6-n-propylthiouracil (PROP) taster status and
- taste thresholds for sucrose and quinine. *Archives of Oral Biology*, 51(5), 427-432.
- 640 Cole, T. J., Freeman, J. V., & Preece, M. A. (1995). Body mass index reference
- curves for the UK, 1990. *Archives for disease in childhood.*, 73, 25-29.

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

- 642 Cooke, L., Carnell, S., & Wardle, J. (2006). Food neophobia and mealtime food
- 643 consumption in 4-5 year old children. *The international journal of behavioral*
- 644 *nutrition and physical activity,* 3, 14.
- 645 Coulthard, H. & Blissett, J. (2009). Fruit and vegetable consumption in children
- and their mothers. Moderating effects of child sensory sensitivity. *Appetite*, 52(2),
- 647 **410-415**.
- 648 Dietary Guidelines for Americans (2010). Nutrition Evidence Library.
- 649 <u>www.nel.gov</u>. [2014(07/02)].
- Dinehart, M. E., Hayes, J. E., Bartoshuk, L. M., Lanier, S. L., & Duffy, V. B. (2006).

Bitter taste markers explain variability in vegetable sweetness, bitterness, and

652 intake. *Physiology & Behavior*, 87(2), 304-313.

- Drewnowski, A. & Gomez-Carneros, C. (2000). Bitter taste, phytonutrients, and
- the consumer: a review. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 72(6), 1424-1435.
- Duffy, V. B., Hayes, J. E., Davidson, A. C., Kidd, J. R., Kidd, K. K., & Bartoshuk, L. M.
- 656 (2010). Vegetable Intake in College-Aged Adults Is Explained by Oral Sensory
- 657 Phenotypes and TAS2R38 Genotype. *Chemosensory Perception*, 3(3), 137-148.
- Dunn, W. (1999). *The short sensory profile.* USA: The psychological corporation.
- Ettinger, L., Duizer, L. & Caldwell, T. (2012). Body Fat, Sweetness Sensitivity, and
- 660 Preference: Determining the Relationship. *Canadian journal of dietetic practice and*
- 661 *research*, 73 (1), 45-48.

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

- 662 Farrow, C. V. & Coulthard, H. (2012). Relationships between sensory sensitivity,
- anxiety and selective eating in children. *Appetite*, 58(3), 842-846.
- 664 Feeney, E. L., O'Brien, S. A., Scannell, A. G. M., Markey, A., & Gibney, E. R. (2014).
- 665 Genetic and environmental influences on liking and reported intakes of
- vegetables in Irish children. *Food Quality and Preference*, 32, Part C(0), 253-263.
- 667 Field, A. E., Gillman, M. W., Rosner, B., Rockett, H. R., & Colditz, G. A. (2003).
- 668 Association between fruit and vegetable intake and change in body mass index
- among a large sample of children and adolescents in the United States.
- 670 International journal of obesity and related metabolic disorders, 27(7), 821-826.
- Fushan, A. A., Simons, C. T., Slack, J. P., & Drayna, D. (2010). Association between
- 672 common variation in genes encoding sweet taste signaling components and

human sucrose perception. *Chemical Senses*, 35(7), 579-592.

Gibson, E. L., Wardle, J., & Watts, C. J. (1998). Fruit and vegetable consumption,

nutritional knowledge and beliefs in mothers and children. *Appetite*, 31(2), 205228.

- Glanville, E. V. & Kaplan, A. R. (1965). The Menstrual Cycle and Sensitivity of
- Taste Perception. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 92, 189-194.
- Hong, J., Chung, J., Kim, Y., Chung, S., Lee, S., & Kho, H. (2005). The relationship
- 680 between PTC taster status and taste thresholds in young adults. *Oral Surgery, Oral*
- 681 *Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology,* 99(6), 711-715.

- Index of Multiple Deprivation Rank (2010). Open Data Communities.
- 683 http://opendatacommunities.org/data/societal-wellbeing/deprivation/imd-
- 684 rank-2010 [2014(07/02)].
- 685 Keast, R. S. & Roper, J. (2007). A complex relationship among chemical
- 686 concentration, detection threshold, and suprathreshold intensity of bitter
- 687 compounds. *Chemical Senses*, 32(3), 245-253.
- 688 Keller, K. L., Steinmann, L., Nurse, R. J., & Tepper, B. J. (2002). Genetic taste
- 689 sensitivity to 6-n-propylthiouracil influences food preference and reported intake
- in preschool children. *Appetite*, 38(1), 3-12.
- 691 Krolner, R., Rasmussen, M., Brug, J., Klepp, K., Wind, M., & Due, P. (2011).
- 692 Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among children and
- adolescents: a review of the literature. Part II: qualitative studies. *International*
- 694 Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 8, 112.
- Laaksonen, O., Ahola, J., & Sandell, M. (2013). Explaining and predicting
- 696 individually experienced liking of berry fractions by the hTAS2R38 taste receptor
- 697 genotype. *Appetite*, 61, 85-96.
- Lacey, J. H., Stanley, P. A., Crutchfield, M., & Crisp, A. H. (1977). Sucrose sensitivity
- in anorexia nervosa. *Journal of psychosomatic research*, 21(1), 17-21.
- Lakkakula, A., Geaghan, J., Zanovec, M., Pierce, S., & Tuuri, G. (2010). Repeated
- taste exposure increases liking for vegetables by low-income elementary school
- 702 children. *Appetite*, 55(2), 226-231.

- Linneman, C., Hessler, K., Nanney, S., Steger-May, K., Huynh, A., & Haire-Joshu, D.
- 704 (2004). Parents Are Accurate Reporters of Their Preschoolers' Fruit and
- 705 Vegetable Consumption under Limited Conditions. *Journal of Nutrition Education*
- 706 *and Behavior,* 36(6), 305-308.
- Looy, H. & Weingarten, H. P. (1992). Facial Expressions and Genetic Sensitivity to
- 6-Normal-Propylthiouracil Predict Hedonic Response to Sweet. *Physiology &*
- 709 *Behavior*, 52(1), 75-82.
- Lorson, B. A., Melgar-Quinonez, H. R., & Taylor, C. A. (2009). Correlates of Fruit
- and Vegetable Intakes in US Children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association,*
- 712 109(3), 474-478.
- 713 Maynard, M., Gunnell, D., Emmett, P., Frankel, S., & Davey Smith, G. (2003). Fruit,
- vegetables, and antioxidants in childhood and risk of adult cancer: the Boyd Orr
- cohort. *Journal of epidemiology and community health*, 57(3), 218-225.
- 716 Mennella, J. A. (2008). The Sweet Taste of Childhood. In *The Senses: A*
- 717 *Comprehensive Reference* (pp. 183-188). New York: Academic Press.
- 718 Miller, P., Moore, R. H., & Kral, T. V. E. (2011). Children's Daily Fruit and Vegetable
- 719 Intake: Associations with Maternal Intake and Child Weight Status. Journal of
- 720 Nutrition Education and Behavior, 43(5), 396-400.
- National Obesity Observatory (2011). Measuring and Interpreting BMI in
- 722 Children. http://www.noo.org.uk/NCMP [2014(02/07)].

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

- 723 Peracchio, H. L., Henebery, K. E., Sharafi, M., Hayes, J. E., & Duffy, V. B. (2012).
- 724 Otitis media exposure associates with dietary preference and adiposity: A
- community-based observational study of at-risk preschoolers. *Physiology &*

726 *Behavior*, 106(2), 264-271.

- 727 Poelman, A. A. M. & Delahunty, C. M. (2011). The effect of preparation method and
- typicality of colour on children's acceptance for vegetables. *Food Quality and*
- 729 *Preference*, 22(4), 355-364.
- 730 Prescott, J., Bell, G.A., Gillmore, R., Yoshida, M., O'Sullivan, M., Korac, S., Allen, S., &
- 731 Yamazaki, K. (1997). Cross-cultural comparisons of Japanese and Australian
- responses to manipulations of sweetness in foods. Food Quality and Preference,
- 733 8(1), 45-55.
- Rasmussen, M., Krolner, R., Klepp, K., Lytle, L., Brug, J., Bere, E., et al (2006).
- 735 Determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption among children and
- adolescents: a review of the literature. Part I: Quantitative studies. *The*
- 737 International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 3.
- 738 Smith, A. M., Roux, S., Naidoo, N. T. (., & Venter, D. J. L. (2005). Food choices of
- tactile defensive children. *Nutrition*, 21(1), 14-19.
- 740 Yoshida, R., Niki, M., Jyotaki, M., Sanematsu, K., Shigemura, N., & Ninomiya, Y.
- 741 (2013). Modulation of sweet responses of taste receptor cells. Seminars in Cell &
- 742 *Developmental Biology*, 24(3), 226-231.

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

- 743 Zhang, G., Zhang, H., Wang, X., Zhan, Y., Deng, S., & Qin, Y. (2003). Coding of Sweet,
- 744 Bitter, and Umami Tastes: Different Receptor Cells Sharing Similar Signaling
- 745 Pathways. *Cell*, 112(3), 293-301.
- Fig. 1. Differences in the number of portions of fruit consumed over the past 24
- 747 hours between children with different SDT, controlling for sensitivity in
- taste/smell visual/auditory domain and parental consumption of FV in the past
- 749 24 hours.

750 * p< 0.05

751 Fig. 2. Differences in the number of portions of cruciferous vegetables consumed

over the past 24 hours between children with different SDT, controlling for

sensitivity in taste/smell visual/auditory domain and parental consumption of

FV in the past 24 hours. **p< 0.01

755

Fig.3. Differences in the number of portions of non-astringent fruit consumed
over the past 24 hours between children with different SDT, controlling for
sensitivity in taste/smell visual/auditory domain and parental consumption of
FV in the past 24 hours.

760

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

- 762 **Table 1.** Mean number of portions and SE (in brackets) of fruit and vegetables
- reported over the 24 hour period for parent and the child, and relationship
- 764 between intake in the mother-child dyads.

	Child	Parent	Correlation (r)
Fruit	2.48 (0.23)	3.25 (0.28)	0.21ª
Astringent	1.0 (0.11)	0.96 (0.12)	0.46***
Non-astringent	2.78 (0.23)	2.64 (0.17)	0.16
Vegetables	3.34 (0.28)	4.71 (0.40)	0.54***
Cruciferous	0.53 (0.09)	0.66 (0.10)	0.65***
Non-cruciferous	2.80 (0.24)	4.05 (0.35)	0.46***
Fruit and	5.83 (0.39)	7.97 (0.54)	0.41***
Vegetables (total)			
		>	
<0.01; *<0.001; a=	=0.051		

766

- 767 Table 2. Relationships between sensory sensitivity in various domains and SDT,
- 768 BMI centile and FV intake of children.

	Sensory sensitivity		
	Taste	Tactile	Visual/auditory
Fruit	0.20	0.05	0.07
Astringent fruit	0.05	-0.02	0.05
Non-astringent fruit	0.20 ^a	-0.01	0.05
Vegetables	.31**	0.01	0.17
Cruciferous vegetables	0.12	-0.18	-0.04
Non-bitter vegetables	0.31**	0.04	0.21*

SUCROSE	DETECTION	THRESHOLD	AND	FV INTAKE
000000	DETECTION			

FV total	.34***	0.02	0.19
SDT	0.16	0.09	0.01
BMI centile	0.10	0.09	0.10

769 * p< 0.05; ** p< 0.01; *** p< 0.001; a=0.054

770

771

Table.3. Multivariate analysis of covariance looking at the effects of weight status
and SDT on the number of portions of fruit and vegetables consumed by children
over the last 24 hours, as reported by the parent. Covariates include child's score
on sensitivity in taste/smell domain as measured by SSP, and parental
consumption of fruit and vegetables over the last 24 hours.

Variables	Source of	Df	F-value	Significance
	variation	ZC.		
Fruit	Weight	1	0.01	0.956
	SDT	2	3.52	0.034*
	Weight x SDT	2	2.57	0.083
Vegetables	Weight	1	0.75	0.390
	SDT	2	1.03	0.360
	Weight x SDT	2	0.25	0.780

777

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

- 780 Table 4. Multivariate analysis of covariance looking at the effects of weight status
- and SDT on the number of portions of subgroups of fruit and vegetables
- consumed by children over the last 24 hours, as reported by the parent.
- 783 Covariates include child's score on sensitivity in taste/smell and visual/auditory
- domain as measured by SSP, and parental consumption of fruit and vegetables
- 785 over the last 24 hours.

Variables	<u> </u>			
variables	Source of	ar	F-value	Significance
	variation		SC S	
			9	
Astringent f.	Weight	1	0.80	0.373
	SDT	2	0.63	0.533
	Weight x SDT	2	0.13	0.88
Non-astringent f.	Weight	1	0.24	0.624
	SDT	2	3.12	0.05*
R	Weight x SDT	2	2.95	0.058
Cruciferous v.	Weight	1	0.01	0.974
	SDT	2	5.57	0.005**
	Weight x SDT	2	0.971	0.38
Non-cruciferous v.	Weight	1	1.30	0.26
	SDT	2	0.12	0.88
	Weight x SDT	2	0.04	0.96

SUCROSE DETECTION THRESHOLD AND FV INTAKE

787

788

Accepted Manuscrip