Reduced Waiting Times Using a Fast Switching Dual-Polarization DDQPSK Receiver in a Packet Switched Network
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Abstract In this paper, we demonstrate a fast switching dual polarization DDQPSK packet switched receiver with very short waiting times. The system employs mth power DDQPSK decoding for high frequency offset tolerance, and Stokes parameter estimation for robust polarization demultiplexing.

Introduction
There is an ever-present demand for higher data rates through the optical internet. At the same time, the non-linear Shannon capacity of single-mode fibre is being reached. One way to improve data rates further in the network is to improve temporal utilization of network resources by performing optical packet switching (OPS) and spectral utilisation through coherent detection of polarisation multiplexed modulation formats.

OPS networks typically use fast switching tunable lasers in order to change the transmitter’s wavelength to a particular receiver’s wavelength to achieve routing. After a switching event, when a tunable laser changes wavelength, there is typically a large frequency transient, as seen in (3), which will give a large wavelength, there is typically a large frequency switching event, when a tunable laser changes receiver’s wavelength to achieve routing. After a transmitter’s wavelength to a particular tunable lasers in order to change the modulation formats.

Coherent detection of polarisation multiplexed switching (OPS) and spectral utilisation through resources by performing optical packet improves temporal utilization of network improves data rates further in the network is to.

Abstract

In this paper, we demonstrate a fast switching dual polarization DDQPSK packet switched receiver with very short waiting times. The system employs mth power DDQPSK decoding for high frequency offset tolerance, and Stokes parameter estimation for robust polarization demultiplexing.

Robust DP-DDQPSK Packet Receiver
The LMS Stokes parameter estimation scheme described in (3) works on the basis that the s1, s2, and s3 parameters for DP-mPSK form a flat surface/lens in the 3D space of (s1, s2, s3). By calculating the slope of this plane, the polarization rotation angles of the Jones matrix can be determined, allowing for a polarization demultiplexing Jones matrix to be calculated. The outputs from the polarization diverse coherent receiver at specific time samples,Ix, Iy, Qx, and Qy can be used to calculate complex field variables E1 and E2 and to calculate s1, s2, s3 via the equations:

\[ E_x = \frac{1}{a} I_x + jQ_x \]  
\[ E_y = \frac{1}{b} I_y + jQ_y \]

\[ s_1 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(E_x E_y^*) - E_y E_x^* \]  
\[ s_2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(E_x E_y)^* + E_x E_y \]  
\[ s_3 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(-j(E_x E_y)^* + jE_x E_y^*) \]

where a and b are conversion efficiencies. Here we assume a=1 and b=1 without loss of generality. The normal vector to the plane of (s1, s2, s3), is a 1x3 matrix with elements (a1, a2, -1), where, in this paper, a1 and a2 are estimated using an LMS equation which averages (2n+1) samples bracketing the sample of interest:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \left( \sum_{-n}^{n} s_1^2 \sum_{-n}^{n} s_1 s_2 \sum_{-n}^{n} s_1 s_3 \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{-n}^{n} s_1 s_2 \sum_{-n}^{n} s_1 s_3 \right)
\]
The inverse Jones matrix is calculated using:

\[ \Delta \phi = \tan^{-1}(a_2, -a_1) \]  
\[ \theta = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{a_1}{\sqrt{1 + a_2^2}}\right) \]  
\[ M_{\text{inverse}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) e^{i\Delta \phi / 2} & \sin(\theta) e^{-i\Delta \phi / 2} \\ -\sin(\theta) e^{i\Delta \phi / 2} & \cos(\theta) e^{-i\Delta \phi / 2} \end{pmatrix} \]  

where \( \tan^{-1}(p, q) \) is the two argument arctan with \( p \) as the cos input and \( q \) as the sin input. \( M_{\text{inverse}} \) is the compensating matrix. Eq. (7) to Eq. (9) are as given in \(^4\), whilst Eq. (6) was derived by reducing the conventional 3x3 matrix to a 2x2 matrix, utilizing the fact that the \((s_1, s_2, s_3)\) plane passes through the origin. The algorithm is applied three times in parallel with the parameters \((s_1, s_2, s_3)\) cyclically interchanged in Eq. (6), to avoid ambiguities in case that the DP-mPSK 2D surface is parallel to one of the \((s_1, s_2)\), \((s_2, s_3)\), or \((s_3, s_1)\) planes. The rotated \((s_1, s_2, s_3)\) axis system which gives the largest determinant of the 2x2 matrix before inversion in Eq. (6) is the axis system which is selected.

It is clear that since there is no initialization of the LMS algorithm, and since it is feed-forward, the convergence time will only be limited by the number of summation terms used. This results in consistent convergence times unlike the CMA method, which gives variable convergence times in consistent convergence times unlike the CMA number of summation terms used. This results

maximum waiting times to correctly receive data are approximately 288ns with the aid of training symbols\(^7\), and 410ns using 25 initial test CMA steps to initialize the system\(^3\). However, here we show that waiting times can be improved by an order of magnitude (~30ns) by using both the LMS algorithm and doubly differential decoding.

**Experimental Setup**

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1 below. The transmitter was a Sampled-Grating Distributed Bragg Reflector (SG-DBR) laser switching between two wavelengths (1541.2nm and 1547.4nm). The SG-DBR laser was on each wavelength for ~500ns giving it a switching frequency of 1MHz. The transmitter was connected to a 90/10 coupler where the 10% output was used to measure frequency offset transients and the 90% output was connected, via a polarization controller (PC) and a polarization beam splitter (PBS), to a pol-mux-IQ modulator. The PBS slow axis output went into the modulator. The 10 Gbit/s modulating signals \(I_1, Q_1, I_2, Q_2\) came from the data and data (bar) outputs of a pattern generator, with delays introduced to decorrelate the data signals. The delays introduced were 10 bits between \(I_1\) and \(Q_1\), 25 bits between \(I_1\) and \(Q_2\), and 31 bits between \(Q_1\) and inverted \(I_2\). The delays were set so that it resulted in two different \(E_x\) and \(E_y\) data patterns, allowing for polarization rotations to be identified. 10% of the modulator output was amplified, filtered and sent to an oscilloscope for eye-diagram monitoring, whilst the remaining 90% was input to a noise loading stage where attenuated amplified spontaneous emission noise was added to the modulated signal through a 50/50 coupler, with one output from the coupler connected to an optical spectrum analyzer (OSA) for optical signal to noise ratio (OSNR) measurements, performed in a similar way to\(^5\), and the other output connected to an erbium doped fibre amplifier (EDFA), 2nm filter and PC before reaching the signal port of a polarization diverse coherent receiver. A tunable laser source (TLS) at 1541.2nm went through a PC and then a polarizer before reaching the LO port of the coherent receiver. The receiver outputs were recorded by a 50Gsample/s real-time oscilloscope.

![Fig. 1: Experimental setup of BER versus OSNR measurement system for packet switched DP-DDQPSK.](image)
Digital signal processing on the received X and Y fields was performed offline. Firstly, the fields had offsets removed, amplitudes normalized, and timing imbalances equalized. The optimum sampling point was found by choosing the sample with the largest average amplitude of $E$, and the received fields were then downsampled. Then, polarization demultiplexing was performed using either the LMS method described above or the CMA method. After this, the modified $m$th power frequency offset method described in \ref{ref4} was used, followed by the $m$th power phase estimation stage. A hard decision was then made on the constellation, after which doubly differential decoding was performed on the hard decision symbols. These symbols were converted to bits using Gray coding and BER values were calculated by direct error counting.

The lowest BER polarisation was arbitrarily designated as the X-polarisation. The average BER over both polarizations was calculated for each OSNR value using approximately $7.2 \times 10^5$ bits.

![Fig. 2: BER versus OSNR for pol-mux-DDQPSK using LMS or CMA with a static and switching laser for different waiting times and for different steady-state frequency offsets.](image)

**Results**

Fig. 2 shows the BER versus OSNR for pol-mux-DDQPSK using $m$th power with either the LMS or CMA methods, in static and switching scenarios. Different waiting times after the tunable laser switches wavelength were used, and different steady-state frequency offsets were used. The CMA had an initial demultiplexing matrix of either mat1 or mat2 (both unitary matrices). As seen in Fig. 2, the CMA converged reasonably well with mat1 but is unable to decode data correctly with mat 2, even with a large waiting time of 200ns due to the presence of singularities. The LMS, by contrast, can work in a fully blind manner with respect to the polarization state of the input signal, which is important in an OPS scenario where packets from different network nodes will have different states of polarization. All curves, except the high BER one, can achieve BER values of $10^{-3}$ at an OSNR of approximately 18.2dB. The required waiting time of 30ns is mainly due to the 101 averaging terms used in the frequency offset estimation (averaging reduces the impact of noise on the estimate) and this results in averaging of frequency transients at the start of the packet. In Fig. 2, the static and switching LMS curves are overlapping, demonstrating similar performance. In addition, Fig. 2 shows that pol-mux-DDQPSK can tolerate frequency offsets of 2GHz in the switching cases.

**Conclusions**

It has been shown for the first time that by using $m$th power DDQPSK and LMS polarization demultiplexing, a DP-DDQPSK packet-switched system can be implemented with very short waiting times required after a wavelength switch in order to correctly receive data. The short waiting time presented, of around 30ns, is an order of magnitude better than previous work, with improved robustness to both input state of polarization and large frequency offsets.
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